The purpose for the Proposed Action is to successfully manage Federal land in the Falcon Project. Federal lands associated with the Falcon Project have been utilized by the public for various activities, including grazing leases, since the Falcon Project was established. However, the economic value of these leases and the challenges to successful land management require a reevaluation of the grazing lease program. The need is to implement land management alternatives to grazing leases that address low grazing lease values, limited access by USIBWC to leased lands, and unauthorized activities on leased lands.

Pursuant to section 102(2)(c) of the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) of 1969; the Council on **Environmental Quality Final** Regulations, and the USIBWC Operational Procedures for Implementing Section 102 of NEPA, published in the Federal Register September 2, 1981, USIBWC developed a Draft EA in November 2023 that analyzed eight alternatives for modifying the grazing lease program at the Falcon Project, including the No Action Alternative. Alternative 1—No Action Alternative, is a requirement of the NEPA process and is included to provide a baseline against which the other alternatives can be evaluated. The action alternatives include: Alternative 2—Terminate Leases, Alternative 3-Change Rental Rates on Active Leases and Implement Improved Program Management, Alternative 4—Allow Hunting on Existing Grazing Leases, Alternative 5—Terminate Leases Not Directly Accessible from Public Rightsof-Way, Alternative 6-Negotiate Access Easements on Private Property for Existing Leases, Alternative 7—Amend Leases to Allow Vegetation Management, and Alternative 8-Form a Citizens' Committee to Provide Lease Management Support.

In May 2024, the USIBWC prepared a Final EA and FONSI and determined that one or any combination of Alternatives 2 through 8 could be implemented to manage the grazing lease program at the Falcon Project. Details of the implementation of alternatives to manage the grazing lease program would be determined by the USIBWC Realty Division. All active leases would not be terminated simultaneously. The entire lease program would not be terminated. Grazing Lease actions could include termination of any leases, change of rental rates on active leases, hunting on existing leases, terminate non-accessible leases, allow vegetation management, and form a citizen's committee.

Potential impacts on natural, cultural, and other resources were evaluated. A Finding of No Significant Impact for one or any combination of Alternatives 2 through 8 has been prepared and signed based on a review of the facts and analyses contained in the EA. An environmental impact statement will not be prepared unless additional information which may affect this decision is brought to our attention within 30 days from the date of this Notice.

Dated: July 11, 2024. **Iennifer Pena.** 

Chief Legal Counsel, International Boundary and Water Commission, United States Section

[FR Doc. 2024-16113 Filed 7-22-24; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7010-01-P

## INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

## Notice of Receipt of Complaint; Solicitation of Comments Relating to the Public Interest

**AGENCY:** U.S. International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

**SUMMARY:** Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has received a complaint entitled *Certain Wireless Front-End Modules, Devices Containing the Same, and Components Thereof, DN 3762;* the Commission is soliciting comments on any public interest issues raised by the complaint or complainant's filing pursuant to the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Lisa R. Barton, Secretary to the Commission, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW, Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205–2000. The public version of the complaint can be accessed on the Commission's Electronic Document Information System (EDIS) at https://edis.usitc.gov. For help accessing EDIS, please email EDIS3Help@usitc.gov.

General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server at United States International Trade Commission (USITC) at <a href="https://www.usitc.gov">https://www.usitc.gov</a>. The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's Electronic Document Information System (EDIS) at <a href="https://edis.usitc.gov">https://edis.usitc.gov</a>. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on (202) 205–1810.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The Commission has received a complaint and a submission pursuant to § 210.8(b) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure filed on behalf of Skyworks Solutions, Inc., Skyworks Solutions Canada, Inc., and Skyworks Global Pte. Ltd. on July 17, 2024. The complaint alleges violations of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1337) in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain wireless front-end modules, devices containing the same, and components thereof. The complaint names as respondents: Kangxi Communication Technologies (Shanghai) Co., Ltd. of China; Grand Chip Labs, Inc. of Tustin, CA; D-Link Corporation of Taiwan; D-Link Systems Inc. of Irvine, CA; and Ruijie Networks Co., Ltd. of China. The complainant requests that the Commission issue a general exclusion order, a limited exclusion order, cease and desist orders, and impose a bond upon respondents' alleged infringing articles during the 60day Presidential review period pursuant to 19 U.S.C. 1337(j).

Proposed respondents, other interested parties, members of the public, and interested government agencies are invited to file comments on any public interest issues raised by the complaint or § 210.8(b) filing. Comments should address whether issuance of the relief specifically requested by the complainant in this investigation would affect the public health and welfare in the United States, competitive conditions in the United States economy, the production of like or directly competitive articles in the United States, or United States consumers.

In particular, the Commission is interested in comments that:

(i) explain how the articles potentially subject to the requested remedial orders are used in the United States;

(ii) identify any public health, safety, or welfare concerns in the United States relating to the requested remedial orders;

(iii) identify like or directly competitive articles that complainant, its licensees, or third parties make in the United States which could replace the subject articles if they were to be excluded;

(iv) indicate whether complainant, complainant's licensees, and/or third party suppliers have the capacity to replace the volume of articles potentially subject to the requested exclusion order and/or a cease and desist order within a commercially reasonable time; and

(v) explain how the requested remedial orders would impact United States consumers.

Written submissions on the public interest must be filed no later than by close of business, eight calendar days after the date of publication of this notice in the **Federal Register**. There will be further opportunities for comment on the public interest after the issuance of any final initial determination in this investigation. Any written submissions on other issues must also be filed by no later than the close of business, eight calendar days after publication of this notice in the Federal Register. Complainant may file replies to any written submissions no later than three calendar days after the date on which any initial submissions were due, notwithstanding § 201.14(a) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure. No other submissions will be accepted, unless requested by the Commission. Any submissions and replies filed in response to this Notice are limited to five (5) pages in length, inclusive of attachments.

Persons filing written submissions must file the original document electronically on or before the deadlines stated above. Submissions should refer to the docket number ("Docket No. 3762") in a prominent place on the cover page and/or the first page. (See Handbook for Electronic Filing Procedures, Electronic Filing Procedures 1). Please note the Secretary's Office will accept only electronic filings during this time. Filings must be made through the Commission's Electronic Document Information System (EDIS, https:// edis.usitc.gov.) No in-person paperbased filings or paper copies of any electronic filings will be accepted until further notice. Persons with questions regarding filing should contact the Secretary at EDIS3Help@usitc.gov.

Any person desiring to submit a document to the Commission in confidence must request confidential treatment. All such requests should be directed to the Secretary to the Commission and must include a full statement of the reasons why the Commission should grant such treatment. See 19 CFR 201.6. Documents for which confidential treatment by the Commission is properly sought will be treated accordingly. All information, including confidential business information and documents for which confidential treatment is properly sought, submitted to the Commission for

purposes of this Investigation may be disclosed to and used: (i) by the Commission, its employees and Offices, and contract personnel (a) for developing or maintaining the records of this or a related proceeding, or (b) in internal investigations, audits, reviews, and evaluations relating to the programs, personnel, and operations of the Commission including under 5 U.S.C. appendix 3; or (ii) by U.S. Government employees and contract personnel,2 solely for cybersecurity purposes. All nonconfidential written submissions will be available for public inspection at the Office of the Secretary and on EDIS.3

This action is taken under the authority of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and of §§ 201.10 and 210.8(c) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 201.10, 210.8(c)).

By order of the Commission.

Issued: July 18, 2024.

## Lisa Barton,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2024-16150 Filed 7-22-24; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

## **DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE**

[OMB Number 1125-NEW]

Agency Information Collection Activities; Proposed eCollection; eComments Requested; Notice of Motion To Reconsider/Reopen a Decision by the Board of Immigration Appeals From an Initial Decision of a DHS Officer (EOIR–29A)

**AGENCY:** Executive Office for Immigration Review, Department of Justice.

**ACTION:** 60-Day notice.

**SUMMARY:** The Executive Office for Immigration Review (EOIR), Department of Justice (DOJ), will be submitting the following information collection request to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995.

**DATES:** Comments are encouraged and will be accepted for 60 days until September 23, 2024.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** If you have additional comments especially on the estimated public burden or associated response time, suggestions, or need a copy of the

proposed information collection instrument with instructions or additional information, please contact EOIR's Office of the General Counsel, Executive Office for Immigration Review, Raechel Horowitz, Chief, Immigration Law Division, Office of Policy, 5107 Leesburg Pike, Suite 2500, Falls Church, VA 22041, (703) 305–0473, Raechel.horowitz@usdoj.gov or eoir.pra.comments@usdoj.gov.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Written comments and suggestions from the public and affected agencies concerning the proposed collection of information are encouraged. Your comments should address one or more of the following four points:

- —Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;
- —Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
- —Evaluate whether and if so how the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected can be enhanced; and
- —Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

Abstract: A party may file a motion to reopen and/or reconsider a decision by the Board of Immigration Appeals (BIA or Board) in a case which was initially adjudicated by a Department of Homeland Security (DHS) Officer. See 8 CFR 1003.2(b), 1003.2(c)(1). The party must complete this new form and submit it to the DHS office having administrative control over the record of proceeding in order to file a motion to reopen and/or reconsider these Board decisions. EOIR developed the new Form EOIR-29A to elicit, in a uniform manner, all of the required information for the BIA to process a motion to reopen and/or reconsider upon receipt from DHS. The form collects the following information: name and mailing address of beneficiary, petitioner, applicant, carrier, and/or individual; alien registration number (A-number); receipt number; and fine number. The form also requires the respondent to identify the type of motion being filed (motion to reopen,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Handbook for Electronic Filing Procedures: https://www.usitc.gov/documents/handbook\_on\_ filing\_procedures.pdf.

 $<sup>^2\,\</sup>mathrm{All}$  contract personnel will sign appropriate nondisclosure agreements.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Electronic Document Information System (EDIS): https://edis.usitc.gov.