Attachment C

Studies Affecting Core Measures

Nonmonetary Determination Quality. The Department convened a nonmonetary determinations Federal/state team to study the measurement instrument used in the quality review. The team is exploring ways to refine the measurement instrument to ensure the most accurate review results. During the interim, states will continue the current system of tripartite reviews using the existing instrument, but the Department will immediately begin to display separation and nonseparation scores separately in published reports. Pending the conclusion of the study, states will address performance below the established performance goals in narratives in the State Quality Service Plan rather than in corrective action plans.

Overpayment Detection Measure. The Department proposes to include as a Core Measure the percent of estimated detectable, recoverable overpayments that the state establishes for recovery. The Benefit Accuracy Measurement data provide the overpayment estimate, while Benefit Payment Control data provide the amount of overpayments established for collection. For a recent period, six states reported establishing over 100% of estimated recoverable overpayments, while at the same time several other states' ratios were extremely low. The Department will examine the BAM methods, procedures and results in an attempt to explain the phenomenon of inverse ratios in some states, and over the coming year will explore possible adjustments to the measure.

Average Age of Pending Appeals. The Department proposes that the promptness measure for Higher Authority and Lower Authority Appeals be changed and regulations amended appropriately. To determine if cases are being decided with the greatest promptness that is administratively feasible, UI Performs would no longer use the elapsed time between filing the appeal and the date of the decision, but would instead use the average age of all cases pending in the state on a given date. The Department thinks the new measure will encourage states to decide cases more quickly overall and is currently conducting a six-state pilot of the proposed measure. State agencies and other commentators are asked to address how the new measure might drive operational changes in the states' higher and lower authority appeals systems and how those changes might affect services to claimants and employers.

[FR Doc. 04–13526 Filed 6–15–04; 8:45 am]

NATIONAL COUNCIL ON DISABILITY

Cultural Diversity Advisory Committee Meetings (Teleconference)

TIMES AND DATES: 4 p.m. e.d.t., July 9, 2004.

PLACE: National Council on Disability, 1331 F Street, NW., Suite 850, Washington, DC.

AGENCY: National Council on Disability (NCD).

STATUS: All parts of this meeting will be open to the public. Those interested in participating in this meeting should contact the appropriate staff member listed below. Due to limited resources,

only a few telephone lines will be available for the call.

AGENDA: Roll call, announcements, reports, new business, adjournment.

CONTACT PERSON FOR MORE INFORMATION: Geraldine (Gerrie) Drake Hawkins, Ph.D., Program Analyst, NCD, 1331 F Street, NW., Suite 850, Washington, DC 20004; 202–272–2004 (voice), 202–272–

2074 (TTY), 202–272–2022 (fax), ghawkins@ncd.gov.

CULTURAL DIVERSITY ADVISORY COMMITTEE MISSION: The purpose of NCD's Cultural Diversity Advisory Committee is to provide advice and recommendations to NCD on issues affecting people with disabilities from culturally diverse backgrounds. Specifically, the committee will help identify issues, expand outreach, infuse participation, and elevate the voices of underserved

and unserved segments of this nation's population that will help NCD develop Federal policy that will address the needs and advance the civil and human rights of people from diverse cultures.

Dated: June 9, 2004.

Ethel D. Briggs,

Executive Director.

[FR Doc. 04-13527 Filed 6-15-04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6820-MA-P

NATIONAL TRANSPORTATION SAFETY BOARD

Agenda

TIME AND PLACE: 9:30 a.m., Tuesday, June 22, 2004.