

reviews but covered in the original investigation of sales at LTFV or a previous review, the cash deposit will continue to be the company-specific rate published for the most recent period; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this or a previous review, or the original LTFV investigation, but the manufacturer is, the cash deposit rate will be the rate established for the most recent period for the manufacturer of the merchandise; and (4) for all other producers and/or exporters of this merchandise, the cash deposit rate shall be 9.86 percent, the "all others" rate established in the LTFV investigation (64 FR 15476, March 31, 1999).

These deposit rates, when imposed, shall remain in effect until publication of the final results of the next administrative review. This notice also serves as a preliminary reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR § 351.402(f) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

This administrative review and notice are issued and published in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and (a)(2)(B) of the Act (19 USC 1675(a)) and 19 CFR §§ 351.213. Effective January 20, 2001, Bernard T. Carreau is fulfilling the duties of the Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

Dated: January 31, 2001.

Bernard T. Carreau,
Deputy Assistant Secretary, AD/CVD
Enforcement II.

[FR Doc. 01-4664 Filed 2-23-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-841-804]

Notice of Postponement of the Final Determination of Investigation: Steel Concrete Reinforcing Bars From Moldova

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

EFFECTIVE DATE: February 26, 2001.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Nithya Nagarajan or Michele Mire at (202) 482-5253 or (202) 482-4711, respectively; AD/CVD Enforcement, Office 4, Group II, Import

Administration, Room 1870, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230.

The Applicable Statute and Regulations

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the statute are references to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the Tariff Act of 1930 (the Act) by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act (URAA). In addition, unless otherwise indicated, all citations to Department of Commerce (the Department) regulations refer to the regulations codified at 19 CFR part 351 (2000).

Background

This investigation was initiated on July 18, 2000. *See Initiation of Antidumping Duty Investigations: Steel Concrete Reinforcing Bars from Austria, Belarus, Indonesia, Japan, Latvia, Moldova, the People's Republic of China, Poland, the Republic of Korea, the Russian Federation, Ukraine and Venezuela*, 65 FR 45754 (July 25, 2000). The period of investigation (POI) is October 1, 1999 through March 31, 2000. On January 30, 2001, the Department published the notice of preliminary determination. *See Notice of Preliminary Determination of Sales at Less than Fair Value: Steel Concrete Reinforcing Bars from Moldova*, 66 FR 8338 (January 30, 2001).

Postponement of Final Determination

Section 735(a)(2) of the Act provides that a final determination may be postponed until not later than 135 days after the date of the publication of the preliminary determination if, in the event of an affirmative determination, a request for such postponement is made by exporters who account for a significant proportion of exports of the subject merchandise, or in the event of a negative preliminary determination, a request for such postponement is made by petitioner. The Department's regulations, at 19 CFR 351.210(e)(2), require that requests by respondents for postponement of a final determination be accompanied by a request for extension of provisional measures from a four-month period to not more than six months.

On February 1, 2001, JV CJSC Moldova Steel Works (MSW), the respondent in this investigation, requested that the Department extend the final determination for the maximum statutory period of 135 days after the publication of the preliminary determination. MSW also requested that the Department extend the imposition of

provisional measures from a four-month period to not more than six months. Accordingly, since we have made an affirmative preliminary determination, and MSW is the sole producer of the subject merchandise in Moldova, we have postponed the final determination until not later than 135 days after the date of publication of the preliminary determination on June 14, 2001.

This notice is published in accordance with section 735(a)(2) of the Act. Effective January 20, 2001, Bernard T. Carreau is fulfilling the duties of Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

Dated: February 15, 2001.

Bernard T. Carreau,
Deputy Assistant Secretary, AD/CVD
Enforcement Group II.

[FR Doc. 01-4661 Filed 2-23-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-570-601]

Tapered Roller Bearings and Parts Thereof, Finished and Unfinished, From the People's Republic of China; Amended Final Results of 1998-1999 Administrative Review and Determination To Revoke Order in Part

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of amended final results of 1998-1999 administrative review and determination to revoke order in part.

SUMMARY: On January 10, 2001, the Department published the final results of the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on tapered roller bearings and parts thereof, finished and unfinished, from the People's Republic of China.¹ On January 12, 2001, certain respondents filed allegations of ministerial errors and on January 18, 2001, the petitioner filed a response to the allegations. Based on our review of the comments received from all parties regarding potential ministerial errors, we have made certain changes to the margin calculation of respondent Wafangdian Bearing Company Ltd. The final weighted-average dumping margin for this company is now zero. We have,

¹ *Tapered Roller Bearings and Parts Thereof, Finished and Unfinished, From the People's Republic of China; Final Results of 1998-1999 Administrative Review, Partial Rescission of Review, and Notice of Intent to Revoke Order in Part*, 66 FR 1953 (January 10, 2001) ("Final Results").

moreover, determined to revoke the antidumping duty order with respect to Wafangdian Bearing Company Ltd. We have also corrected a typographical error in the *Final Results* in which the PRC-wide cash deposit rate was listed as 33.12 percent. The correct PRC-wide cash deposit rate is 33.18 percent.

EFFECTIVE DATE: February 26, 2001.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Greg Campbell or Jarrod Goldfeder, Group 1, Office I, Antidumping/Countervailing Duty Enforcement, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone (202) 482-2239 or (202) 482-0189, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

The Applicable Statute

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the "Act"), are references to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the Act by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act ("URAA"). In addition, unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Department of Commerce's ("Department") regulations are to 19 CFR Part 351 (2000).

Background

On January 10, 2001, the Department published the *Final Results*. The period of review ("POR") is June 1, 1998, through May 31, 1999.

On January 12, 2001, ministerial error allegations were submitted by respondents Wafangdian Bearing Company Ltd. ("Wafangdian"), Zhejiang Machinery Import & Export Corp., ("ZMC"), and Luoyang Bearing Corp. (Group). Respondents Wanxiang Group Corporation ("Wanxiang"), China National Machinery Import & Export Corporation ("CMC"), Liaoning MEC Group Co. Ltd., Premier Bearing & Equipment Ltd., Tianshui Hailin Import and Export Corporation/Hailin Bearing Factory, and Weihai Machinery Holding (Group) Co., Ltd. submitted a January 17, 2001, letter to the Department expressing their concurrence with the allegations raised in the January 12, 2001 submission of the other respondents. On January 18, 2001, the petitioner submitted its comments on the January 12 ministerial error allegations.

The Department has conducted this administrative review in accordance with section 751 of the Act.

Scope of Review

Merchandise covered by this review includes tapered roller bearings

("TRBs") and parts thereof, finished and unfinished, from the PRC; flange, take up cartridge, and hanger units incorporating TRBs; and tapered roller housings (except pillow blocks) incorporating TRBs, with or without spindles, whether or not for automotive use. This merchandise is currently classifiable under the *Harmonized Tariff Schedule* of the United States ("HTSUS") item numbers 8482.20.00, 8482.91.00.50, 8482.99.30, 8483.20.40, 8483.20.80, 8483.30.80, 8483.90.20, 8483.90.30, 8483.90.80, 8708.99.80.15, and 8708.99.80.80. Although the HTSUS item numbers are provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description of the scope of the order and this review is dispositive.

Determination To Revoke Order, in Part

The Department "may revoke, in whole or in part" an antidumping duty order upon completion of a review under section 751 of the Act. While Congress has not specified the procedures that the Department must follow in revoking an order, the Department has developed a procedure for revocation that is described in 19 CFR 351.222. This regulation requires, *inter alia*, that a company requesting revocation must submit the following: (1) A certification that the company has sold the subject merchandise at not less than normal value ("NV") in the current review period and that the company will not sell subject merchandise at less than NV during the future; (2) a certification that the company sold commercial quantities of the subject merchandise to the United States in each of the three years forming the basis of the request; and (3) an agreement to reinstatement of the order if the Department concludes that the company, subsequent to the revocation, sold subject merchandise at less than NV. See 19 CFR 351.222(e)(1). Upon receipt of such a request, the Department will consider (1) whether the company in question has sold subject merchandise at not less than NV for a period of at least three consecutive years; (2) the likelihood that the company in question will in the future sell the subject merchandise at less than normal value; and (3) whether the company has agreed in writing to its immediate reinstatement in the order, as long as any exporter or producer is subject to the order, if the Department concludes that the company, subsequent to the revocation, sold the subject merchandise at less than NV. See 19 CFR 351.222(b)(2).

As noted in the *Final Results*, CMC, Wafangdian, Wanxiang, and ZMC

submitted the proper certifications pursuant to 19 CFR 351.222(e)(1), and requested revocation of the antidumping duty order, in part, based on an absence of dumping for at least three consecutive years. Because CMC and ZMC were found to have made sales below normal value in the instant review, these companies do not qualify for revocation. Although Wanxiang sold the subject merchandise at not less than normal value for a period of at least three consecutive years, we determined that Wanxiang does not qualify for revocation of the order because the company did not sell the subject merchandise in the United States in commercial quantities in each of the three years forming the basis of its revocation request.

Wafangdian received a zero margin in each of the last two reviews.² In addition, as noted below, Wafangdian's dumping margin in the instant review is zero as a result of our correction of certain ministerial errors. Accordingly, Wafangdian meets the first criterion of 19 CFR 351.222(b)(2). After consideration of the various comments that were submitted in response to the *Preliminary Results*,³ we determine that Wafangdian is not likely to sell the subject merchandise in the United States below normal value in the future. See *infra*, "*Analysis of Comments Received*." Finally, as stated above, Wafangdian has agreed in writing to the immediate reinstatement in the order, as long as any exporter or producer is subject to the order, if the Department concludes that the Wafangdian, subsequent to the revocation, sold the subject merchandise at less than NV. Therefore, we find that Wafangdian qualifies for revocation of the order on TRBs under 19 CFR 351.222(b)(2).

² See *Tapered Roller Bearings and Parts Thereof, Finished and Unfinished, From the People's Republic of China; Final Results of 1997-1998 Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and Final Results of New Shipper Review*, 64 FR 61837 (November 15, 1999) ("TRBs X") and *Tapered Roller Bearings and Parts Thereof, Finished and Unfinished, From the People's Republic of China; Final Results of 1996-1997 Antidumping Administrative Review and New Shipper Review and Determination Not to Revoke Order in Part*, 63 FR 63842 (November 17, 1998) ("TRBs XI"). We note that in *TRBs XI*, we did not conduct a review of Wafangdian. However, pursuant to 19 CFR 351.222(d), we are not required to conduct a review of the intervening year so long as we conduct a review in the first and third years of the three year consecutive time period. For Wafangdian, we conducted a review in *TRBs X* and in the instant review.

³ *Tapered Roller Bearings and Parts Thereof, Finished and Unfinished, From the People's Republic of China; Preliminary Results of 1998-1999 Administrative Review, Partial Rescission of Review, and Notice of Intent to Revoke Order in Part*, 65 FR 41944 (July 7, 2000) ("Preliminary Results").

Accordingly, we are revoking the order with respect to merchandise produced and exported by Wafangdian.

Analysis of Comments Received

We have addressed all of the comments regarding the ministerial error allegations in the *Memorandum to Susan Kuhbach*, "Ministerial Error Allegations for Final Results of Review" (February 12, 2001). As explained in that memorandum, correction of the ministerial errors for Wafangdian resulted in a zero margin for Wafangdian (see *Memorandum to File*, "Calculations for Amended Final Results for Wafangdian" (February 12, 2001) for the calculation changes made to the final results). Accordingly, as noted above, we have determined to revoke the antidumping duty order with respect to Wafangdian.

In the *Final Results*, we did not address certain comments regarding revocation raised in the briefs and rebuttal briefs of interested parties, noting that those issues were moot because we found the respondents ineligible for revocation (either based on the fact that they did not make sales above normal value in the instant review or that they did not make sales in commercial quantities during the three-year period being analyzed).⁴ Since, in light of Wafangdian's amended zero margin, these issues are no longer moot, we have addressed parties' comments regarding revocation in a separate memorandum. See *Memorandum from Richard W. Moreland*, Deputy Assistant Secretary, Import Administration, to Bernard T. Carreau, Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, "Issues and Decision Memorandum for Amended Final Results" (February 14, 2001). Parties can find a complete discussion of all issues raised in these amended final results and the corresponding recommendations in this public memorandum, which is on file in the Central Records Unit, room B-099 of the main Department building. In addition, a complete version of this memorandum can be accessed directly on the Web at <http://ia.ita.doc.gov/frn/summary/list.htm>. The paper copy and electronic version of this memorandum are identical in content.

Amended Final Results

Based on our review of comments received regarding ministerial errors, we have made the following change to the *Final Results*. We have incorporated into our calculation of Wafangdian's

weighted average margin the revisions to certain packing material and labor figures, as detailed in exhibits 6 and 7 of Wafangdian's March 14, 2000 submission.

We have also corrected a typographical error in the PRC-wide cash deposit rate in the final results. The correct PRC-wide (country-wide) cash deposit rate is 33.18 percent.

Based on these revisions, we determine that the following dumping margins exist for the period June 1, 1998 through May 31, 1999:

Manufacturer/exporter	Revised margin (percent)
Wafangdian	0.00
Wanxiang	0.00
CMC	0.82
ZMC	7.37
Liaoning	0.00
Hailin	0.00
Weihai	0.00
Luoyang	4.37
Premier	7.36

We are issuing and publishing this determination and notice in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 771(i) of the Act.

Dated: February 16, 2001.

Timothy J. Hauser,

Acting Under Secretary for International Trade.

Appendix—List of Comments and Issues in the Decision Memorandum

- Comment 1: The Department Should Grant Revocations
- Comment 2: Limiting Revocation to Certain Trading Companies
- Comment 3: Limiting Revocation to Particular Models

[FR Doc. 01-4657 Filed 2-23-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-570-601]

Tapered Roller Bearings and Parts Thereof, Finished and Unfinished, From the People's Republic of China; Notice of Extension of Time Limit for 1999-2000 Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of extension of time limit.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce is extending the time limit for the preliminary results of the thirteenth

review of the antidumping duty order on tapered roller bearings and parts thereof, finished and unfinished, from the People's Republic of China. The period of review is June 1, 1999 through May 31, 2000. This extension is made pursuant to section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act.

EFFECTIVE DATE: February 26, 2001.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Jarrold Goldfeder or Melani Miller, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington DC 20230; telephone (202) 482-0189 or (202) 482-0116, respectively.

Applicable Statute

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the statute are references to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the Tariff Act of 1930 ("the Act") by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act and all citations to the regulations are to 19 CFR Part 351 (2000).

Statutory Time Limits

Section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act requires the Department to issue the preliminary results of an administrative review within 245 days after the last day of the anniversary month of an order for which a review is requested and a final determination within 120 days after the date on which the preliminary results are published. However, if it is not practicable to complete the review within the time period, section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act allows the Department to extend these deadlines to a maximum of 365 days and 180 days, respectively.

Background

On July 31, 2000, the Department published a notice of initiation of administrative review of the antidumping duty order on tapered roller bearings from the People's Republic of China, covering the period June 1, 1999, through May 31, 2000 (65 FR 46687).¹ The preliminary results for the antidumping duty administrative review of tapered roller bearings from the People's Republic of China are

¹ Due to a clerical error, this FR notice failed to portray accurately all the companies participating in the review. An updated company list was reflected in a subsequent **Federal Register** notice. See *Amended Notice of Initiation and Rescission in Part for the 1999-2000 Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 65 FR 48968 (August 10, 2000).

⁴ See *Final Results* and accompanying Decision Memo at Comments 18-21.