

barite from abroad. The duty rate on the raw barite is \$1.25 per metric ton.

This application requests authority for Baker Hughes to conduct the activity under FTZ procedures, which would exempt the company from Customs duty payments on the imported barite used in export production. Less than one percent of production is exported. On domestic sales, the company could choose the lower duty rate (duty-free) for the imported raw barite used in manufacturing that applies to the finished product. The majority of FTZ-related savings will come from the elimination of the duty on the finished product. Baker Hughes will also realize additional savings on the elimination of duties on materials that become scrap/waste during manufacturing. The application indicates that the FTZ-related savings would improve the plant's international competitiveness.

In accordance with the Board's regulations, a member of the FTZ staff has been designated examiner to investigate the application and report to the Board.

Public comment is invited from interested parties. Submissions (original and 3 copies) shall be addressed to the Board's Executive Secretary at the address listed below. The closing period for their receipt is May 12, 2008. Rebuttal comments in response to material submitted during the foregoing period may be submitted during the subsequent 15-day period May 27, 2008.

A copy of the application and accompanying exhibits will be available at each of the following addresses: the Port of Corpus Christi Authority, 222 Power Street, Corpus Christi, TX 78403; and, Office of the Executive Secretary, Foreign-Trade Zones Board, Room 2111, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC, 20230. For further information contact Christopher Kemp at christopher_kemp@ita.doc.gov or (202) 482-0862.

Dated: February 25, 2008.

Andrew McGilvray,
Executive Secretary.

[FR Doc. E8-4834 Filed 3-10-08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Foreign-Trade Zones Board

[Dockets 34, 35 and 36-2006]

Foreign-Trade Zone 93—Raleigh/Durham, NC; Foreign-Trade Zone 123—Denver, CO; Foreign-Trade Zone 153—San Diego, CA; Withdrawal of Requests for Subzone Status

Notice is hereby given of the withdrawal of the applications requesting special-purpose subzone status on behalf of QUALCOMM Incorporated. The applications were filed on August 15, 2006 (71 FR 48534-48536, 8/21/2006).

The withdrawal was requested because of changed circumstances, and the cases have been closed without prejudice.

Dated: March 4, 2008.

Andrew McGilvray,
Executive Secretary.

[FR Doc. E8-4841 Filed 3-10-08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-405-803]

Purified Carboxymethylcellulose from Finland: Extension of Time Limits for Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

EFFECTIVE DATE: (March 11, 2008.)

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Tyler Weinhold or Robert James, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-1121 and (202) 482-0649, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

At the request of interested parties, on August 24, 2007, the Department published in the **Federal Register** a notice of initiation of this antidumping duty administrative review. See *Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews and Requests for Revocation in Part*, 72 FR 48613, August 24, 2007. The review covers the period July 1, 2006, through June 30, 2007. The preliminary results for these administrative reviews are currently due no later than April 1, 2008.

Extension of Time Limits for Preliminary Results

Section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), requires the Department to complete the preliminary results of an administrative review within 245 days after the last day of the anniversary month of an order for which a review is requested. However, if it is not practicable to complete the review within these time periods, section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act allows the Department to extend the 245 day time period for the preliminary results to 365 days.

The Department has determined it is not practicable to complete this review within the statutory time limit because we require additional time to conduct a sales below-cost investigation in this administrative review and to collect and analyze other information needed for our preliminary results. Accordingly, the Department is extending the time limits for completion of the preliminary results of this administrative review until no later than July 30, 2008, which is 365 days from the last day of the anniversary month of these orders. We intend to issue the final results in this review no later than 120 days after publication of the preliminary results.

This notice is issued and published in accordance with sections 751(a)(3)(A) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: March 4, 2008.

Stephen Claeys,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. E8-4833 Filed 3-10-08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

A-401-806

Stainless Steel Wire Rod from Sweden: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: On September 7, 2007, the Department of Commerce published the preliminary results of the 2005-2006 administrative review of the antidumping duty order on stainless steel wire rod from Sweden. The review covers one manufacturer/exporter, Fagersta Stainless AB (FSAB), and its affiliates AB Sandvik Materials Technology and Kanthal AB. The period of review (POR) is September 1, 2005, through August 31, 2006.

Based on our analysis of the comments received, we have made one change to the margin calculations. Therefore, the final results differ from the preliminary results. The final weighted-average dumping margin for the reviewed firm is listed below in the section entitled "Final Results of Review."

EFFECTIVE DATE: March 11, 2008.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Brian C. Smith or Gemal Brangman, AD/CVD Operations, Office 2, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-1766 or (202) 482-3773, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

The administrative review covers one manufacturer/exporter: FSAB and its affiliates AB Sandvik Materials Technology and Kanthal AB.¹ The period of review is September 1, 2005, through August 31, 2006.

On September 7, 2007, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published the preliminary results of this administrative review of the antidumping duty order on stainless steel wire rod from Sweden. See *Stainless Steel Wire Rod from Sweden: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 72 FR 51411 (September 7, 2007). We invited interested parties to comment on the preliminary results of review.

FSAB filed its case brief on October 9, 2007, and the petitioners² filed their rebuttal brief on October 15, 2007. As no party requested a hearing, the Department did not hold a hearing in this review.

On December 11, 2007, we extended the time limit for the final results in this review until March 5, 2008. See *Notice of Extension of Time Limit for Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review: Stainless Steel Wire Rod from Sweden*, 72 FR 71359 (December 17, 2007).

We have conducted this administrative review in accordance

¹ In the preliminary results of this review, we determined it appropriate to treat FSAB and its affiliates, AB Sandvik Materials Technology and Kanthal AB, as one entity for margin calculation purposes because they met the regulatory criteria for collapsing affiliated producers/exporters. No party objected to this preliminary determination. Therefore, we have continued to treat these affiliated companies as one entity in the final results.

² The petitioners are the following companies: Carpenter Technology Corporation and Charter Specialty Steel.

with section 751(a) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act).

Scope of the Order

For purposes of this order, SSWR comprises products that are hot-rolled or hot-rolled annealed and/or pickled and/or descaled rounds, squares, octagons, hexagons or other shapes, in coils, that may also be coated with a lubricant containing copper, lime or oxalate. SSWR is made of alloy steels containing, by weight, 1.2 percent or less of carbon and 10.5 percent or more of chromium, with or without other elements. These products are manufactured only by hot-rolling or hot-rolling annealing, and/or pickling and/or descaling, are normally sold in coiled form, and are of solid cross-section. The majority of SSWR sold in the United States is round in cross-sectional shape, annealed and pickled, and later cold-finished into stainless steel wire or small-diameter bar. The most common size for such products is 5.5 millimeters or 0.217 inches in diameter, which represents the smallest size that normally is produced on a rolling mill and is the size that most wire-drawing machines are set up to draw. The range of SSWR sizes normally sold in the United States is between 0.20 inches and 1.312 inches in diameter.

Certain stainless steel grades are excluded from the scope of the order. SF20T and K-M35FL are excluded. The following proprietary grades of Kanthal AB are also excluded: Kanthal A-1, Kanthal AF, Kanthal A, Kanthal D, Kanthal DT, Alkrothal 14, Alkrothal 720, and Nikrothal 40. The chemical makeup for the excluded grades is as follows:

SF20T	
Carbon	0.05 max
Manganese	2.00 max
Phosphorous	0.05 max
Sulfur	0.15 max
Silicon	1.00 max
Chromium	19.00/21.00
Molybdenum	1.50/2.50
Lead	added (0.10/0.30)
Tellurium	added (0.03 min)

K-M35FL	
Carbon	0.015 max
Silicon	0.70/1.00
Manganese	0.40 max
Phosphorous	0.04 max
Sulfur	0.03 max
Nickel	0.30 max
Chromium	12.50/14.00
Lead	0.10/0.30
Aluminum	0.20/0.35

Kanthal A-1	
Carbon	0.08 max
Silicon	0.70 max
Manganese	0.40 max
Aluminum	5.30 min, 6.30 max
Iron	balance
Chromium	20.50 min, 23.50 max

Kanthal AF	
Carbon	0.08 max
Silicon	0.70 max
Manganese	0.40 max
Chromium	20.50 min, 23.50 max
Aluminum	4.80 min, 5.80 max
Iron	balance

Kanthal A	
Carbon	0.08 max
Silicon	0.70 max
Manganese	0.50 max
Chromium	20.50 min, 23.50 max
Aluminum	4.80 min, 5.80 max
Iron	balance

Kanthal D	
Carbon	0.08 max
Silicon	0.70 max
Manganese	0.50 max
Chromium	20.50 min, 23.50 max
Aluminum	4.30 min, 5.30 max
Iron	balance

Kanthal DT	
Carbon	0.08 max
Silicon	0.70 max
Manganese	0.50 max
Chromium	20.50 min, 23.50 max
Aluminum	4.60 min, 5.60 max
Iron	balance

Alkrothal 14	
Carbon	0.08 max
Silicon	0.70 max
Manganese	0.50 max
Chromium	14.00 min, 16.00 max
Aluminum	3.80 min, 4.80 max
Iron	balance

Alkrothal 720	
Carbon	0.08 max
Silicon	0.70 max
Manganese	0.70 max
Chromium	12.00 min, 14.00 max
Aluminum	3.50 min, 4.50 max
Iron	balance

Nikrothal 40	
Carbon	0.10 max
Silicon	1.60 min, 2.50 max
Manganese	1.00 max
Chromium	18.00 min, 21.00 max
Nickel	34.00 min, 37.00 max
Iron	balance

The subject merchandise is currently classifiable under subheadings 7221.00.0005, 7221.00.0015, 7221.00.0030, 7221.00.0045, and 7221.00.0075 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS). Although the HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description of the scope of this order is dispositive.

Analysis of Comments Received

All issues raised in the case and rebuttal briefs submitted by the parties to this antidumping duty administrative review are addressed in the “Issues and Decision Memorandum” (*Decision Memo*) from Stephen J. Claeyns, Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, to David M. Spooner, Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, dated March 5, 2008, which is hereby adopted by this notice. A list of the issues that parties have raised and to which we have responded, all of which are in the *Decision Memo*, is attached to this notice as an appendix. Parties can find a complete discussion of all issues raised in this review and the corresponding recommendations in this public memorandum which is on file in the Central Records Unit, room 1117 of the main Department building. In addition, a complete version of the *Decision Memo* can be accessed directly on the Web at <http://ia.ita.doc.gov/frn>. The paper copy and electronic version of the *Decision Memo* are identical in content.

Changes from the Preliminary Results

Based on the information submitted and our analysis of the comments received, we have made one change to the margin calculations for FSAB. Specifically, we corrected a clerical error by converting FSAB’s constructed value costs from SEK/kg. to USD/lb. in the margin calculations. See Comment 2 of *Decision Memo* and March 5, 2008, Memorandum from Case Analyst to The File, entitled “Calculation Memorandum for the Final Results for Fagersta Stainless AB” for further details.

Final Results of Review

We determine that the following weighted-average margin percentage exists:

Manufacturer/exporter	Margin (percent)
Fagersta Stainless AB/AB Sandvik Materials Technology/ Kanthal AB	20.34

Assessment Rates

The Department shall determine, and U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(b). The Department will issue appropriate appraisal instructions for the company subject to this review directly to CBP 15 days after publication of these final results of review. In accordance with 19 CFR 351.106(c), we will instruct CBP to assess antidumping duties on all appropriate entries covered by this review if any importer-specific assessment rate calculated in the final results of this review is above *de minimis* (i.e., is not less than 0.50 percent *ad valorem*). For entries of subject merchandise made by FSAB on behalf of its U.S. affiliate, FSI, we calculated the importer-specific *ad valorem* duty assessment rate based on the ratio of the total amount of antidumping duties calculated for the examined sales to the total entered value of those sales. However, for shipments of subject merchandise produced by FSAB and imported by its U.S. affiliate, SMT U.S., where the respondent was unable to provide the entered value, we calculated the importer-specific per-unit duty assessment rate by aggregating the total amount of antidumping duties calculated for the examined sales and dividing this amount by the total quantity of those sales. To determine whether the per-unit duty assessment rate is *de minimis*, in accordance with the requirement set forth in 19 CFR 351.106(c)(2), we calculated an importer-specific *ad valorem* ratio based on the estimated entered value.

The Department clarified its “automatic assessment” regulation on May 6, 2003. See *Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Proceedings: Assessment of Antidumping Duties*, 68 FR 23954 (May 6, 2003) (*Assessment Policy Notice*). This clarification will apply to entries of subject merchandise during the POR produced by the company included in these final results of review for which the reviewed company did not know the merchandise it sold to the intermediary (e.g., a reseller, trading company, or exporter) was destined for the United States. In such instances, we will instruct CBP to liquidate unreviewed entries at the “All Others” rate if there is no rate for the intermediary involved in the transaction. See *Assessment Policy Notice* for a full discussion of this clarification.

Cash Deposit Requirements

Pursuant to the *Implementation of the Findings of the WTO Panel in US--Zeroing (EC): Notice of Determinations Under Section 129 of the Uruguay Round Agreements Act and Revocations and Partial Revocations of Certain Antidumping Duty Orders*, 72 FR 25261, 25263 (May 4, 2007), effective April 23, 2007, we have revoked the antidumping duty order on SSWR from Sweden and accordingly have instructed CBP to discontinue collection of cash deposits of antidumping duties on entries of the subject merchandise.

Notification to Importers

This notice also serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary’s presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of doubled antidumping duties.

This notice serves as the only reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3). Timely written notification of return/ destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

We are issuing and publishing this determination and notice in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.221.

Dated: March 5, 2008.

David M. Spooner,
Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

Appendix—List of Issues

Comment 1: Whether to Include Electroslag Refining As a Model-Matching Criterion

Comment 2: Converting the Unit of Measure of FSAB’s Constructed Value Data
[FR Doc. E8-4824 Filed 3-10-08; 8:45 am]