sales and production increased in 2001 over the 2000 period. The petitioner supplied a company memo with their request for administrative reconsideration showing what estimated plant production would have been if there were no fire at the subject plant in the year 2000. Based on the information supplied, no declines in sales or production occurred during the relevant period of the investigation.

Conclusion

After review of the application and investigative findings, I conclude that there has been no error or misinterpretation of the law or of the facts which would justify reconsideration of the Department of Labor's prior decision. Accordingly, the application is denied.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 14th day of May, 2002.

Edward A. Tomchick,

 $\begin{array}{l} \textit{Director, Division of Trade Adjustment} \\ \textit{Assistance.} \end{array}$

[FR Doc. 02–13938 Filed 6–3–02; 8:45 am] **BILLING CODE 4510–30–P**

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-40,147 and TA-W-40,147A]

Guilford Mills, Inc., Cobleskill, New York and Guilford Mills, Inc., Sales Division, New York, New York; Notice of Revised Determination on Reconsideration

By letter of January 16, 2002, the company requested administrative reconsideration regarding the Department's Negative Determination Regarding Eligibility to Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance, applicable to the workers of the subject firm.

The initial investigation resulted in a negative determination issued on December 31, 2001, based on the finding that imports of lace and fabric did not contribute importantly to worker separations at the subject plant. The denial notice was published in the **Federal Register** on January 11, 2002 (67 FR 1510).

To support the request for reconsideration, the company requested that the Department of Labor survey an additional list of major lace customers.

Upon examination of the customer list it became evident that a major customer affiliated with the subject firm was certified for TAA on December 31, 2001 (Guilford Mills, Inc., Herkimer, New York, TA-W-38,749). A major portion of the subject plant's lace was shipped to that facility. That customer incorporated the lace into window and bedspread products. The Herkimer facility was certified for TAA on the basis of increased imports of curtain and bedspreads. The Sales Division workers, located in New York, New York were engaged in the sales of the lace produced by the subject plant. Since a meaningful portion of production and sales at the respective subject firm locations were in direct support of the affiliated certified facility, the subject facilities meet the TAA criteria.

Conclusion

After careful review of the additional facts obtained on reconsideration, the company imports of articles like or directly competitive with an affiliated facility under an existing TAA certification in which Guilford Mills, Inc., Cobleskill, New York and Guilford Mills, Inc., Sales Division, New York, New York are in direct support of contributed importantly to the declines in sales or production and to the total or partial separation of workers at the subject firm. In accordance with the provisions of the Act, I make the following certification:

"All workers of Guilford Mills, Inc., Cobleskill, New York, (TA–W–40,147) and Guilford Mills, Inc., Sales Division, New York, New York (TA–W–40,147A) who became totally or partially separated from employment on or after September 21, 2000 through two years from the date of this certification, are eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974."

Signed in Washington, DC this 9th day of May, 2002.

Edward A. Tomchick,

Director, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 02–13935 Filed 6–3–02; 8:45 am] $\tt BILLING\ CODE\ 4510–30–P$

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-40,147, TA-W-40,147B, and TA-W-40,147C]

Guilford Mills, Inc.; Cobleskill, New York, Guilford Mills, Inc., Apparel Home Fashion Division, Greensboro, North Carolina, and Guilford Mills, Inc., Corporate Division, Greensboro, North Carolina; Amended Notice of Revised Determination on Reconsideration

In accordance with section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974 (19 U.S.C. 2273) the Department of Labor issued a Revised Determination on Reconsideration on May 9, 2002, applicable to workers of Guilford Mills, Inc., Cobleskill, New York. The notice will be published soon in the **Federal Register**.

At the request of the company, the Department reviewed the certification for workers of the subject firm. The workers were engaged in the production of lace and fabric for apparel.

The company reports that worker separations occurred at the Apparel Home Fashion Division and the Corporate Division facilities of the subject firm. These divisions provide sales and administrative support function services directly for the Cobleskill, New York production facility.

Based on these findings, the Department is amending the certification to include workers of Guilford Mills, Inc., Apparel Home Fashion Division and Corporate Division, Greensboro, North Carolina.

The intent of the Department's certification is to include all workers of Guilford Mills, Inc. who were adversely affected by increased imports.

The amended notice applicable to TA-W-40,147 is hereby issued as follows:

"All workers of Guilford Mills, Inc., Cobleskill, New York (TA-W-40,147), Guilford Mills, Apparel Home Fashion Division, Greensboro, North Carolina (TA-W-40,147B) and Guilford Mills, Inc., Corporate Division, Greensboro, North Carolina (TA-W-40,147C) who became totally or partially separated from employment on or after September 21, 2000, through May 9, 2004, are eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974."

Signed at Washington, DC, this 20th day of May, 2002.

Linda G. Poole,

Certifying Officer, Division, of Trade Adjustment Assistance. [FR Doc. 02–13934 Filed 6–3–02; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4510–30–P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-40,473]

Marlan Tool, Inc., Meadville, Pennsylvania; Dismissal of Application for Reconsideration

Pursuant to 29 CFR 90.18(C) an application for administrative reconsideration was filed with the Director of the Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance for workers at Marlan Tool, Inc., Meadville, Pennsylvania. The application contained no new substantial