been approved by OMB under control number 0648-0175. Public reporting burden for the latter collections of information is estimated to average 4 hours for an application, 1 hour for a semi-annual report, and 1 hour for a final report. These estimates include the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding these burden estimates or any other aspect of these collections of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Ellie Francisco Roche (see ADDRESSES).

Authority: 15 U.S.C. 713c-3(d).

Dated: October 5, 2001.

William T. Hogarth,

Assistant Administrator for Fisheries, National Marine Fisheries Service. [FR Doc. 01–25902 Filed 10–12–01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-22-S

CONSUMER PRODUCT SAFETY COMMISSION

Sunshine Act Meeting

AGENCY: Consumer Safety Commission.

TIME AND DATE: Tuesday, October 16, 2001, 10 a.m.

LOCATION: Room 420, East West Towers, 4330 East West Highway, Bethesda, Maryland.

STATUS: Open to the public.

MATTER TO BE CONSIDERED: Purchaser Identification Card Program (ANPR). The staff will brief the Commission on an advance notice of proposed rulemaking (ANPR) concerning a program that would require purchaser identification cards with certain consumer products.

For a recorded message containing the latest agenda information, call (301) 504–0709.

CONTACT PERSON FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION: Todd A. Stevenson, Office of the Secretary, 4330 East West Highway, Bethesda, MD 20207, (301) 504–0800.

Dated: October 10, 2001.

Todd A. Stewenson,

Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 01–25939 Filed 10–10–01; 5:03 pm]

BILLING CODE 6355-01-M

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Department of the Army; Corps of Engineers

Intent To Prepare a Draft Environmental Impact Statement for Tres Rio del Norte, Pima County, AZ

AGENCY: Army Corps of Engineers, DoD. **ACTION:** Notice of intent.

SUMMARY: The Los Angeles District intends to prepare an Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) to support the proposed study for Pima County. The Tres Rio del Norte study area is located in the upper Sonoran Desert in the Santa Cruz watershed. It includes portions of the Town Of Marana, City of Tucson, and Pima County jurisdictions, and includes upland areas around the vicinity of the Santa Cruz River between Prince Road and Moore Road. The study area will be refined during the course of the study to include appropriate areas of consideration in accordance with the general study objectives.

The proposed project involves restoration of riparian habitat along the stream courses in Pima County, providing flood protection to the City of Tucson, town of Marana and part of Pima County, also increased recreational opportunities consistent with ecosystem restoration.

ADDRESSES: Commander, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Attn: Joy Jaiswal, CESPL-PD-RL, Los Angeles District, Regional Planning Section, PO Box 532711, Los Angeles, CA 90053–2325

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ms. Joy Jaiswal, Environmental Manager, phone (213) 452–3871.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

1. Study Authority

This study would be conducted under two separate authorities provided by Congress. The first and most recent authority is provided by House Resolution 2425 (HR 2425), dated May 17, 1994. The second authority is given in Public Law 761, Seventy-fifth Congress, known as Section 6 of the Flood Control Act of 1938.

2. Proposed Action

Provide flood control, restoration of riparian habitat, and increase recreation facilities. The U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (Corps) intends to prepare a Draft EIS to assess the environmental effects associated with the proposed Tress Rio del Notre project. The Environmental Impact Statement will evaluate impacts of viable alternatives along with a No Action Alternative. Resource categories that will be

analyzed in the EIS are: land use, physical environment, geology, biological agricultural, air quality, water quality, groundwater, recreational usage, esthetics, cultural resources, transportation/communications, hazardous waste, socioeconomic and safety. The public will have the opportunity to comment on this analysis before any action is taken to implement the proposed action.

3. Scoping Process

The Corps will conduct a scoping meeting prior to preparing the Environmental Impact Statement to aid in determining the significant environmental issues associated with the proposed action. The public, as well as Federal, State, and local agencies are encouraged to participate in the scoping process by submitting data, information, and comments identifying relevant environmental and socioeconomic issues to be addressed in the environmental analysis. Useful information includes other environmental studies, published and unpublished data, alternatives that should be addressed in the analysis, and potential mitigation measures associated with the proposed action.

A public scoping meeting will be held in conjunction with the local sponsor to discuss the project scope and invite public participation in developing alternatives for the project. Individuals and agencies may offer information or data relevant to the environmental socioeconomic impacts by attending the public scoping meeting, or by mailing the information to the above address.

4. Public Scoping Meeting

The scoping meeting is scheduled for October 30, 2001, at 6 PM, at Coyote Trails Elementary School, 8000 North Silver Bell Road, Marana, Arizona.

Luz D. Ortiz.

Army Federal Register Liaison Officer. [FR Doc. 01–25771 Filed 12–12–01; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3710–KF–M

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Department of the Army; Army Corps of Engineers

Notice of Intent To Prepare a Joint Environmental Impact Statement and Environmental Impact Report for Berryessa Creek, Santa Clara County, CA

AGENCY: Army Corps of Engineers, DoD.

ACTION: Notice of intent.

SUMMARY: The action being taken is a General Reevaluation Report to (1) address potential improvements for the existing flood management systems, (2) investigate additional areas of flood damage reduction for Berryessa Creek, (3) evaluate methods to reduce sediment deposition in the downstream region, (4) improve recreation, and (5) integrate ecosystem restoration. The Berryessa Creek watershed is located in Santa Clara County, California, south of San Francisco Bay. Berryessa Creek is a tributary to Coyote Creek, which flows into the southern end of San Francisco Bay. The watershed is about 22 square miles in area and drains portions of the Diablo Range on the east side of the Santa Clara Valley. This study focuses on approximately 4.5 miles of Berryessa Creek.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Questions about the proposed action and EIS/EIR should be addressed to Liz Holland at (916) 557–6763 or by mail to U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, ATTN CESPK–PD–R, 1325 J Street, Sacramento, California 95814–2922.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

1. Proposed Action

The U.S. Army Corps of Engineers and the Santa Clara Valley Water District are conducting a study on Berryessa Creek, which will result in a General Reevaluation Report. The study will focus on ways to improve flood damage reduction, reduce sediment load, restore the ecosystem, and add recreation opportunities. County population centers include the cities of Milpitas and San Jose.

2. Alternatives

The General Reevaluation Report will address an array of alternatives. Alternatives analyzed during the reevaluation investigation will be a combination of one or more flood damage reduction and ecosystem restoration measures. These measures include levee work, floodwalls, off-line flood and sediment storage basins, vegetation plantings along the creek, and culvert improvements and replacements.

3. Scoping Process

- a. The project study plan provides for a public scoping meeting to present information to the public and to receive information from the public. The Corps has initiated a process to involve concerned individuals, and local, State, and Federal agencies.
- b. Significant issues to be analyzed in depth in the EIS/EIR include appropriate levels of flood damage reduction; adverse effects on vegetation

and wildlife resources, special-status species, esthetics, cultural resources, recreation, land use, fisheries, water quality, air quality, transportation, and socioeconomics, and cumulative effects of related projects in the study area.

c. The Corps will consult with the State Historic Preservation Officer to comply with the National Historic Preservation Act, and the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service to provide a Fish and Wildlife Coordination Act Report as an appendix to the EIS/EIR.

d. A 45-day public review period will be provided for individuals and agencies to review and comment on the draft EIS/EIR. All interested parties are encouraged to respond to this notice and provide a current address if they wish to be notified of the EIS/EIR circulation.

4. Availability

The draft EIS/EIR is scheduled to be available for public review and comment late in calendar year 2004.

Luz D. Ortiz,

Army Federal Register Liaison Officer. [FR Doc. 01–25772 Filed 10–12–01; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3710–EZ–M

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Department of the Navy

Notice of Intent To Grant Nonexclusive License; MK Ballistic Systems

AGENCY: Department of the Navy, DOD. **ACTION:** Notice.

SUMMARY: The Department of the Navy gives notice of its intent to grant MK Ballistic Systems, a revocable, nonassignable, partially nonexclusive license, with exclusive fields of use in law enforcement, explosive ordnance disposal, squibs, initiators, in the United States to practice the Government-owned invention, U.S. Patent Application Serial Number 09/ 678,302 entitled "Ignitor Apparatus." **DATES:** Anyone wishing to object to the grant of this license must file written objections along with supporting evidence, if any, not later than October 31, 2001.

ADDRESSES: Written objections are to be filed with Indian Head Division, Naval Surface Warfare Center, Code OC4, 101 Strauss Avenue, Indian Head, MD 20640–5035.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Dr. J. Scott Deiter, Head, Technology Transfer Office, Naval Surface Warfare Center Indian Head Division, Code 05T, 101 Strauss Avenue, Indian Head, MD 20640–5035, telephone (301) 744–6111.

Dated: October 3, 2001.

Robert E. Vincent II,

Lieutenant Commander, Judge Advocate General's Corps, U.S. Navy, Federal Register Liaison Officer.

[FR Doc. 01–25868 Filed 10–12–01; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3810–FF–P

DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

Notice of Proposed Information Collection Requests

AGENCY: Department of Education.

SUMMARY: The Leader, Regulatory
Information Management Group, Office
of the Chief Information Officer, invites
comments on the proposed information
collection requests as required by the
Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995.

DATES: Interested persons are invited to submit comments on or before December 14, 2001.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Section 3506 of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35) requires that the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) provide interested Federal agencies and the public an early opportunity to comment on information collection requests. OMB may amend or waive the requirement for public consultation to the extent that public participation in the approval process would defeat the purpose of the information collection, violate State or Federal law, or substantially interfere with any agency's ability to perform its statutory obligations. The Leader, Regulatory Information Management Group, Office of the Chief Information Officer, publishes that notice containing proposed information collection requests prior to submission of these requests to OMB. Each proposed information collection, grouped by office, contains the following: (1) Type of review requested, e.g. new, revision, extension, existing or reinstatement; (2) Title; (3) Summary of the collection; (4) Description of the need for, and proposed use of, the information; (5) Respondents and frequency of collection; and (6) Reporting and/or Recordkeeping burden. OMB invites public comment.

The Department of Education is especially interested in public comment addressing the following issues: (1) Is this collection necessary to the proper functions of the Department; (2) will this information be processed and used in a timely manner; (3) is the estimate of burden accurate; (4) how might the Department enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (5) how might the