

on 202-693-4122 (this is not a toll-free number) or e-Mail: [Mills.Ira@dol.gov](mailto:Mills.Ira@dol.gov).

Comments should be sent to Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Attn: OMB Desk Officer for ETA, Office of Management and Budget, Room 10235, Washington, DC 20503, 202-395-7316 (this is not a toll free number), within 30 days from the date of this publication in the **Federal Register**.

The OMB is particularly interested in comments which:

- Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;
- Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
- Enhance the quality, utility and clarity of the information to be collected; and
- Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

*Agency:* Employment and Training Administration (ETA).

*Type of Review:* Extension of a currently approved collection.

*Title:* Program Monitoring Report and One-Stop Career Center Complaint Form.

*OMB Number:* 1205-0039.

*Frequency:* On occasion; Quarterly.

*Affected Public:* State, Local, or Tribal government.

*Type of Response:* Recordkeeping; Reporting.

*Number of Respondents:* 52.

*Annual Responses:* 208.

*Average Response Time:* ETA Form 8429 is 8 minutes and recordkeeping time is 30 minutes; ETA Form 5148 is 70 minutes and recordkeeping time is 1.12 hours.

*Total Annual Burden Hours:* 1,566.

*Total Annualized Capital/Startup Costs:* 0.

*Total Annual Costs (operating/maintaining systems or purchasing services):* 0.

*Description:* These forms are necessary as part of Federal regulations at 20 CFR part 651, 653 and 658 published as a result of NAACP v. *Secretary of Labor*. The forms allow ETA to track regulatory compliance of services provided to Migrant and

Seasonal Farmworkers by State Employment Workforce Agencies.

**Ira L. Mills,**

*Departmental Clearance Officer.*

[FR Doc. 05-20079 Filed 10-5-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-P

## DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

### Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-56,114]

#### **Bourns Microelectronics Modules, Inc., a Subsidiary of Bourns, Inc., New Berlin, WI; Amended Notice of Revised Determination on Remand**

In accordance with Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974 (19 U.S.C. 2273) the Department of Labor issued a Notice of Revised Determination On Remand on August 16, 2005, applicable to workers of Bourns Microelectronics Modules, Inc., a subsidiary of Bourns, Inc., New Berlin, Wisconsin. The notice was published in the **Federal Register** on August 26, 2005 (70 FR 50409-50410).

At the request of the State agency, the Department reviewed the certification for workers of the subject firm. The workers were engaged in the production of computer modules.

The purpose of this amendment is to clarify that individuals who received any benefits under trade adjustment assistance case number TA-W-42,217 may not receive any benefits under trade adjustment assistance case number TA-W-56,114 for the same separation from employment.

The amended certification applicable to TA-W-56,114 is hereby issued as follows:

All workers of Bourns Microelectronics Modules, Inc., a subsidiary of Bourns, Inc., New Berlin, Wisconsin, who became totally or partially separated from employment on or after December 3, 2003 through August 16, 2007, are eligible under Section 223 to apply for adjustment assistance of the Trade Act of 1974, and are also eligible to apply for alternative trade adjustment assistance under Section 246 of the Trade Act of 1974, except that individuals who received any benefits under trade adjustment assistance case number TA-W-42,217 may not receive any benefits under trade adjustment assistance case number TA-W-56,114 for the same separation from employment.

Signed at Washington, DC this 14th day of September 2005.

**Elliott S. Kushner,**

*Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.*

[FR Doc. E5-5476 Filed 10-5-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-P

## DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

### Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-57,908]

#### **Casair, Inc.; Stanton, MI; Notice of Termination of Investigation**

Pursuant to Section 221 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended, an investigation was initiated on September 9, 2005 in response to a worker petition filed by a company official on behalf of workers at Casair, Inc., Stanton, Michigan.

An active certification covering the petitioning group of workers is already in effect (TA-W-57,399, as amended). Consequently, further investigation in this case would serve no purpose, and the investigation has been terminated.

Signed at Washington, DC this 15th day of September 2005.

**Richard Church,**

*Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.*

[FR Doc. E5-5484 Filed 10-5-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-P

## DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

### Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-57,065]

#### **Galileo International Division of Cendant Corporation, Centennial, CO; Notice of Negative Determination on Reconsideration**

On August 9, 2005, the Department issued an Affirmative Determination Regarding Application for Reconsideration for the workers and former workers of the subject firm. The notice was published in the **Federal Register** on August 18, 2005 (70 FR 48604-48605).

The petition for the workers of Galileo International, Division of Cendant Corporation, Centennial, Colorado engaged in software development was denied because the petitioning workers did not produce an article within the meaning of section 222 of the Act.

The petitioner contends that the Department erred in its interpretation of work performed at the subject facility as a service and further conveys that software developed by the subject firm was sold to travel agents, travel suppliers and corporation travel offices. The petitioner included the brochures with the description of the software as well as the company Web site which advertises the "articles", in order to