

satisfactory level (\$14,303). The assessment rate of \$0.95 per hundredweight of assessable dates was then determined by applying the following formula where:

A = 2004–05 reserve on 10/1/05 (\$1,000).

B = 2005–06 reserve on 9/30/06 (\$14,303).

C = 2005–06 expenses (\$169,197).

D = Cull Surplus Fund (\$2,000).

E = 2005–06 expected shipments (190,000 hundredweight). (B – A + C – D) E ÷ \$0.95 per hundredweight.

Estimated shipments should provide \$180,500 in assessment income. Income derived from handler assessments and \$2,000 from the cull surplus fund would be adequate to cover budgeted expenses. Funds in the administrative reserve are expected to total about \$14,303 by September 30, 2006, and therefore would be less than the maximum permitted by the order (not to exceed 50 percent of the average of expenses incurred during the most recent five preceding crop years as required under § 987.72(c)).

A review of historical information and preliminary information pertaining to the upcoming crop year indicates that the grower price for the 2005–06 season could range between \$45 and \$50 per hundredweight of dates. Therefore, the estimated assessment revenue for the 2005–06 crop year as a percentage of total grower revenue is approximately 2 percent.

This action would increase the assessment obligation imposed on handlers under the Federal marketing order. While assessments impose some additional costs on handlers, the costs are minimal and uniform on all handlers. Some of the additional costs may be passed on to producers. However, these costs would be offset by the benefits derived by the operation of the marketing order. In addition, the committee's meeting was widely publicized throughout the California date industry and all interested persons were invited to attend the meeting and participate in committee deliberations on all issues. Like all committee meetings, the June 16, 2005, meeting was a public meeting and all entities, both large and small, were able to express views on this issue. Finally, interested persons are invited to submit information on the regulatory and informational impacts of this action on small businesses.

This proposed rule would impose no additional reporting or recordkeeping requirements on either small or large California date handlers. As with all Federal marketing order programs, reports and forms are periodically

reviewed to reduce information requirements and duplication by industry and public sector agencies.

USDA has not identified any relevant Federal rules that duplicate, overlap, or conflict with this rule.

A small business guide on complying with fruit, vegetable, and specialty crop marketing agreements and orders may be viewed at: <http://www.ams.usda.gov/fv/moab.html>. Any questions about the compliance guide should be sent to Jay Guerber at the previously mentioned address in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section.

A 30-day comment period is provided to allow interested persons to respond to this proposed rule. Thirty days is deemed appropriate because: (1) The 2005–06 crop year begins on October 1, 2005, and the marketing order requires that the rate of assessment for each crop year apply to all assessable dates handled during such crop year; (2) the committee needs to have sufficient funds to pay its expenses which are incurred on a continuous basis; and (3) handlers are aware of this action which was unanimously recommended by the committee at a public meeting and is similar to other assessment rate actions issued in past years.

List of Subjects in 7 CFR Part 987

Dates, Marketing agreements, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

For the reasons set forth in the preamble, 7 CFR part 987 is proposed to be amended as follows:

PART 987—DOMESTIC DATES PRODUCED OR PACKED IN RIVERSIDE COUNTY, CALIFORNIA

1. The authority citation for 7 CFR part 987 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 7 U.S.C. 601–674.

2. Section 987.339 is revised to read as follows:

§ 987.339 Assessment rate.

On and after October 1, 2005, an assessment rate of \$0.95 per hundredweight is established for California dates.

Dated: September 6, 2005.

Lloyd C. Day,

Administrator, Agricultural Marketing Service.

[FR Doc. 05–17963 Filed 9–9–05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410–02–P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. FAA–2005–22384; Directorate Identifier 2005–NM–131–AD]

RIN 2120–AA64

Airworthiness Directives; Airbus Model A300 B2 Series Airplanes, Model A300 B4 Series Airplanes, Model A310–200 Series Airplanes, Model A310–300 Series Airplanes, and Model A300 B4–600, B4–600R, and F4–600R Series Airplanes, and Model C4–605R Variant F Airplanes (Collectively Called A300–600 Series Airplanes)

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), Department of Transportation (DOT).

ACTION: Notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM).

SUMMARY: The FAA proposes to adopt a new airworthiness directive (AD) for certain Airbus transport category airplanes. This proposed AD would require repetitive eddy current inspections for cracks of the stiffener fittings of the fuselage at frame (FR) 12A, and corrective actions if necessary. This proposed AD also provides a terminating action for the inspections. This proposed AD results from reports of cracks on the upper attachment fitting of the stiffener fitting at FR12A. We are proposing this AD to prevent failure of the stiffener fittings, which could result in the reduced structural integrity of the floor and rods around FR12A.

DATES: We must receive comments on this proposed AD by October 12, 2005.

ADDRESSES: Use one of the following addresses to submit comments on this proposed AD.

- DOT Docket Web site: Go to <http://dms.dot.gov> and follow the instructions for sending your comments electronically.

- Government-wide rulemaking Web site: Go to <http://www.regulations.gov> and follow the instructions for sending your comments electronically.

- Mail: Docket Management Facility, U.S. Department of Transportation, 400 Seventh Street SW., Nassif Building, Room PL–401, Washington, DC 20590.

- Fax: (202) 493–2251.

- Hand Delivery: Room PL–401 on the plaza level of the Nassif Building, 400 Seventh Street SW., Washington, DC, between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

Contact Jacques Leborgne, Airbus Customer Service Directorate, 1 Rond Point Maurice Bellonte, 31707 Blagnac

Cedex, France, fax (+33) 5 61 93 36 14, for service information identified in this proposed AD for Model A300 B2 series airplanes and Model A300 B4 series airplanes. Contact Airbus, 1 Rond Point Maurice Bellonte, 31707 Blagnac Cedex, France, for service information identified in this proposed AD for Model A310–200 series airplanes, Model A310–300 series airplanes, and Model A300–600 series airplanes.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Dan Rodina, Aerospace Engineer, International Branch, ANM–116, FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington 98055–4056; telephone (425) 227–2125; fax (425) 227–1149.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Comments Invited

We invite you to submit any relevant written data, views, or arguments regarding this proposed AD. Include the docket number “FAA–2005–22384; Directorate Identifier 2005–NM–131–AD” at the beginning of your comments. We specifically invite comments on the overall regulatory, economic, environmental, and energy aspects of the proposed AD. We will consider all comments received by the closing date and may amend the proposed AD in light of those comments.

We will post all comments we receive, without change, to <http://dms.dot.gov>, including any personal information you provide. We will also post a report summarizing each substantive verbal contact with FAA personnel concerning this proposed AD. Using the search function of that Web site, anyone can find and read the comments in any of our dockets, including the name of the individual who sent the comment (or signed the comment on behalf of an association, business, labor union, etc.). You may review the DOT’s complete Privacy Act Statement in the **Federal Register** published on April 11, 2000 (65 FR 19477–78), or you may visit <http://dms.dot.gov>.

Examining the Docket

You may examine the AD docket on the Internet at <http://dms.dot.gov>, or in person at the Docket Management Facility office between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays. The Docket Management Facility office (telephone (800) 647–5227) is located on the plaza level of the Nassif Building at the DOT street address stated in the **ADDRESSES** section. Comments will be available in the AD docket shortly after the Docket Management System receives them.

Discussion

The Direction Générale de l’Aviation Civile (DGAC), which is the airworthiness authority for France, notified us that an unsafe condition may exist on certain Airbus Model A300 B2 and A300 B4 series airplanes, Model A310–200 and –300 series airplanes, and Model A300–600 series airplanes.

The DGAC advises that there are reports of cracks on the upper attachment fitting of the stiffener fitting on the floor beam at frame (FR) 12A, right-hand and left-hand sides of the fuselage. The DGAC states that the cracks are due to a combined effect of the pressurization of the cabin and bending induced by thermal effects which generates a longitudinal force in the floor beam, causing a high level of fatigue in the fitting. This condition, if not corrected, could result in failure of the stiffener fittings, and consequent reduced structural integrity of the floor and rods around FR12A.

Relevant Service Information

Airbus has issued Service Bulletin A300–53–0365, Revision 01 (for Model A300 B2 and A300 B4 series airplanes); Service Bulletin A300–53–6138, Revision 01 (for Model A300–600 series airplanes); and Service Bulletin A310–53–2117, Revision 01 (for Model A310–200 and A310–300 series airplane); all dated April 4, 2005. The service bulletins describe procedures for repetitive eddy current inspections for cracks of the stiffener fittings of the fuselage at FR12A, and corrective action if necessary. Doing the corrective action eliminates the need for the repetitive inspection. The corrective action includes replacing the existing fitting on FR12A with a FR12A crossbeam and installing a new web between FR12A and FR13 at stringer 26.

The service bulletins refer to Airbus Service Bulletin A300–53–0364, Revision 02, dated September 24, 2004 (for Model A300 B2 and B4 series airplanes); Service Bulletin A300–53–6137, Revision 03, dated April 4, 2005 (for Model A300–600 series airplanes); and Service Bulletin A310–53–2116, Revision 02, dated September 24, 2004 (for Model A310–200 and –300 series airplane); as the appropriate sources of service information for doing the corrective action.

Accomplishing the actions specified in the service information is intended to adequately address the unsafe condition. The DGAC mandated the service information and issued French airworthiness directive F–2005–084, dated May 25, 2005, to ensure the

continued airworthiness of these airplanes in France.

FAA’s Determination and Requirements of the Proposed AD

These airplane models are manufactured in France and are type certificated for operation in the United States under the provisions of section 21.29 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 21.29) and the applicable bilateral airworthiness agreement. Pursuant to this bilateral airworthiness agreement, the DGAC has kept the FAA informed of the situation described above. We have examined the DGAC’s findings, evaluated all pertinent information, and determined that we need to issue an AD for airplanes of this type design that are certificated for operation in the United States.

Therefore, we are proposing this AD, which would require accomplishing the actions specified in the service information described previously, except as discussed under “Differences Between the Proposed AD and Service Bulletins.”

Differences Between the Proposed AD and Service Bulletins

Unlike the procedures described in Airbus Service Bulletins A300–53–0365, Revision 01; A300–53–6138, Revision 01; and A310–53–2117, Revision 01; this proposed AD would not permit further flight if cracks are detected in the stiffener fittings of the fuselage at FR12A. We have determined that, because of the safety implications and consequences associated with that cracking, any cracked fitting must be replaced before further flight.

The service bulletins specify to contact the manufacturer for fastener requirements if stiffener fitting FR12A has been replaced, but this proposed AD would require contacting the FAA or the DGAC (or its delegated agent). In light of the type of repair that would be required to address the unsafe condition, and consistent with existing bilateral airworthiness agreements, we have determined that, for this proposed AD, requirements that we or the DGAC approve would be acceptable for compliance with this proposed AD.

Operators should note that, although the Accomplishment Instructions of the referenced service bulletins describe procedures for reporting results, this proposed AD would not require those actions. We do not need this information from operators.

Difference Between French Airworthiness Directive and This Proposed AD

The applicability of French airworthiness directive F-2005-084, dated May 25, 2005, excludes airplanes that accomplished Airbus Service Bulletin A300-53-0364, dated December 1, 2003; Revision 01, dated May 5, 2004; or Revision 02, dated September 24, 2004; or Airbus Service Bulletin A300-53-6137, dated December 1, 2003; Revision 01, dated May 5, 2004; Revision 02, dated September 24, 2004; or Revision 03, dated April 4, 2005; or Airbus Service Bulletin A310-53-2116, dated December 1, 2003; Revision 01, dated May 5, 2004; or Revision 02, dated September 24, 2004; in service. However, we have not excluded those airplanes in the applicability of this proposed AD; rather, this proposed AD includes a requirement to accomplish the actions specified in the latest revision of the service bulletins, as applicable. This requirement would ensure that the actions specified in the service bulletins and required by this proposed AD are accomplished on all affected airplanes. Operators must continue to operate the airplane in the configuration required by this proposed AD unless an alternative method of compliance is approved.

Costs of Compliance

This proposed AD would affect about 202 airplanes of U.S. registry. The proposed inspection would take between 57 and 64 work hours per airplane, at an average labor rate of \$65 per work hour. Based on these figures, the estimated cost of the proposed inspection for U.S. operators is between \$748,410 and \$840,320, or between \$3,705 and \$4,160 per airplane, per inspection cycle.

Authority for This Rulemaking

Title 49 of the United States Code specifies the FAA's authority to issue rules on aviation safety. Subtitle I, section 106, describes the authority of the FAA Administrator. Subtitle VII, Aviation Programs, describes in more detail the scope of the Agency's authority.

We are issuing this rulemaking under the authority described in subtitle VII, part A, subpart III, section 44701, "General requirements." Under that

section, Congress charges the FAA with promoting safe flight of civil aircraft in air commerce by prescribing regulations for practices, methods, and procedures the Administrator finds necessary for safety in air commerce. This regulation is within the scope of that authority because it addresses an unsafe condition that is likely to exist or develop on products identified in this rulemaking action.

Regulatory Findings

We have determined that this proposed AD would not have federalism implications under Executive Order 13132. This proposed AD would not have a substantial direct effect on the States, on the relationship between the national Government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government.

For the reasons discussed above, I certify that the proposed regulation:

1. Is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866;
2. Is not a "significant rule" under the DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034, February 26, 1979); and
3. Will not have a significant economic impact, positive or negative, on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act.

We prepared a regulatory evaluation of the estimated costs to comply with this proposed AD and placed it in the AD docket. See the **ADDRESSES** section for a location to examine the regulatory evaluation.

List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39

Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Safety.

The Proposed Amendment

Accordingly, under the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the FAA proposes to amend 14 CFR part 39 as follows:

PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

1. The authority citation for part 39 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40113, 44701.

§ 39.13 [Amended]

2. The Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) amends § 39.13

by adding the following new airworthiness directive (AD):

Airbus: Docket No. FAA-2005-22384; Directorate Identifier 2005-NM-131-AD.

Comments Due Date

(a) The FAA must receive comments on this AD action by October 12, 2005.

Affected ADs

(b) None.

Applicability: (c) This AD applies to Airbus Model A300 B2-1A, B2-1C, B2K-3C, and B2-203 airplanes; Model A300 B4-2C, B4-103, and B4-203 airplanes; Model A300 B4-601, B4-603, B4-620, and B4-622 airplanes; Model A300 B4-605R and B4-622R airplanes; Model A300 F4-605R and F4-622R airplanes; Model A300 C4-605R Variant F airplanes; Model A310-203, -204, -221, and -222 airplanes; and Model A310-304, -322, -324, and -325 airplanes; certificated in any category; except for airplanes on which AIRBUS Modification 12662 has been done in production.

Unsafe Condition

(d) This AD results from reports of cracks on the upper attachment fitting of the stiffener fitting at frame (FR) 12A. We are issuing this AD to prevent failure of the stiffener fittings, which could result in the reduced structural integrity of the floor and rods around FR12A.

Compliance: (e) You are responsible for having the actions required by this AD performed within the compliance times specified, unless the actions have already been done.

Inspections

(f) At the applicable initial inspection threshold specified in Table 1 of this AD or within the applicable grace period specified in Table 2 of this AD, whichever occurs later: Do an eddy current inspection for cracks of the stiffener fittings of the fuselage at FR12A, in accordance with the Accomplishment Instructions of Airbus Service Bulletin A300-53-0365, Revision 01 (for Model A300 B2-1A, B2-1C, B2K-3C, and B2-203 airplanes, and Model A300 B4-2C, B4-103, and B4-203 airplanes); Service Bulletin A300-53-6138, Revision 01 (for Model A300 B4-601, B4-603, B4-620, and B4-622 airplanes, Model A300 B4-605R and B4-622R airplanes, Model A300 F4-605R and F4-622R airplanes, and Model A300 C4-605R Variant F airplanes); or Service Bulletin A310-53-2117, Revision 01 (for Model A310-203, -204, -221, and -222 airplanes, and Model A310-304, -322, -324, and -325 airplanes); all dated April 4, 2005; as applicable.

Repeat the inspection thereafter at intervals not to exceed the applicable compliance time specified in Table 1 of this AD until the actions specified in paragraph (h) of this AD are done.

TABLE 1.—COMPLIANCE TIMES FOR INITIAL AND REPETITIVE INSPECTIONS

For airplanes identified as—	Do the initial inspection prior to the accumulation of—	And repeat at intervals not to exceed—
Configuration 01 in Airbus Service Bulletin A300–53–0365, Revision 01, dated April 4, 2005.	19,300 total flight cycles	11,450 flight cycles.
Configuration 02 in Airbus Service Bulletin A300–53–0365, Revision 01, dated April 4, 2005.	15,500 total flight cycles	9,200 flight cycles.
Configuration 01 in Airbus Service Bulletin A300–53–6138, Revision 01, dated April 4, 2005.	19,300 total flight cycles	11,450 flight cycles.
Configuration 02 in Airbus Service Bulletin A300–53–6138, Revision 01, dated April 4, 2005.	17,600 total flight cycles	11,450 flight cycles.
Configuration 03 in Airbus Service Bulletin A300–53–6138, Revision 01, dated April 4, 2005.	12,700 total flight cycles	8,000 flight cycles.
Configuration 04 in Airbus Service Bulletin A300–53–6138, Revision 01, dated April 4, 2005.	10,200 total flight cycles	6,400 flight cycles.
Configuration 01 in Airbus Service Bulletin A310–53–2117, Revision 01, dated April 4, 2005.	19,300 total flight cycles	11,450 flight cycles.
Configuration 02 in Airbus Service Bulletin A310–53–2117, Revision 01, dated April 4, 2005.	17,600 total flight cycles	11,450 flight cycles.
Configuration 03 in Airbus Service Bulletin A310–53–2117, Revision 01, dated April 4, 2005.	12,700 total flight cycles	8,000 flight cycles.

TABLE 2.—GRACE PERIOD FOR THE INITIAL INSPECTION

For Airbus model—	Grace period is—
A300 B2–1A, B2–1C, B2K–3C, and B2–203 airplanes	Within 2,500 flight cycles after the effective date of this AD.
A300 B4–2C, B4–103, and B4–203 airplanes; A300 B4–601, B4–603, B4–620, and B4–622 airplanes; A300 B4–605R and B4–622R airplanes; A300 F4–605R and F4–622R airplanes; A300 C4–605R Variant F airplanes; A310–203, –204, –221, and –222 airplanes; and A310–304, –322, –324, and –325 airplanes.	Within 2,000 flight cycles after the effective date of this AD.

Corrective Action

(g) If any cracking is found during any inspection required by paragraph (f) of this AD, before further flight, do the replacement and installation specified in paragraph (h) of this AD.

Terminating Action

(h) Replacing the existing fitting on FR12A with a FR12A crossbeam and installing a new web between FR12A and FR13 at stringer 26 in accordance with Airbus Service Bulletin A300–53–0364, Revision 02, dated September 24, 2004 (for Model A300 B2–1A, B2–1C, B2K–3C, and B2–203 airplanes, and Model A300 B4–2C, B4–103, and B4–203

airplanes); Service Bulletin A300–53–6137, Revision 03, dated April 4, 2005 (for Model A300 B4–601, B4–603, B4–620, and B4–622 airplanes, Model A300 B4–605R and B4–622R airplanes, Model A300 F4–605R and F4–622R airplanes, and Model A300 C4–605R Variant F airplanes); or Service Bulletin A310–53–2116, Revision 02, dated September 24, 2004 (for Model A310–203, –204, –221, and –222 airplanes, and Model A310–304, –322, –324, and –325 airplanes); as applicable; and except as required by paragraph (i) of this AD; constitutes terminating action for the requirements of this AD.

(i) Where the service bulletins specify to contact the manufacturer for certain

information, before further flight, do the terminating action according to a method approved by either the Manager, International Branch, ANM–116, FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate; or the DGAC (or its delegated agent).

Actions Accomplished According to Previous Issue of Service Bulletin

(j) Actions accomplished before the effective date of this AD according to the Airbus service bulletins specified in Table 3 of this AD are considered acceptable for compliance with the corresponding actions specified in this AD.

TABLE 3.—PREVIOUS ISSUES OF SERVICE BULLETINS

Airbus Service Bulletin	Revision level	Date
A300–53–0364	Original	December 1, 2003.
A300–53–0364	01	May 5, 2004.
A300–53–0365	Original	December 1, 2003.
A300–53–6137	Original	December 1, 2003.
A300–53–6137	01	May 5, 2004.
A300–53–6137	02	September 24, 2004.
A300–53–6138	Original	December 1, 2003.
A310–53–2116	Original	December 1, 2003.
A310–53–2116	01	May 5, 2004.
A310–53–2117	Original	December 1, 2003.

No Reporting Required

(k) Although the service bulletins referenced in this AD specify to submit certain information to the manufacturer, this AD does not include that requirement.

Alternative Methods of Compliance (AMOCs)

(l) The Manager, International Branch, ANM-116, Transport Airplane Directorate, FAA, has the authority to approve AMOCs for this AD, if requested in accordance with the procedures found in 14 CFR 39.19.

Related Information

(m) French airworthiness directive F-2005-084, dated May 25, 2005, also addresses the subject of this AD.

Issued in Renton, Washington, on August 24, 2005.

Ali Bahrami,

Manager, Transport Airplane Directorate,
Aircraft Certification Service.

[FR Doc. 05-17980 Filed 9-9-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. FAA-2005-22383; Directorate Identifier 2005-NM-102-AD]

RIN 2120-AA64

Airworthiness Directives; Boeing Model 747-100B SUD, 747-200B, 747-300, 747-400, and 747-400D Series Airplanes

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), Department of Transportation (DOT).

ACTION: Notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM).

SUMMARY: The FAA proposes to adopt a new airworthiness directive (AD) for certain Boeing Model 747-100B SUD, 747-300, 747-400, and 747-400D series airplanes; and Model 747-200B series airplanes having a stretched upper deck. This proposed AD would require repetitively inspecting for cracking or discrepancies of the fasteners in the tension ties, shear webs, and frames at body stations 1120 through 1220, and related investigative and corrective actions if necessary. This proposed AD results from new reports of severed tension ties, as well as numerous reports of cracked tension ties, broken fasteners, and cracks in the frame, shear web, and shear ties adjacent to tension ties for the upper deck. We are proposing this AD

to detect and correct cracking of the tension ties, shear webs, and frames of the upper deck, which could result in rapid decompression of the airplane.

DATES: We must receive comments on this proposed AD by October 27, 2005.

ADDRESSES: Use one of the following addresses to submit comments on this proposed AD.

- DOT Docket Web site: Go to <http://dms.dot.gov> and follow the instructions for sending your comments electronically.

- Government-wide rulemaking Web site: Go to <http://www.regulations.gov> and follow the instructions for sending your comments electronically.

- Mail: Docket Management Facility, U.S. Department of Transportation, 400 Seventh Street SW., Nassif Building, Room PL-401, Washington, DC 20590.

- Fax: (202) 493-2251.

- Hand Delivery: Room PL-401 on the plaza level of the Nassif Building, 400 Seventh Street SW., Washington, DC, between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays. Contact Boeing Commercial Airplanes, P.O. Box 3707, Seattle, Washington 98124-2207, for the service information identified in this proposed AD.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ivan Li, Aerospace Engineer, Airframe Branch, ANM-120S, FAA, Seattle Aircraft Certification Office, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington 98055-4056; telephone (425) 917-6437; fax (425) 917-6590.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Comments Invited

We invite you to submit any relevant written data, views, or arguments regarding this proposed AD. Include the docket number "FAA-2005-22383; Directorate Identifier 2005-NM-102-AD" at the beginning of your comments. We specifically invite comments on the overall regulatory, economic, environmental, and energy aspects of the proposed AD. We will consider all comments received by the closing date and may amend the proposed AD in light of those comments.

We will post all comments we receive, without change, to <http://dms.dot.gov>, including any personal information you provide. We will also post a report summarizing each substantive verbal contact with FAA personnel concerning this proposed AD. Using the search function of that Web site, anyone can find and read the comments in any of our dockets, including the name of the individual who sent the comment (or signed the comment on behalf of an association,

business, labor union, etc.). You may review DOT's complete Privacy Act Statement in the **Federal Register** published on April 11, 2000 (65 FR 19477-78), or you may visit <http://dms.dot.gov>.

Examining the Docket

You may examine the AD docket on the Internet at <http://dms.dot.gov>, or in person at the Docket Management Facility office between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays. The Docket Management Facility office (telephone (800) 647-5227) is located on the plaza level of the Nassif Building at the DOT street address stated in the **ADDRESSES** section. Comments will be available in the AD docket shortly after the Docket Management System receives them.

Discussion

We previously issued AD 2005-05-08, amendment 39-13997 (70 FR 12113, March 11, 2005). That AD applies to certain Boeing Model 747-100B SUD, -300, -400, and -400D series airplanes. That AD requires a one-time inspection for discrepancies of the fuselage frame to tension tie joints at body stations (BS) 1120 through 1220, and to determine if steel splice plates are installed on the fuselage frames, and related investigative and corrective actions. That AD was prompted by reports of severed tension ties found at the fuselage frame joints at BS 1120 and 1140. These severed tension ties resulted from fatigue cracking due to an incorrect configuration (installation of aluminum splice plates instead of steel splice plates during the manufacturing process).

Since we issued AD 2005-05-08, we have received additional reports of severed tension ties. While these severed tension ties were also attributed to fatigue, the tension ties in these cases were properly configured according to the applicable Boeing Engineering Drawings. We have also received numerous reports of fatigue cracking of tension ties, as well as broken fasteners and cracks in the frame and shear ties adjacent to tension ties for the upper deck between BS 1120 and 1220. Also, we have received reports of cracking in the shear web between the BS 1120 and BS 1140 tension ties. Cracking of the tension ties, shear webs, and frames of the upper deck; if not corrected; could result in rapid decompression of the airplane.

Certain Boeing 747-200B series airplanes have been modified under a certain Boeing-owned supplemental type certificate to include a stretched upper deck (SUD). These airplanes