Service Type/Location: Food Service Attendant, Minnesota Air National Guard, St. Paul, Minnesota.

NPA: AccessAbility, Inc., Minneapolis, Minnesota.

Contract Activity: Air National Guard-St. Paul, MN, St. Paul, Minnesota.

This action does not affect current contracts awarded prior to the effective date of this addition or options that may be exercised under those contracts.

Sheryl D. Kennerly,

Director, Information Management.
[FR Doc. 04–19154 Filed 8–19–04; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 6353–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Foreign-Trade Zones Board [Docket 26–2004]

Tumi, Inc.—Application for Subzone Status; Amendment of Application and Reopening of Comment Period

The application for subzone status at the Tumi, Inc. facility in Vidalia, Georgia, submitted by the Savannah Airport Commission (69 FR 34993, 6/ 23/04), has been amended. The company has amended the application to include kitting operations. The company plans to assemble computer accessory kits, electric adapter kits and modem/electric kits (HTS 8471.60 and 8504.40, duty-free). Imported components that could be included in a kit include: a leather pouch, a computer mouse, receiver, cable, LED light, a power travel adapter and a travel modem (HTS 4202.91, 8471.60, 8471.80, 8504.40, 8544.41 and 9405.40, duty rate ranges from duty-free to 4.5%). The company has also indicated that it will import nylon pouches (HTS 4202.92, duty rate 17.6%), but that they will be admitted to the zone in privileged foreign status.

The comment period for the case referenced above is being reopened until September 20, 2004, to allow interested parties additional time in which to comment. Rebuttal comments may be submitted during the subsequent 15 day period, until October 4, 2004. Submissions (original and 3 copies) shall be addressed to the Board's Executive Secretary at one of the following addresses:

- 1. Submissions Via Express/Package Delivery Services: Foreign-Trade-Zones Board, U.S. Department of Commerce, Franklin Court Building—Suite 4100W, 1099 14th St. NW., Washington, DC 20005: or
- 2. Submissions Via the U.S. Postal Service: Foreign-Trade-Zones Board,

U.S. Department of Commerce, FCB—Suite 4100W, 1401 Constitution Ave. NW., Washington, DC 20230.

Dated: August 12, 2004.

Dennis Puccinelli,

Executive Secretary.

[FR Doc. 04–19138 Filed 8–19–04; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-533-813]

Certain Preserved Mushrooms From India: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of final results of antidumping duty administrative review.

SUMMARY: On March 8, 2004, the Department of Commerce published the preliminary results of the fourth administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain preserved mushrooms from India. The review covers five manufacturers/exporters. The period of review is February 1, 2002, through January 31, 2003.

Based on our analysis of the comments received, we have made changes in the margin calculations. Therefore, the final results differ from the preliminary results. The final weighted-average dumping margins for the reviewed firms are listed below in the section entitled "Final Results of Review."

EFFECTIVE DATE: August 20, 2004.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

David J. Goldberger or Katherine Johnson, AD/CVD Office 2, Import Administration-Room B099, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–4136 or (202) 482–4929, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

The review covers five manufacturers/ exporters: Agro Dutch Industries Ltd. ("Agro Dutch"), Dinesh Agro Products, Ltd. ("Dinesh Agro"), Premier Mushroom Farms ("Premier"), Saptarishi Agro Industries, Ltd. ("Saptarishi Agro"), and Weikfield Agro Products Ltd. ("Weikfield"). The period of review is February 1, 2002, through January 31, 2003.

On March 8, 2004, the Department of Commerce ("the Department") published the preliminary results of the fourth administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain preserved mushrooms from India (69 FR 10659) ("Preliminary Results"). We invited parties to comment on the preliminary results of review. On March 22, 2004, we received a request for a public hearing from the petitioner.¹

On May 5, 2004, the Department published in the **Federal Register** the postponement of the final results of the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain preserved mushrooms from India (69 FR 25063). We conducted a verification of Agro Dutch's sales data from May 18 through May 21, 2004. At our request, Agro Dutch submitted revised sales data bases on June 2, 2004, which incorporated revisions resulting from the verification.

We received case briefs from Weikfield on June 7, 2004, (brief dated June 2, 2004), and the petitioner, Agro Dutch, and Premier on June 10, 2004. The petitioner and Agro Dutch filed rebuttal briefs on June 17, 2004. Agro Dutch withdrew its rebuttal brief on June 22, 2004, and submitted a replacement brief on June 24, 2004.² On June 28, 2004, the petitioner withdrew its request for a public hearing. We have conducted this administrative review in accordance with section 751(a) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act").

Scope of the Order

The products covered by the order are certain preserved mushrooms, whether imported whole, sliced, diced, or as stems and pieces. The preserved mushrooms covered under the order are the species *Agaricus bisporus* and *Agaricus bitorquis*. "Preserved mushrooms" refer to mushrooms that have been prepared or preserved by cleaning, blanching, and sometimes slicing or cutting. These mushrooms are then packed and heated in containers including but not limited to cans or glass jars in a suitable liquid medium, including but not limited to water,

¹The petitioner is the Coalition for Fair Preserved Mushroom Trade which includes the American Mushroom Institute and the following domestic companies: L.K. Bowman, Inc.; Modern Mushroom Farms, Inc.; Monterey Mushrooms, Inc.; Mount Laurel Canning Corp.; Mushrooms Canning Company; Southwood Farms; Sunny Dell Foods, Inc.; and United Canning Corp.

²The circumstances regarding the withdrawal and replacement of the Agro Dutch rebuttal brief are discussed in a June 28, 2004, memorandum to the file.