

List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 52

Environmental protection, Air pollution control, Incorporation by reference, Intergovernmental relations, Particulate matter, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

Dated: December 16, 2021.

Deborah Jordan,

Acting Regional Administrator, Region IX.

Part 52, Chapter I, Title 40 of the Code of Federal Regulations is amended as follows:

PART 52—APPROVAL AND PROMULGATION OF IMPLEMENTATION PLANS

■ 1. The authority citation for Part 52 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401 *et seq.*

Subpart F—California

■ 2. Section 52.220 is amended by adding paragraph (c)(567) to read as follows:

§ 52.220 Identification of plan-in part.

* * * * *

(c) * * *

(567) The following materials were submitted on February 11, 2020, by the Governor's designee.

(i) [Reserved]

(ii) *Additional materials.*

(A) California Air Resources Board.

(1) Selected portions of CARB Resolution 19–26, adopted December 12, 2019, as revised and clarified by Executive Order S–20–031, adopted November 23, 2020 and Executive Order S–21–018, adopted October 6, 2021 (Amended Valley Incentive Measure), containing CARB's commitments to achieve 4.83 tpd of NO_x reductions and 0.24 tpd of PM_{2.5} reductions by the beginning of 2024, and 4.46 tpd of NO_x reductions and 0.26 tpd of PM_{2.5} reductions by the beginning of 2025, through implementation of the Carl Moyer Memorial Air Quality Standards Attainment Program, the Funding Agricultural Replacement Measures for Emission Reductions Program, or substitute measures.

(2) [Reserved]

(B) [Reserved]

[FR Doc. 2021–27798 Filed 12–23–21; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY**40 CFR Part 52**

[EPA–R09–OAR–2020–0567; FRL–9001–02–R9]

Air Plan Approval; Hawaii; Interstate Transport for the 2015 Ozone NAAQS

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) is approving a state implementation plan (SIP) revision from the State of Hawaii addressing requirements in the Clean Air Act (CAA or “Act”) regarding interstate transport for the 2015 ozone national ambient air quality standards (NAAQS). Hawaii submitted a SIP revision on November 12, 2019, addressing the CAA provision prohibiting any source or other type of emissions activity in one state from emitting any air pollutant in amounts that will contribute significantly to nonattainment or interfere with maintenance of the NAAQS in any other state (the “good neighbor” provision). The EPA is finalizing approval of Hawaii's good neighbor SIP revision for the 2015 ozone NAAQS.

DATES: This rule is effective on January 26, 2022.

ADDRESSES: The EPA has established a docket for this action under Docket ID No. EPA–R09–OAR–2020–0567. All documents in the docket are listed on the <https://www.regulations.gov> website. Although listed in the index, some information is not publicly available, *e.g.*, Confidential Business Information (CBI) or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Certain other material, such as copyrighted material, is not placed on the internet and will be publicly available only in hard copy form. Publicly available docket materials are available through <https://www.regulations.gov>, or please contact the person identified in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section for additional availability information. If you need assistance in a language other than English or if you are a person with disabilities who needs a reasonable accommodation at no cost to you, please contact the person identified in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Thomas Kelly, Air Planning Office (AIR–2), EPA Region IX, (415) 972–3856, kelly.thomas@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Throughout this document, “we,” “us,” and “our” refer to the EPA.

Table of Contents

I. Summary of Proposed Action
II. Public Comments
III. Final Action
IV. Statutory and Executive Order Reviews

I. Summary of Proposed Action

On September 28, 2021, the EPA published a notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM or “proposed rule”) for the State of Hawaii.¹ We proposed approval of the Hawaii SIP revision that addresses the CAA requirement prohibiting emissions from one state in amounts which significantly contribute to nonattainment or interfere with maintenance of the 2015 ozone NAAQS in any other state. The Hawaii Department of Health (HDOH) submitted its good neighbor SIP revision for the 2015 ozone NAAQS by letter dated November 12, 2019.²

We proposed to find that Hawaii would not significantly contribute to nonattainment or interfere with maintenance of the 2015 ozone NAAQS in any other state. The rationale for EPA's proposed rule is provided in the NPRM.

II. Public Comments

Our September 28, 2021 proposed rule provided a 30-day public comment period that closed on October 28, 2021. We received no adverse comments. One anonymous commenter supported the proposed action.

III. Final Action

The EPA is approving, as a revision to the Hawaii SIP, HDOH's good neighbor SIP revision submitted on November 12, 2019. This revision is approved as meeting CAA section 110(a)(2)(D)(i)(I) requirements that emissions from each state do not contribute to nonattainment or interfere with maintenance of the 2015 ozone NAAQS in any other state.

IV. Statutory and Executive Order Reviews

Under the Clean Air Act, the Administrator is required to approve a SIP submission that complies with the provisions of the Act and applicable Federal regulations. 42 U.S.C. 7410(k); 40 CFR 52.02(a). Thus, in reviewing SIP submissions, the EPA's role is to approve state choices, provided that they meet the criteria of the Clean Air Act. Accordingly, this final rule merely approves state law as meeting Federal requirements and does not impose additional requirements beyond those

¹ 86 FR 53571.

² Letter dated November 12, 2019, from Bruce Anderson, Ph.D., Director of Health, HDOH, to Mike Stoker, Regional Administrator, U.S. EPA, Region IX.

imposed by state law. For that reason, this final rule:

- Is not a “significant regulatory action” subject to review by the Office of Management and Budget under Executive Orders 12866 (58 FR 51735, October 4, 1993) and 13563 (76 FR 3821, January 21, 2011);
- Does not impose an information collection burden under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*);
- Is certified as not having a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601 *et seq.*);
- Does not contain any unfunded mandate or significantly or uniquely affect small governments, as described in the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104–4);
- Does not have federalism implications as specified in Executive Order 13132 (64 FR 43255, August 10, 1999);
- Is not an economically significant regulatory action based on health or safety risks subject to Executive Order 13045 (62 FR 19885, April 23, 1997);
- Is not a significant regulatory action subject to Executive Order 13211 (66 FR 28355, May 22, 2001);
- Is not subject to requirements of section 12(d) of the National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act of 1995 (15 U.S.C. 272 note) because application of those requirements would be inconsistent with the Clean Air Act; and
- Does not provide the EPA with the discretionary authority to address disproportionate human health or environmental effects with practical, appropriate, and legally permissible methods under Executive Order 12898 (59 FR 7629, February 16, 1994).

In addition, the SIP is not approved to apply on any Indian reservation land or in any other area where the EPA or an Indian tribe has demonstrated that a tribe has jurisdiction. In those areas of Indian country, the rule does not have tribal implications and will not impose substantial direct costs on tribal governments or preempt tribal law as specified by Executive Order 13175 (65 FR 67249, November 9, 2000).

The Congressional Review Act, 5 U.S.C. 801 *et seq.*, as added by the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996, generally provides that before a rule may take effect, the agency promulgating the rule must submit a rule report, which includes a copy of the rule, to each House of the Congress and to the Comptroller General of the United States. The EPA will submit a report containing this action and other required information to the U.S. Senate, the U.S. House of Representatives, and the Comptroller General of the United States prior to publication of the rule in the **Federal Register**. A major rule cannot take effect until 60 days after it is published in the **Federal Register**. This action is not a “major rule” as defined by 5 U.S.C. 804(2).

Under section 307(b)(1) of the Clean Air Act, petitions for judicial review of this action must be filed in the United States Court of Appeals for the appropriate circuit by February 25, 2022. Filing a petition for reconsideration by the Administrator of this final rule does not affect the finality of this action for the purposes of judicial review nor does it extend the time within which a petition for judicial review may be filed and shall not postpone the effectiveness of such rule or action. This action may not be

challenged later in proceedings to enforce its requirements. (See section 307(b)(2).)

List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 52

Environmental protection, Air pollution control, Incorporation by reference, Infrastructure SIP, Interstate transport, Nitrogen oxides, Volatile organic compounds.

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401 *et seq.*

Dated: December 15, 2021.

Deborah Jordan,

Acting Regional Administrator, Region IX.

Chapter I, title 40 of the Code of Federal Regulations is amended as follows:

PART 52—APPROVAL AND PROMULGATION OF IMPLEMENTATION PLANS

- 1. The authority citation for part 52 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 42 U.S.C 7401 *et seq.*

Subpart M—Hawaii

- 2. In § 52.620, amend the table in paragraph (e) by adding an entry for “Hawaii State Implementation Plan Revision to address CAA 110(a)(2)(D)(i)(I) for the 2015 Ozone National Ambient Air Quality Standards” immediately after the entry for “Hawaii State Implementation Plan Revision to Address CAA Section 110(a)(2)(D)(i)(I) for the 2008 Ozone National Ambient Air Quality Standard, excluding Attachment 3” to read as follows:

§ 52.620 Identification of plan.

* * * * *
(e) * * *

EPA-APPROVED HAWAII NONREGULATORY AND QUASI-REGULATORY MEASURES

Name of SIP provision	Applicable geographic or nonattainment area or title/ subject	State submittal date	EPA approval date	Explanation
*	*	*	*	*
State of Hawaii Air Pollution Control Implementation Plans for Nitrogen Dioxide, Ozone, PM_{2.5}, and Lead				
*	*	*	*	*
Hawaii State Implementation Plan Revision to address CAA 110(a)(2)(D)(i)(I) for the 2015 Ozone National Ambient Air Quality Standards.	Statewide	November 12, 2019.	December 27, 2021, [Insert Federal Register citation].	Approved SIP revision excludes Attachment 2 (“Summary of Public Participation Proceedings”).
*	*	*	*	*

[FR Doc. 2021-27556 Filed 12-23-21; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY**40 CFR Part 141****[EPA-HQ-OW-2020-0530; FRL-6791-03-OW]****RIN 2040-AF89****Revisions to the Unregulated Contaminant Monitoring Rule (UCMR 5) for Public Water Systems and Announcement of Public Meetings****AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).**ACTION:** Final rule and notice of public meetings.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) is finalizing a Safe Drinking Water Act (SDWA) rule that requires certain public water systems (PWSs) to collect national occurrence data for 29 per- and polyfluoroalkyl substances (PFAS) and lithium. Subject to the availability of appropriations, EPA will include all systems serving 3,300 or more people and a representative sample of 800 systems serving 25 to 3,299 people. If EPA does not receive the appropriations needed for monitoring all of these systems in a given year, EPA will reduce the number of systems serving 25 to 10,000 people that will be asked to perform monitoring. This final rule is a key action to ensure science-based decision-making and prioritize protection of disadvantaged communities in accordance with EPA's PFAS Strategic Roadmap. EPA is also announcing plans for public webinars to discuss implementation of the fifth Unregulated Contaminant Monitoring Rule (UCMR 5).

DATES: This final rule is effective on January 26, 2022. The incorporation by reference of certain publications listed in this final rule is approved by the Director of the Federal Register as of January 26, 2022.

ADDRESSES: EPA has established a docket for this action under Docket ID No. EPA-HQ-OW-2020-0530. All documents in the docket are listed on the <https://www.regulations.gov> website. Although listed in the index, some information is not publicly available, e.g., CBI or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Certain other material, such as copyrighted material, is not placed on the internet and will be publicly available only in hard copy form. Publicly available docket materials are

available electronically through <https://www.regulations.gov>.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Brenda D. Bowden, Standards and Risk Management Division (SRMD), Office of Ground Water and Drinking Water (OGWDW) (MS 140), Environmental Protection Agency, 26 West Martin Luther King Drive, Cincinnati, Ohio 45268; telephone number: (513) 569-7961; email address: bowden.brenda@epa.gov; or Melissa Simic, SRMD, OGWDW (MS 140), Environmental Protection Agency, 26 West Martin Luther King Drive, Cincinnati, Ohio 45268; telephone number: (513) 569-7864; email address: simic.melissa@epa.gov. For general information, visit the Ground Water and Drinking Water web page at: <https://www.epa.gov/ground-water-and-drinking-water>.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**Table of Contents**

- I. Summary Information
 - A. Purpose of the Regulatory Action
 1. What action is EPA taking?
 2. Does this action apply to me?
 3. What is EPA's authority for taking this action?
 4. What is the applicability date?
 - B. Summary of the Regulatory Action
 - C. Economic Analysis
 1. What is the estimated cost of this action?
 2. What are the benefits of this action?
- II. Public Participation
 - A. What meetings have been held in preparation for UCMR 5?
 - B. How do I participate in the upcoming meetings?
 1. Meeting Participation
 2. Meeting Materials
- III. General Information
 - A. How are CCL, UCMR, Regulatory Determination process, and NCOD interrelated?
 - B. What are the Consumer Confidence Reporting and Public Notice Reporting requirements for public water systems that are subject to UCMR?
 - C. What is the UCMR 5 timeline?
 - D. What is the role of "States" in UCMR?
 - E. How did EPA consider Children's Environmental Health?
 - F. How did EPA address Environmental Justice?
 - G. How did EPA coordinate with Indian Tribal Governments?
 - H. How are laboratories approved for UCMR 5 analyses?
 1. Request To Participate
 2. Registration
 3. Application Package
 4. EPA's Review of Application Package
 5. Proficiency Testing
 6. Written EPA Approval
- I. What documents are being incorporated by reference?
 1. Methods From the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
 2. Alternative Methods From American Public Health Association—Standard Methods (SM)
 3. Methods From ASTM International

IV. Description of Final Rule and Summary of Responses to Public Comments

- A. What contaminants must be monitored under UCMR 5?
 1. This Final Rule
 2. Summary of Major Comments and EPA Responses
 - a. Aggregate PFAS Measure
 - b. Legionella Pneumophila
 - c. Haloacetonitriles
 - d. 1,2,3-Trichloropropane
 - B. What is the UCMR 5 sampling design?
 1. This Final Rule
 2. Summary of Major Comments and EPA Responses
 - C. What is the sampling frequency and timing?
 1. This Final Rule
 2. Summary of Major Comments and EPA Responses
 - D. Where are the sampling locations and what is representative monitoring?
 1. This Final Rule
 2. Summary of Major Comments and EPA Responses
 - E. How long do laboratories and PWSs have to report data?
 1. This Final Rule
 2. Summary of Major Comments and EPA Responses
 - F. What are the reporting requirements for UCMR 5?
 1. This Final Rule
 2. Summary of Major Comments and EPA Responses
 - a. Data Elements
 - b. Reporting State Data
 - G. What are the UCMR 5 Minimum Reporting Levels (MRLs) and how were they determined?
 1. This Final Rule
 2. Summary of Major Comments and EPA Responses
 - H. What are the requirements for laboratory analysis of field reagent blank samples?
 1. This Final Rule
 2. Summary of Major Comments and EPA Responses
 - I. How will EPA support risk communication for UCMR 5 results?
- V. Statutory and Executive Order Reviews
- A. Executive Order 12866: Regulatory Planning and Review and Executive Order 13563: Improving Regulation and Regulatory Review
 - B. Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA)
 - C. Regulatory Flexibility Act (RFA)
 - D. Unfunded Mandates Reform Act (UMRA)
 - E. Executive Order 13132: Federalism
 - F. Executive Order 13175: Consultation and Coordination With Indian Tribal Governments
 - G. Executive Order 13045: Protection of Children From Environmental Health Risks and Safety Risks
 - H. Executive Order 13211: Actions Concerning Regulations That Significantly Affect Energy Supply, Distribution or Use
 - I. National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act (NTTAA)
 - J. Executive Order 12898: Federal Actions To Address Environmental Justice in Minority Populations and Low-Income Populations