

function of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;

(2) Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;

(3) Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and

(4) Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

Overview of This Information

(1) *Type of information collection:* Extension of previously approved collection.

(2) *The title of the form/collection:* Nomination for Young American Medal for Bravery.

(3) *The agency form number, if any, and the applicable component of the Department sponsoring the collection:* The form number is 1673/1, Office of Justice Programs, United States Department of Justice.

(4) *Affected public who will be asked or required to respond, as well as a brief abstract:*

Primary: Federal Government, State, Local or Tribal.

Other: Individuals or households; Not-for-profit institutions.

42 U.S.C. 1921 et seq. authorizes the Department of Justice to collect information from state governors, chief executives of the U.S. territories, and the mayor of the District of Columbia to implement the Young American Medals Program.

(5) *An estimate of the total number of respondents and the amount of time estimated for an average respondent to respond/reply:* It is estimated that 20 respondents will complete a 3-hour nomination form.

(6) *An estimate of the total public burden (in hours) associated with the collection:* The total hour burden to complete the nominations is 60 the annual burden hours.

If additional information is required contact: Ms. Brenda E. Dyer, Deputy Clearance Officer, United States Department of Justice, Information Management and Security Staff, Justice Management Division, Suite 1600, Patrick Henry Building, 601 D Street NW., Washington, DC 20530.

Dated: January 16, 2002.

Brenda E. Dyer,

Department Deputy Clearance Officer, United States Department of Justice.

[FR Doc. 02-1707 Filed 1-23-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-18-M

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

Notice of Determinations Regarding Eligibility to Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance and NAFTA Transitional Adjustment Assistance

In accordance with section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended, the Department of Labor herein presents summaries of determinations regarding eligibility to apply for trade adjustment assistance for workers (TA-W) issued during the period of January, 2002.

In order for an affirmative determination to be made and a certification of eligibility to apply for worker adjustment assistance to be issued, each of the group eligibility requirements of section 222 of the Act must be met.

(1) That a significant number or proportion of the workers in the workers' firm, or an appropriate subdivision thereof, have become totally or partially separated,

(2) That sales or production, or both, of the firm or subdivision have decreased absolutely, and

(3) That increases of imports of articles like or directly competitive with articles produced by the firm or appropriate subdivision have contributed importantly to the separations, or threat thereof, and to the absolute decline in sales or production.

Negative Determinations for Worker Adjustment Assistance

In each of the following cases the investigation revealed that criterion (3) has not been met. A survey of customers indicated that increased imports did not contribute importantly to worker separations at the firm.

TA-W-39,482; *Colorgraphic Offset Printing Co., Lancaster, NY*

TA-W-40,128; *TNS Mills, Inc., Eufala Plant, Eufala, AL*

TA-W-40,050; *Moco Thermal Industries, Romulus, MI*

TA-W-39,605; *Kimble Glass, Inc., Vineland, NJ*

TA-W-40,317; *Texfi Industries, Inc., Rocky Mount, NC*

TA-W-39,658; *Taylor Wharton, Harsco Gas and Fluid Control, NC*

In the following cases, the investigation revealed that the criteria

for eligibility have not been met for the reasons specified.

Increased imports did not contribute importantly to worker separations at the firm.

TA-W-39,794; *M/A-Com Ceram Buffalo, NY*

TA-W-39,938; *Honeywell, Inc., Clearfield, UT*

TA-W-38,579; *National Starch and Chemical Co., Meredosia, IL*

TA-W-40,097; *Ismecca, USA, Vista, CA*

TA-W-40,222; *Richmond Technology, An Illinois Tool Works Co., Redlands, CA*

The investigation revealed that criteria (2) has not been met. Sales or production did not decline during the relevant period as required for certification.

TA-W-40,319; *General Electro*

Mechanical Corp., West Seneca, NY

TA-W-40,296; *Rubatex Corp., Bedford, VA*

TA-W-39,713 & A; *J.M. Huber Corp., Headquartered in Houston, TX and Operating Throughout the State of Texas*

Affirmative Determinations for Worker Adjustment Assistance

The following certifications have been issued; the date following the company name and location of each determination references the impact date for all workers of such determination.

TA-W-40,448; *Newbold Corp., Rocky Mount, VA: May 28, 2000*

TA-W-39,604 & A; *Doran Mills, LLC, Shelby, NC and New York, NY: June 20, 2000*

TA-W-40,005; *SDK Knitting, Inc., Schaefferstown, PA: August 25, 2000*

TA-W-40,009; *JC Surrey 2001, Inc., Leander, TX: August 24, 2000*

TA-W-40,078; *Guilford Mills, Pine Grove, PA: September 9, 2000*

TA-W-40,181; *BASF Corp., Rensselaer, NY: June 19, 2001*

TA-W-40,276; *Dorel Juvenile Group, Inc., Formerly Cosco, Inc., St. Smith, AZ: October 8, 2000*

TA-W-40,294; *Fairfield Glove and Textile Col, Inc., Cherryville, NC: October 10, 2000*

TA-W-40,325; *Covington Industries, Inc., Calhoun Falls, SC: November 9, 2000*

TA-W-40,379; *HC Contracting, Inc., New York, NY: October 31, 2000*

TA-W-40,454; *Biltwell Clothing Co., Rector Sportswear, Rector, AR: November 9, 2000*

TA-W-39,374; *Signature Cloth, Clifton, NJ: May 18, 2000*

TA-W-39,793; *Fourth Edition, Inc., Terre Hill, PA: July 30, 2000*

TA-W-39,832; Fiskars Consumer Products, Inc., Wausau, WI: July 26, 2000
 TA-W-39,952; MJM Knitwear Corp., Brooklyn, NY: August 16, 2000
 TA-W-40,279; C & C Fashions, Inc., Bronx, NY: October 2, 2000
 TA-W-40,365; Hyde Inc., Bangor, ME: November 11, 2000
 TA-W-40,371; Regal Rugs, Inc., A Subsidiary of Spring Industries, Inc., North Vernon, IN: November 1, 2000

Also, pursuant to Title V of the North American Free Trade Agreement Implementation Act (Pub. L. 103-182) concerning transitional adjustment assistance hereinafter called (NAFTA-TAA) and in accordance with section 250(a), Subchapter D, Chapter 2, Title II, of the Trade Act as amended, the Department of Labor presents summaries of determinations regarding eligibility to apply for NAFTA-TAA issued during the month of January, 2002.

In order for an affirmative determination to be made and a certification of eligibility to apply for NAFTA-TAA the following group eligibility requirements of section 250 of the Trade Act must be met:

(1) That a significant number or proportion of the workers in the workers' firm, or an appropriate subdivision thereof, (including workers in any agricultural firm or appropriate subdivision thereof) have become totally or partially separated from employment and either—

(2) That sales or production, or both, of such firm or subdivision have decreased absolutely,

(3) That imports from Mexico or Canada of articles like or directly competitive with articles produced by such firm or subdivision have increased, and that the increases imports contributed importantly to such workers' separations or threat of separation and to the decline in sales or production of such firm or subdivision; or

(4) That there has been a shift in production by such workers' firm or subdivision to Mexico or Canada of articles like or directly competitive with articles which are produced by the firm or subdivision.

Negative Determinations NAFTA-TAA

In each of the following cases the investigation revealed that criteria (3) or (4) were not met. Imports from Canada or Mexico did not contribute importantly to worker's separations. There was no shift in production from the subject firm to Canada or Mexico during the relevant period.

NAFTA-TAA-04460; National Starch and Chemical Co., Meredosia, IL
 NAFTA-TAA-05159; Colorgraphic Offset Printing Co., Lancaster, NY
 NAFTA-TAA-05191; Chiquita Processed Foods LLC, Eugene, OR
 NAFTA-TAA-05375; Shasta Paper Co., Anderson, CA
 NAFTA-TAA-05482; Texfi Industries, Inc., Rocky Mount Plant, Rocky Mount, NC

Affirmative Determinations NAFTA-TAA

NAFTA-TAA-5151; Fiskars Consumer Products, Inc., Wausau, WI: July 26, 2000
 NAFTA-TAA-05324; Honeywell, Inc., Clearfield, UT: September 10, 2000
 NAFTA-TAA-05427; Richmond Technology, An Illinois Tool Works Co., Redland, CA: October 8, 2000
 NAFTA-TAA-05531; Regal Rugs, Inc., A Subsidiary of Spring Industries, Inc., North Vernon, IN
 NAFTA-TAA-5609 & A; Key Industries, Buffalo, MO and Nevada, MO: December 5, 2000
 NAFTA-TAA-05137; Kemet Electronics Corp., Greenwood Plant, Greenwood, SC, A; Mauldin Plant, Simpsonville, SC, B; Simpsonville Plant, Simpsonville, SC and C; Fountain Inn Plant, Fountain Inn, SC: July 23, 2000
 NAFTA-TAA-05357; Linq Industrial Fabrics, Inc., Marino Technologies Div., Opalocka, FL: September 18, 2000
 NAFTA-TAA-055883; Weavexx, A Xerium Co., Greenville, TN: November 27, 2000
 NAFTA-TAA-05214; Horton, Inc., Britton, SD: June 29, 2000

I hereby certify that the aforementioned determinations were issued during the month of January, 2002. Copies of these determinations are available for inspection in Room C-5311, U.S. Department of Labor, 200 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20210 during normal business hours or will be mailed to persons who write to the above address.

Dated: January 15, 2002.

Edward A. Tomchick,

Director, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 02-1784 Filed 1-23-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-M

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-40,557]

Midwest Garment Co., Chesterfield, Missouri; Notice of Termination of Investigation

Pursuant to section 221 of the Trade Act of 1974, an investigation was initiated on January 14, 2002, in response to a worker petition which was filed by the company on behalf of workers at Midwest Garment Company, Chesterfield, Missouri.

The petitioner has requested that the petition be withdrawn. Consequently, further investigation in this case would serve no purpose, and the investigation has been terminated.

Signed in Washington, DC, this 15th day of January, 2002.

Linda G. Poole,

Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 02-1783 Filed 1-23-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-M

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

Investigations Regarding Certifications of Eligibility To Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance

Petitions have been filed with the Secretary of Labor under section 221 (a) of the Trade Act of 1974 ("the Act") and are identified in the Appendix to this notice. Upon receipt of these petitions, the Director of the Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance, Employment and Training Administration, has instituted investigations pursuant to section 221(a) of the Act.

The purpose of each of the investigations is to determine whether the workers are eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under Title II, Chapter 2, of the Act. The investigations will further relate, as appropriate, to the determination of the date on which total or partial separations began or threatened to begin and the subdivision of the firm involved.

The petitioners or any other persons showing a substantial interest in the subject matter of the investigations may request a public hearing, provided such request is filed in writing with the Director, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance, at the address shown below, not later than February 4, 2002.

Interested persons are invited to submit written comments regarding the