

# Notices

Federal Register

Vol. 86, No. 215

Wednesday, November 10, 2021

This section of the FEDERAL REGISTER contains documents other than rules or proposed rules that are applicable to the public. Notices of hearings and investigations, committee meetings, agency decisions and rulings, delegations of authority, filing of petitions and applications and agency statements of organization and functions are examples of documents appearing in this section.

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### Office of the Under Secretary for Economic Affairs

#### Advisory Committee on Data for Evidence Building; Meeting

**AGENCY:** Office of the Under Secretary for Economic Affairs, U.S. Department of Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice of public meetings.

**SUMMARY:** The Office of the Under Secretary for Economic Affairs is providing notice of two upcoming meetings of the Advisory Committee on Data for Evidence Building (ACDEB or Committee). These will constitute the fourteenth and fifteenth meetings of the Committee in support of its charge to review, analyze, and make recommendations on how to promote the use of Federal data for evidence building purposes. At the conclusion of the Committee's first and second year, it will submit to the Director of the Office of Management and Budget, Executive Office of the President, an annual report on the activities and findings of the Committee. This report will also be made available to the public.

**DATES:** November 19, 2021; January 21, 2022. The meetings will begin at approximately 9:00 a.m. and adjourn at approximately 12:00 p.m. (ET). Each meeting will be held virtually.

**ADDRESSES:** Those interested in attending the Committee's public meetings are requested to RSVP to [Evidence@bea.gov](mailto:Evidence@bea.gov) one week prior to each meeting. Agendas, background material, and meeting links will be accessible 24 hours prior to each meeting at [www.bea.gov/evidence](http://www.bea.gov/evidence).

Members of the public who wish to submit written input for the Committee's consideration are welcomed to do so via email to [Evidence@bea.gov](mailto:Evidence@bea.gov). Additional opportunities for public input will be forthcoming.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Gianna Marrone, Program Analyst, U.S. Department of Commerce, 4600 Silver Hill Road (BE-64), Suitland, MD 20746; phone (301) 278-9282; email [Evidence@bea.gov](mailto:Evidence@bea.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The Foundations for Evidence-Based Policymaking Act (Pub. L. 115-435, Evidence Act 101(a)(2) (5 U.S.C. 315 (a))), establishes the Committee and its charge. It specifies that the Chief Statistician of the United States shall serve as the Chair and other members shall be appointed by the Director of the Office of Management and Budget (OMB). The Act prescribes a membership balance plan that includes: One agency Chief Information Officer; one agency Chief Privacy Officer; one agency Chief Performance Officer; three members who are agency Chief Data Officers; three members who are agency Evaluation Officers; and three members who are agency Statistical Officials who are members of the Interagency Council for Statistical Policy established under section 3504(e)(8) of title 44.

Additionally, at least 10 members are to be representative of state and local governments and nongovernmental stakeholders with expertise in government data policy, privacy, technology, transparency policy, evaluation and research methodologies, and other relevant subjects. Committee members serve for a term of two years. Following a public solicitation and review of nominations, the Director of OMB appointed members per this balance plan and information on the membership can be found at [www.bea.gov/evidence](http://www.bea.gov/evidence). Any member appointed to fill a vacancy occurring before the expiration of the term for which the member's predecessor was appointed shall be appointed only for the remainder of that term.

The ACDEB is interested in the public's input on the issues it will consider, and requests that interested parties submit statements to the ACDEB via email to [Evidence@bea.gov](mailto:Evidence@bea.gov). Please use the subject line "ACDEB Meeting Public Comment." All statements will be provided to the members for their consideration and will become part of the Committee's records. Additional opportunities for public input will be forthcoming as the Committee's work progresses.

ACDEB Committee meetings are open, and the public is invited to attend and observe. Those planning to attend are asked to RSVP to [Evidence@bea.gov](mailto:Evidence@bea.gov). The call-in number, access code, and meeting link will be posted 24 hours prior to each meeting on [www.bea.gov/evidence](http://www.bea.gov/evidence). The meetings are accessible to people with disabilities. Requests for foreign language interpretation or other auxiliary aids should be directed to Gianna Marrone at [Evidence@bea.gov](mailto:Evidence@bea.gov) two weeks prior to each meeting.

Dated: October 18, 2021.

**Gianna Marrone,**

*Alternate Designated Federal Official, U.S. Department of Commerce.*

[FR Doc. 2021-24533 Filed 11-9-21; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-MN-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A-533-883]

#### Glycine From India: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2018-2020

**AGENCY:** Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Commerce (Commerce) finds that producers or exporters subject to this administrative review made sales of subject merchandise below normal value during the period of review October 31, 2018, through May 31, 2020.

**DATES:** Applicable November 10, 2021.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Preston Cox or Yang Jin Chun, AD/CVD Operations, Office VI, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-5041 or (202) 482-5760, respectively.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### Background

On July 7, 2021, Commerce published the *Preliminary Results* of the 2018-2020 administrative review of the antidumping duty order on glycine from India.<sup>1</sup> For a complete description of the

<sup>1</sup> See *Glycine from India: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2018-2020*, 86 FR 35733 (July 7, 2021) (*Preliminary*

events that followed the *Preliminary Results*, see the Issues and Decision Memorandum.<sup>2</sup> Commerce conducted this administrative review in accordance with section 751 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act).

### Scope of the Order

The merchandise subject to the order is glycine. For a complete description of the scope of this administrative review, see the Issues and Decision Memorandum.<sup>3</sup>

### Analysis of Comments Received

All issues raised in the case and rebuttal briefs filed by interested parties in this review are discussed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum. The Issues and Decision Memorandum is a public document and is made available to the public via Enforcement and Compliance's Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS). ACCESS is available to registered users at <http://access.trade.gov>. In addition, a complete version of the Issues and Decision Memorandum can be found at <https://access.trade.gov/public/FRNoticesListLayout.aspx>. A list of the topics included in the Issues and Decision Memorandum is attached as an appendix to this notice.

### Changes Since the Preliminary Results

Based on a review of the record and our analysis of the comments received from interested parties regarding our *Preliminary Results*, and for the reasons explained in the Issues and Decision Memorandum, we did not make changes to the *Preliminary Results*.

### Rates for Non-Selected Respondents

The statute and Commerce's regulations do not address the establishment of a rate to be applied to companies not selected for examination when Commerce limits its examination in an administrative review pursuant to section 777A(c)(2) of the Act. Generally, Commerce looks to section 735(c)(5) of the Act, which provides instructions for calculating the all-others rate in a market economy investigation, for guidance when calculating the rate for companies which were not selected for individual examination in an administrative review. For the respondents that were not selected for

individual examination in this administrative review, we have assigned to them the simple average of the margins for Avid Organics Private Limited and Kumar Industries/Rudraa International,<sup>4</sup> consistent with the guidance in section 735(c)(5)(B) of the Act.<sup>5</sup>

### Final Results of Review

We determine that the following estimated weighted-average dumping margins exist for the period October 31, 2018, through May 31, 2020.

Producer/exporter	Estimated weighted-average dumping margin (percent)
Avid Organics Private Limited .....	0.00
Kumar Industries/Rudraa International .....	13.61
Mulji Mehta Enterprises .....	6.81
Mulji Mehta Pharma .....	6.81
Paras Intermediates Private Ltd .....	6.81
Studio Disrupt .....	6.81

### Disclosure

Normally, Commerce discloses the calculations performed in connection with the final results of an administrative review to parties in the proceeding within five days of any public announcement or, if there is no public announcement, within five days of the date of publication of the notice of final results in the **Federal Register**, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.224(b). However, as noted above, Commerce has made no changes to its margin calculations since the *Preliminary Results*. Commerce disclosed its preliminary margin calculations to parties in this proceeding, and there are no additional calculations to disclose.<sup>6</sup>

### Assessment Rates

Pursuant to section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act, and 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1), Commerce has determined, and U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries of subject merchandise in accordance with the final results of this review. For any individually-examined respondent whose weighted-average dumping

margin is above *de minimis* (i.e., 0.50 percent), we will calculate importer-specific assessment rates on the basis of the ratio of the total amount of antidumping duties calculated for each importer's examined sales and the total entered value of the sales, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1).<sup>7</sup> Where either a respondent's weighted-average dumping margin is zero or *de minimis*, or an importer-specific assessment rate is zero or *de minimis*, we will instruct CBP to liquidate appropriate entries without regard to antidumping duties.<sup>8</sup> For entries of subject merchandise during the period of review produced by any of these companies for which it did not know its merchandise was destined for the United States, we will instruct CBP to liquidate such entries at the all-others rate if there is no rate for the intermediate company(ies) involved in the transaction.<sup>9</sup>

Commerce intends to issue assessment instructions to CBP no earlier than 35 days after the date of publication of the final results of this review in the **Federal Register**. If a timely summons is filed at the U.S. Court of International Trade, the assessment instructions will direct CBP not to liquidate relevant entries until the time for parties to file a request for a statutory injunction has expired (i.e., within 90 days of publication). The final results of this administrative review shall be the basis for the assessment of antidumping duties on entries of merchandise under review and for future cash deposits of estimated antidumping duties, where applicable.

### Cash Deposit Requirements

The following cash deposit requirements will be effective upon publication in the **Federal Register** of the notice of these final results of administrative review for all shipments of glycine from India entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the date of publication as provided by section 751(a)(2) of the Act: (1) The cash deposit rate for companies subject to this review will be equal to the company-specific weighted-average dumping margin established in the final results of the review; (2) for merchandise exported by a company not covered in this review but covered in a prior segment of the

*Results*), and accompanying Preliminary Decision Memorandum (PDM).

<sup>2</sup> See Memorandum, "Glycine from India: Issues and Decision Memorandum for Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2018–2020," dated concurrently with, and hereby adopted by, this notice (Issues and Decision Memorandum).

<sup>3</sup> See Issues and Decision Memorandum at 2–3.

<sup>4</sup> We continue to treat Kumar Industries and Rudraa International as a collapsed single entity for the final results of this review. See *Preliminary Results*, 86 FR at 35734, and accompanying PDM at 3–4.

<sup>5</sup> See Issues and Decision Memorandum for more details.

<sup>6</sup> See Memorandums, "Administrative Review of the Antidumping Duty Order on Glycine from India; 2018–2020: Preliminary Analysis Memorandum for Avid Organics Private Limited," dated June 30, 2021 and "Glycine from India: Preliminary Application of Adverse Facts Available to Kumar Industries," dated June 30, 2021.

<sup>7</sup> See *Antidumping Proceedings: Calculation of the Weighted-Average Dumping Margin and Assessment Rate in Certain Antidumping Proceedings: Final Modification*, 77 FR 8101, 8103 (February 14, 2012).

<sup>8</sup> *Id.* at 8102–03; see also 19 CFR 351.106(c)(2).

<sup>9</sup> See *Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Proceedings: Assessment of Antidumping Duties*, 68 FR 23954 (May 6, 2003).

proceeding, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific rate published in the completed segment for the most recent period; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review, a prior review, or the original investigation but the producer is, the cash deposit rate will be the rate established in the completed segment for the most recent period for the producer of the merchandise; (4) the cash deposit rate for all other producers or exporters will continue to be 7.23 percent, the all-others rate established in the less-than-fair-value investigation, adjusted for the export-subsidy rate in the companion countervailing duty investigation.<sup>10</sup> These cash deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

#### Notification to Importers

This notice serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in Commerce's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

#### Administrative Protective Order

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3), which continues to govern business proprietary information in this segment of the proceeding. Timely written notification of the return or destruction of APO materials, or conversion to judicial protective order, is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

#### Notification to Interested Parties

We are issuing and publishing these results in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act, and 19 CFR 351.221.

Dated: November 4, 2021.

**Ryan Majerus,**

*Deputy Assistant Secretary for Policy and Negotiations, performing the non-exclusive functions and duties of the Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.*

#### Appendix—List of Topics Discussed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum

- I. Summary
- II. Background
- III. Scope of the Order
- IV. Discussion of the Issues
  - Comment 1: Application of Total Adverse Facts Available
  - Comment 2: Use of Constructed Value To Calculate Normal Value
  - Comment 3: Application of Total Adverse Facts Available
  - Comment 4: Selection of the Adverse Facts Available Rate
  - Comment 5: Voluntary Respondent Request for Paras
- V. Recommendation

[FR Doc. 2021–24579 Filed 11–9–21; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A–570–900]

#### Diamond Sawblades and Parts Thereof From the People's Republic of China: Notice of Amended Final Results

**AGENCY:** Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** On October 27, 2021, the U.S. Court of International Trade (CIT) issued its final judgment in *Diamond Sawblades Manufacturers' Coalition v. United States*, Court No. 17–00167, sustaining the Department of Commerce (Commerce)'s second remand results pertaining to the administrative review of the antidumping duty (AD) order on diamond sawblades and parts thereof from the People's Republic of China (China) covering the period from November 1, 2014, through October 31, 2015. Commerce is notifying the public that it is amending the final results of review with respect to the dumping margin assigned to Bosun Tools Co., Ltd. (Bosun) and the 22 non-selected respondents that received a separate rate.

**DATES:** Applicable November 6, 2021.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Thomas Schauer, AD/CVD Operations, Office I, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–0410.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

## Background

On June 12, 2017, Commerce published its *Final Results* in the 2014–2015 AD administrative review of diamond sawblades and parts thereof from China. Commerce calculated a rate of 6.91 for Bosun and assigned that rate to the non-selected respondents that received a separate rate.<sup>1</sup>

The Diamond Sawblades Manufacturers' Coalition (the petitioner) appealed Commerce's *Final Results*. On October 23, 2018, the CIT remanded the *Final Results* to Commerce to further clarify or reconsider Commerce's conclusion that Bosun acted to the best of its ability in responding to Commerce's requests for information.<sup>2</sup>

In its first remand redetermination, issued in April 2019, Commerce concluded that Bosun failed to cooperate to the best of its ability and applied a rate based entirely on adverse facts available (AFA) to Bosun; Commerce also assigned that rate to the non-selected respondents that received a separate rate.<sup>3</sup> The CIT sustained the first remand redetermination, but later remanded for a second time for further proceedings in conformity with the opinion of the Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit, which ruled that Commerce needed to determine whether there was any basis to disregard the Bosun-supplied origin information for certain sales to unaffiliated U.S. customers during the period of review.<sup>4</sup>

In its second remand redetermination, issued in July 2021, Commerce found that AFA was appropriate to apply to only certain of Bosun's sales to U.S. customers. Accordingly, Commerce recalculated Bosun's margin and assigned Bosun's rate to the non-selected respondents that received a separate rate.<sup>5</sup> The CIT sustained Commerce's final redetermination.<sup>6</sup>

<sup>1</sup> See *Diamond Sawblades and Parts Thereof from the People's Republic of China: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2014–2015*, 82 FR 26912 (June 12, 2017) (*Final Results*).

<sup>2</sup> See *Diamond Sawblades Manufacturers' Coalition v. United States*, Court No. 17–00167, Slip Op. 18–146 (CIT October 23, 2018).

<sup>3</sup> See *Final Remand Redetermination, Diamond Sawblades Manufacturers' Coalition v. United States*, Court No. 17–00167, Slip Op. 18–146, dated April 17, 2019, available at <https://access.trade.gov/resources/remands/18-146.pdf>.

<sup>4</sup> See *Diamond Sawblades Manufacturers' Coalition v. United States*, Court No. 17–00167 (CIT March 25, 2021) (referencing *Diamond Sawblades Mfrs. Coal. v. United States*, 986 F.3d 1351 (CAFC 2021)).

<sup>5</sup> See *Final Remand Redetermination, Diamond Sawblades Manufacturers' Coalition v. United States*, Court No. 17–00167, Appeal No. 20–1478, dated July 13, 2021.

<sup>6</sup> See *Diamond Sawblades Manufacturers' Coalition v. United States*, Court No. 17–00167, Slip Op. 21–150 (CIT October 27, 2021).

<sup>10</sup> See *Glycine from India and Japan: Amended Final Affirmative Antidumping Duty Determination and Antidumping Duty Orders*, 84 FR 29170, 29171 (June 21, 2019).