

SUPPLEMENT NO. 7 TO PART 748—AUTHORIZATION VALIDATED END-USER (VEU): LIST OF VALIDATED END-USERS, RESPECTIVE ITEMS ELIGIBLE FOR EXPORT, REEXPORT AND TRANSFER, AND ELIGIBLE DESTINATIONS

Country	Validated end-user	Eligible items (by ECCN)	Eligible destination	Federal Register citation
Nothing in this Supplement shall be deemed to supersede other provisions in the EAR, including but not limited to § 748.15(c).				
*	* Intel Semiconductor (Dalian) Ltd.	* <i>These items authorized for the Intel destination identified by one asterisk (*):</i> 1A004, 1C006.d, 2A226, 2A292, 2B006.b, 2B230, 2B231, 2B350, 3A233.a, 3B001 (except for multilayer masks with a phase shift layer designed to produce “space qualified” semiconductor devices), 3C002, 3E002 (excluding development and production technology specific to digital signal processors and digital array processors and further limited to “technology” based on the international technology roadmap for semiconductors (ITRS)), and 4E001 (limited to technology for computer products or components not exceeding an adjusted peak performance (APP) level of 12.0 weighted teraflops).  <i>These items authorized for the Intel destination identified by two asterisks (**):</i> 1A004, 1C006.d, 2A226, 2A292, 2B006.b, 2B230, 2B231, 2B350, 3A233.a, 3B001 (except for multilayer masks with a phase shift layer designed to produce “space qualified” semiconductor devices), and 3C002.	* *Intel Semiconductor (Dalian) Ltd., No. 109 Huai He Road East, Dalian Economic and Technology Development Area, Dalian, Liao Ning Province, 116600, China.  ** Intel Semiconductor (Dalian) Ltd., c/o Dalian Kintetsu Logistics Co., Ltd, Dayaowan Bonded Port No. 6 Road W4 Unit A1, Dalian Economic and Technology Development Area, Dalian, Liao Ning Province, 116601, China.	* 78 FR [INSERT PAGE NUMBER], 9–6–13.
*	*	*	*	*

Dated: August 29, 2013.  
**Matthew S. Borman,**  
*Deputy Assistant Secretary for Export Administration.*  
 [FR Doc. 2013–21579 Filed 9–5–13; 8:45 am]  
**BILLING CODE 3510–33–P**

---

**DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY**  
**U.S. Customs and Border Protection**  
**19 CFR Part 101**  
**[Docket No. USCBP–2012–0006; CBP Dec. 13–13]**  
**Extension of Port Limits of Indianapolis, IN**  
**AGENCY:** U.S. Customs and Border Protection, DHS.  
**ACTION:** Final rule.  
**SUMMARY:** U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) is extending the geographical limits of the port of entry

of Indianapolis, Indiana. This extension will make the boundaries more easily identifiable to the public and will allow for uniform and continuous service to the extended area of Indianapolis, Indiana. The change is part of CBP’s continuing program to use its personnel, facilities, and resources more efficiently, and to provide better service to carriers, importers, and the general public.  
**DATES:** *Effective Date:* October 7, 2013.  
**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Roger Kaplan, Office of Field Operations, U.S. Customs and Border Protection, by phone at (202) 325–4543, or by email at *Roger.Kaplan@dhs.gov*.  
**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**  
**I. Background**  
 In a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (NPRM) published in the **Federal Register** (77 FR 24656) on April 25, 2012, CBP proposed to amend the list of CBP ports of entry at 19 CFR 101.3(b)(1) to extend the limits of the Indianapolis, Indiana, port of entry to include all the

territory within the boundaries of Marion County, Indiana, as well as portions of the neighboring counties of Boone, Hendricks, and Johnson. This update will allow CBP to better serve the public in the greater Indianapolis area by providing regular service to municipalities within Indianapolis that are not technically within the city limits, and to locations to the immediate west and south of the city. CBP determined that the proposed boundary changes would not result in a change in the service that is provided to the public by the port and would not change the workload at the port or require a change in the staffing at the port. Further background information is provided in the NPRM.  
 Interested parties were given until June 25, 2012, to comment on the proposed changes. CBP received one comment in response to the NPRM.  
**II. Comment Analysis**  
*Comment:* The commenter notes that Indianapolis, Indiana, is surrounded by

four other states and recommends that CBP should utilize other resources, apparently from the surrounding states, to better support Indianapolis.

*Response:* CBP is seeking to establish definitive geographic port limits to make the boundaries more easily identifiable to the public and to allow for uniform and continuous service to the extended area of Indianapolis, Indiana. This is a no-cost administrative measure and CBP will not need additional resources to implement the proposed boundary changes. As specified in the NPRM, the proposed changes will not result in changes to the workload or staffing levels at the port.

### III. Conclusion

For the reasons discussed in the NPRM, CBP is extending the geographical limits of the port of entry of Indianapolis, Indiana, to include all the territory within the boundaries of Marion County, Indiana, as well as portions of the neighboring counties of Boone, Hendricks, and Johnson. The port of entry description of Indianapolis, Indiana, will be revised as proposed in the NPRM and set forth in the map included in the docket as “Attachment: Port of Entry of Indianapolis—Proposed Limits.” The revised port of entry description is also included in Section IV of this document. The description of the port limits of Indianapolis, Indiana in 19 CFR 101.3 will be revised to refer to this document, CBP Dec. 13–13.

### IV. Port Description of Indianapolis, Indiana

The new port limits of Indianapolis, Indiana, are as follows:

In the State of Indiana, all of Marion County; that part of Boone County which is west of Interstate Route 65 and east of State Route 39; that part of Hendricks County which is east of State Route 39; and that part of Johnson County which is east of State Route 37, north of State Route 144, and west of Interstate Route 65.

### V. Statutory and Regulatory Reviews

#### A. Executive Orders 12866 and 13563

DHS does not consider this rule to be a “significant regulatory action” under section 3(f) of Executive Order 12866, Regulatory Planning and Review, as supplemented by Executive Order 13563. The change is intended to expand the geographical boundaries of the Indianapolis, Indiana, port of entry and make the boundaries more easily identifiable to the public. There are no new costs to the public associated with this rule, and the rule does not

otherwise implicate the factors set forth in section 3(f) of Executive Order 12866. Accordingly, this rule has not been submitted to the Office of Management and Budget for review.

#### B. Regulatory Flexibility Act

The Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601 *et seq.*) requires federal agencies to examine the impact a rule would have on small entities. A small entity may be a small business (defined as any independently owned and operated business not dominant in its field that qualifies as a small business per the Small Business Act); a small not-for-profit organization; or a small governmental jurisdiction (locality with fewer than 50,000 people).

This rule merely expands the limits of an existing port of entry and does not impose any new costs on the public. Accordingly, we certify that this rule will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities.

#### VI. Signing Authority

The signing authority for this document falls under 19 CFR 0.2(a) because the extension of port limits is not within the bounds of those regulations for which the Secretary of the Treasury has retained sole authority. Accordingly, this final rule is signed by the Secretary of Homeland Security.

#### List of Subjects in 19 CFR Part 101

Customs duties and inspection, Customs ports of entry, Exports, Imports, Organization and functions (Government agencies).

#### Amendment to the Regulations

For the reasons set forth in the NPRM and the preamble, part 101 of title 19 of the Code of Federal Regulations is amended as set forth below.

### PART 101—GENERAL PROVISIONS

■ 1. The general authority citation for part 101 is revised and the specific authority citation for section 101.3 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 5 U.S.C. 301; 6 U.S.C. 203; 19 U.S.C. 2 & note, 66, 1202 (General Note 3(i), Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States), 1623, 1624, 1646a.

Sections 101.3 and 101.4 also issued under 19 U.S.C. 1 and 58b;

\* \* \* \* \*

#### § 101.3 [Amended]

■ 2. In § 101.3(b)(1) in the list of ports of entry under the State of Indiana, add “CBP Dec. 13–13” in the “Limits of port” column adjacent to the listing of Indianapolis.

Dated: September 3, 2013.

**Janet Napolitano,**

*Secretary of Homeland Security.*

[FR Doc. 2013–21772 Filed 9–5–13; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 9111–14–P

## SOCIAL SECURITY ADMINISTRATION

### 20 CFR Part 404

[Docket No. SSA–2013–0039]

RIN 0960–AH60

### Extension of Expiration Dates for Two Body System Listings

**AGENCY:** Social Security Administration.  
**ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** We are extending the expiration dates of the following body systems in the Listing of Impairments (listings) in our regulations: Genitourinary Impairments and Hematological Disorders. We are making no other revisions to these body systems in this final rule. These extensions will ensure that we continue to have the criteria we need to evaluate impairments in the affected body systems at step three of the sequential evaluation processes for initial claims and continuing disability reviews.

**DATES:** This final rule is effective on September 6, 2013.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Cheryl A. Williams, Director, Office of Medical Listings Improvement, 6401 Security Boulevard, Baltimore, MD 21235–6401, (410) 965–1020. For information on eligibility or filing for benefits, call our national toll-free number, 1–800–772–1213, or TTY 1–800–325–0778, or visit our Internet site, Social Security Online, at <http://www.socialsecurity.gov>.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### Background

We use the listings in appendix 1 to subpart P of part 404 of 20 CFR at the third step of the sequential evaluation process to evaluate claims filed by adults and children for benefits based on disability under the title II and title XVI programs.<sup>1</sup> 20 CFR 404.1520(d), 416.920(d). The listings are in two parts: Part A has listings criteria for adults and Part B has listings criteria for children. If you are age 18 or over, we apply the listings criteria in part A when we assess your impairment or combination of impairments. If you are under age 18,

<sup>1</sup> We also use the listings in the sequential evaluation processes we use to determine whether a beneficiary’s disability continues. See 20 CFR 404.1594, 416.994, and 416.994a.