

will automatically revoke the orders without further review.

If we receive a notice of intent to participate from a domestic interested party, the Sunset Regulations provide that *all parties* wishing to participate in the sunset review must file substantive responses not later than 30 days after the date of publication in the **Federal Register** of the notice of initiation. The required contents of a substantive response are set forth in the Sunset Regulations at 19 CFR 351.218(d)(3). Note that certain information requirements differ for foreign and domestic parties. Also, note that the Department's information requirements are distinct from the International Trade Commission's information requirements. Please consult the Sunset Regulations for information regarding the Department's conduct of sunset reviews.¹ Please consult the Department's regulations at 19 CFR part 351 (2000) for definitions of terms and for other general information concerning antidumping duty order proceedings at the Department.

This notice of initiation is being published in accordance with section 751(c) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.218(c).

Dated: May 30, 2000.

Troy H. Cribb,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 00-14023 Filed 6-2-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-570-822, A-583-820]

Helical Spring Lock Washers From the People's Republic of China and Taiwan; Final Results of Expedited Sunset Reviews

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of Final Results of Expedited Sunset Reviews: Helical Spring Lock Washers From the People's Republic of China and Taiwan.

SUMMARY: On November 2, 1999, the Department of Commerce ("the Department") initiated sunset reviews of the antidumping duty orders on helical

spring lock washers ("HSLWs") from the People's Republic of China ("PRC") and Taiwan (64 FR 59160) pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act"). On the basis of notices of intent to participate filed on behalf of domestic interested parties and inadequate response (in these cases, no response) from respondent interested parties, the Department determined to conduct expedited reviews. As a result of these reviews, the Department finds that revocation of the antidumping duty orders would likely lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping at the levels indicated in the Final Results of Reviews section of this notice.

EFFECTIVE DATE: June 5, 2000.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Eun W. Cho or Carole Showers, Office of Policy for Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-1698 or (202) 482-3217, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Applicable Statute and Regulations

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act"), are references to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the Act by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act ("URAA"). In addition, unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Department's regulations are to 19 CFR part 351 (1999) in general. Guidance on methodological or analytical issues relevant to the Department's conduct of sunset reviews is set forth in the Department's Policy Bulletin 98:3—*Policies Regarding the Conduct of Five-year ("Sunset") Reviews of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Orders; Policy Bulletin*, 63 FR 18871 (April 16, 1998) ("*Sunset Policy Bulletin*").

Background

On November 2, 1999, the Department initiated sunset reviews of the antidumping orders on HSLWs from the PRC and Taiwan (64 FR 59160), pursuant to section 751(c) of the Act. On the basis of a notice to participate and adequate substantive response filed on behalf of a domestic interested party in each review, and inadequate response (in these cases, no response) from respondent interested parties, we determined to conduct expedited reviews. The Department has conducted these sunset reviews in accordance with sections 751 and 752 of the Act.

Scope

The products covered by this review are HSLWs of carbon steel, of carbon alloy steel, or of stainless steel, heat-treated or non-heat-treated, plated or non-plated, with ends that are off-line. HSLWs are designed to: (1) Function as a spring to compensate for developed looseness between the component parts of a fastened assembly; (2) distribute the load over a larger area for screws or bolts; and, (3) provide a hardened bearing surface. The scope does not include internal or external tooth washers, nor does it include spring lock washers made of other metals, such as copper. HSLWs subject to this review are currently classifiable under subheading 7318.21.0030 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS). Although the HTSUS subheading is provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description of the scope remains dispositive.

There has been one scope ruling with respect to HSLWs from the PRC and Taiwan. On November 21, 1997, the Department ruled that HSLWs imported into the United States in an uncut, coil form are within the scope of the order.¹

Analysis of Substantive Responses

All issues raised in the case and rebuttal briefs by parties to these sunset reviews are addressed in the "Issues and Decision Memorandum" ("Decision Memo") from Jeffrey A. May, Director, Office of Policy, Import Administration, to Troy H. Cribb, Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, dated May 30, 2000, which is hereby adopted by this notice. The issues discussed in the attached Decision Memo include the likelihood of continuation or recurrence of dumping and the magnitude of the margin likely to prevail were the orders revoked. Parties can find a complete discussion of all issues raised in these reviews and the corresponding recommendations in this public memorandum which is on file in room B-099 of the main Commerce building.

In addition, a complete version of the Decision Memo can be accessed directly on the Web at www.ita.doc.gov/import_admin/records/frn/. The paper copy and electronic version of the Decision Memo are identical in content.

Final Results of Reviews

We determine that revocation of the antidumping duty orders on HSLWs from the PRC and Taiwan would be likely to lead to continuation or

¹ A number of parties commented that these interim-final regulations provided insufficient time for rebuttals to substantive responses to a notice of initiation (Sunset Regulations, 19 CFR 351.218(d)(4)). As provided in 19 CFR 351.302(b) (2000), the Department will consider individual requests for extension of that five-day deadline based upon a showing of good cause.

¹ See *Notice of Scope Rulings*, 62 FR 62288 (November 21, 1997).

recurrence of dumping at the following percentage weighted-average margins:

Manufacturer/Exporter	Margin (percent)
PRC:	
Hangzhou Spring Washer Plant ("HSWP")	69.88
HSWP via IFI Morgan Limited	69.88
HSWP via Carway Development Ltd.	69.88
HSWP via Midway Fasteners Ltd.	69.88
HSWP via Linkwell Industry Co., Ltd.	69.88
HSWP via Fastwell Industry Co., Ltd.	69.88
HSWP via Sunfast International Corp.	69.88
HSWP via Winner Standard Parts Co., Ltd.	69.88
All Others	128.63
Taiwan:	
Spring Lake Enterprises	31.93
Ceimiko Industrial	31.93
Par Excellence Industrial ...	31.93
All Others	31.93

This notice serves as the only reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order ("APO") of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305 of the Department's regulations. Timely notification of return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

These five-year ("sunset") reviews and notices are in accordance with sections 751(c), 752, and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: May 30, 2000.

Troy H. Cribb,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 00-14022 Filed 6-2-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-570-855]

Notice of Amended Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value and Antidumping Duty Order: Certain Non-Frozen Apple Juice Concentrate From the People's Republic of China

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

EFFECTIVE DATE: June 5, 2000.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Craig Matney, Sally Hastings, or Annika O'Hara, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-1778, 482-3464, or 482-3798, respectively.

Applicable Statute and Regulations

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act"), are references to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the Act by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act ("URAA"). In addition, unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Department of Commerce's ("the Department's") regulations are to 19 CFR part 351 (1998).

Scope of Order

The product covered by this order is certain non-frozen apple juice concentrate ("NFAJC"). Certain NFAJC is defined as all non-frozen concentrated apple juice with a Brix scale of 40 or greater, whether or not containing added sugar or other sweetening matter, and whether or not fortified with vitamins or minerals. Excluded from the scope of this investigation are: frozen concentrated apple juice; non-frozen concentrated apple juice that has been fermented; and non-frozen concentrated apple juice to which spirits have been added.

The merchandise subject to this order is classified in the *Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States* ("HTSUS") at subheadings 2009.70.00.20 and 2106.90.52. Although the HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description of the merchandise under investigation is dispositive.

Amended Final Determination

In accordance with section 735(a) of the Act, on April 13, 2000, the Department published its final determination of the antidumping duty investigation of certain NFAJC from the People's Republic of China ("PRC") in which we determined that U.S. sales of NFAJC from the PRC were made at less than normal value (65 FR 19873 (Idquo;NFAJC Final')). On April 18, 2000, we received ministerial error allegations, timely filed pursuant to § 351.224(c)(2) of the Department's regulations from Yantai North Andre Juice Co., Ltd. ("North Andre"); Shaanxi Haisheng Fresh Fruit Juice Co., Ltd. ("Haisheng"); Sanmenxia Lakeside Fruit

Juice Co., Ltd. ("Lakeside"); Shandong Zhonglu Co., Ltd./Rushan Shangjin-Zhonglu Foodstuff Co., Ltd./Shandong Luling Fruit Juice Co./Rushan Dongjin Foodstuffs ("Zhonglu"); Yantai Oriental Juice Co., Ltd. ("Oriental"); Qingdao Nannan Foods Co., Ltd. ("Nannan"); Xian Asia Qin Fruit Co., Ltd. ("Asia"); Xian Yang Fuan Juice Co., Ltd. ("Fuan"); Changsha Industrial Products & Minerals Import and Export Co., Ltd. ("Changsha Industrial"); and Shangdong Foodstuffs Import and Export Corporation ("Shangdong Foodstuffs") (hereinafter collectively referred to as "the respondents") regarding the Department's final margin calculations. On April 24, 2000, we received comments on the respondents' ministerial error allegations from Coloma Frozen Foods, Inc.; Green Valley Packers; Knouse Foods Cooperative, Inc.; Mason County Fruit Packers Co-op, Inc.; and Tree Top Inc. (hereinafter collectively referred to as "the petitioners").

We have determined in accordance with section 735(e) of the Act that a ministerial error in the calculation of the international freight surrogate value was made in our final margin calculations. For a detailed discussion of the above-cited ministerial error allegations and the Department's analysis, see Memorandum to Richard W. Moreland, dated May 8, 2000. We are amending the final determination of the antidumping duty investigation of NFAJC from the PRC to correct this ministerial error. The revised final weighted-average dumping margins are as follows:

Exporter/manu- facturer	Original weighted- average margin per- centage	Revised weighted- average margin per- centage
North Andre	0.00	0.00
Haisheng	12.90	12.03
Lakeside	28.54	27.57
Zhonglu	9.40	8.98
Oriental	9.96	9.96
Nannan	26.43	25.55
Asia	15.36	14.88
Yang	15.36	14.88
Changsha In- dustrial	15.36	14.88
Shandong Food- stuffs	15.36	14.88
PRC-wide rate ..	51.74	51.74

Antidumping Duty Order

On May 30, 2000, in accordance with section 735(d) of the Act, the U.S. International Trade Commission ("ITC") notified the Department that a U.S. industry is "materially injured," within the meaning of section 735(b)(1)(A) of