Repair Instructions and Exception to Procedures in Service Information

(e) If any discrepancy is found during any action required by paragraphs (a), (b), or (c) of this AD, and the service bulletin specifies to contact Boeing for appropriate action; OR if any discrepancy is found during inspections according to paragraph (d) of this AD: Prior to further flight, repair according to a method approved by the Manager Seattle Aircraft Certification Office (ACO). FAA; or according to data meeting the type certification basis of the airplane approved by a Boeing Company Designated Engineering Representative (DER) who has been authorized by the Manager, Seattle ACO, to make such findings. For a repair method to be approved by the Manager, Seattle ACO, as required by this paragraph, the approval letter must specifically reference this AD.

Alternative Methods of Compliance

(f) An alternative method of compliance or adjustment of the compliance time that provides an acceptable level of safety may be used if approved by the Manager, Seattle ACO. Operators shall submit their requests through an appropriate FAA Principal Maintenance Inspector, who may add comments and then send it to the Manager, Seattle ACO.

Note 5: Information concerning the existence of approved alternative methods of compliance with this AD, if any, may be obtained from the Seattle ACO.

Special Flight Permits

(g) Special flight permits may be issued in accordance with sections 21.197 and 21.199 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 21.197 and 21.199) to operate the airplane to a location where the requirements of this AD can be accomplished.

Issued in Renton, Washington, on July 26, 2001.

Vi L. Lipski,

Manager, Transport Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.

[FR Doc. 01-19261 Filed 8-1-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-39-P

NATIONAL ARCHIVES AND RECORDS ADMINISTRATION

36 CFR Part 1228

RIN 3095-AB02

Records Disposition

AGENCY: National Archives and Records Administration (NARA).

ACTION: Proposed rule; correction.

SUMMARY: This document corrects the preamble of a proposed rule published in the **Federal Register** on July 17, 2001, at 66 FR 37202. The proposed rule would change the records management regulations in Subchapter B to simplify certain records disposition procedures.

Inadvertently, a paragraph was omitted from the **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION** section of the preamble that identifies specific issues for which NARA seeks Federal agency comment.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Nancy Allard at telephone number 301–713–7360 or fax number 301–713–7270.

Correction

In proposed rule FR Doc. 01–17791, beginning on page 37202 in the issue of July 17, 2001, make the following correction, in the **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION** section. On page 37203 in the 1st column, add at the end of the first full paragraph the following new paragraph:

"The changes proposed in this rulemaking are intended to reduce Federal agency burden in the areas of submitting records disposition manuals to NARA and implementing disposition authorities for records covered by General Records Schedules. We specifically seek agency comment on the clarity of these proposed changes and whether they will indeed provide a benefit to the agencies."

Dated: July 30, 2001.

Nancy Y. Allard,

NARA Federal Register Liaison. [FR Doc. 01–19310 Filed 8–1–01; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7515–01–U

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 63

[FRL-7020-2]

RIN 2060-AE83

National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants for Pharmaceuticals Production

AGENCY: Environmental Protection

Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: The EPA is proposing to amend the national emission standards for hazardous air pollutants (NESHAP) for pharmaceuticals production. This action proposes to correct referencing errors, add test methods for analyzing wastewater, define triethylamine as a soluble hazardous air pollutant (HAP) instead of a partially soluble HAP, add an outlet concentration limit for storage tank emissions, clarify the monitoring frequency requirements for connectors, and add planned routine maintenance provisions for centralized combustion control devices.

In the "Rules and Regulations" section of this **Federal Register**, we are

making these corrections in a direct final rule, without prior proposal, because we view these revisions as noncontroversial, and we anticipate no adverse comments. We have explained our reasons for these corrections in the preamble to the direct final rule.

If we receive no adverse comments, we will take no further action on this proposed rule. If an adverse comment applies to an amendment, paragraph, or section, and that provision may be addressed separately from the remainder of the rule, we will withdraw only those provisions on which we received adverse comments. We will publish a timely withdrawal in the Federal Register indicating which provisions are being withdrawn. If part or all of the direct final rule in the "Rules and Regulations" section of this Federal Register is withdrawn, all public comments pertaining to those provisions will be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on this proposed rule. We will not institute a second comment period on that subsequent final rule. Any parties interested in commenting must do so at

DATES: Comments. Written comments must be received by September 4, 2001, unless a hearing is requested by August 13, 2001. If a hearing is requested, written comments must be received by September 17, 2001.

Public Hearing. If anyone contacts the EPA requesting to speak at a public hearing by August 13, 2001, a public hearing will be held on August 16, 2001. ADDRESSES: Comments. By U.S. Postal Service, send comments (in duplicate, if possible) to: Air and Radiation Docket and Information Center (6102), Attention Docket Number A-96-03, U.S. EPA, 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20460. In person or by courier, deliver comments (in duplicate if possible) to: Air and Radiation Docket and Information Center (6102), Attention Docket Number A-96-03, U.S. EPA, 401 M Street, SW., Washington DC 20460. The EPA requests that a separate copy of each public comment be sent to the contact person listed below (see FOR FURTHER **INFORMATION CONTACT**). Comments may also be submitted electronically by following the instructions provided in SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION.

Public Hearing. If a public hearing is held, it will be held at the EPA's Office of Administration Auditorium, Research Triangle Park, North Carolina at 10:30

Docket. Docket No. A–96–03 contains supporting information used in developing the NESHAP. The docket is located at the U.S. EPA, 401 M Street, SW., Washington, DC 20460 in Room M–1500, Waterside Mall (ground floor), and may be inspected from 8 a.m. to 5:30 p.m., Monday through Friday, excluding legal holidays.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Randy McDonald, Organic Chemicals Group, Emission Standards Division (MD–13), U.S. EPA, Research Triangle Park, North Carolina 27711, telephone number (919) 541–5402, electronic mail address: mcdonald.randy@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Comments. Comments and data may be submitted by electronic mail (e-mail) to: a-and-r-docket@epa.gov. Electronic comments must be submitted as an ASCII file to avoid the use of special characters and encryption problems and will also be accepted on disks in WordPerfect version 5.1, 6.1, or Corel 8 file format. All comments and data submitted in electronic form must note the docket number A-96-03. No confidential business information (CBI) should be submitted by e-mail. Electronic comments may be filed online at many Federal Depository Libraries.

Commenters wishing to submit proprietary information for consideration must clearly distinguish such information from other comments and clearly label it as CBI. Send submissions containing such proprietary information directly to the

following address, and not to the public docket, to ensure that proprietary information is not inadvertently placed in the docket: Attention: Mr. Randy McDonald, c/o OAQPS Document Control Officer (Room 740B), U.S. EPA. 411 W. Chapel Hill Street, Durham, NC 27701. The EPA will disclose information identified as CBI only to the extent allowed by the procedures set forth in 40 CFR part 2. If no claim of confidentiality accompanies a submission when it is received by EPA, the information may be made available to the public without further notice to the commenter.

Public Hearing. Persons interested in presenting oral testimony or inquiring as to whether a hearing is to be held should contact Ms. Maria Noell, U.S. EPA, MD–13, Research Triangle Park, NC 27711, telephone (919) 541–5607, at least 2 days in advance of the public hearing. Persons interested in attending the public hearing must also call Ms. Maria Noell to verify the time, date, and location of the hearing. The public hearing will provide interested parties the opportunity to present data, views, or arguments concerning these proposed amendments.

Docket. The docket is an organized and complete file of all the information considered by the EPA in the development of this rulemaking. The docket is a dynamic file because material is added throughout the

rulemaking process. The docketing system is intended to allow members of the public and industries involved to readily identify and locate documents so that they can effectively participate in the rulemaking process. Along with the proposed and promulgated standards and their preambles, the contents of the docket will serve as the record in the case of judicial review. (See section 307(d)(7)(A) of the Clean Air Act (CAA).) The regulatory text and other materials related to this rulemaking are available for review in the docket or copies may be mailed on request from the Air Docket by calling (202) 260-7548. A reasonable fee may be charged for copying docket materials.

Worldwide Web (WWW). In addition to being available in the docket, an electronic copy of this proposed rule will also be available through the WWW. Following signature, a copy of this action will be posted on the EPA's Technology Transfer Network (TTN) policy and guidance page for newly proposed or promulgated rules http://www.epa.gov/ttn/oarpg. The TTN at EPA's web site provides information and technology exchange in various areas of air pollution control. If more information regarding the TTN is needed, call the TTN HELP line at (919) 541–5384.

Regulated Entities. The regulated category and entities affected by this action include:

Category	NAICS codes	SIC codes Examples of regulated entities	
Industry	325411 and 325412	2833 and 2834	Producers of finished dosage forms of drugs (e.g., tablets, capsules, and solutions), active ingredients, or precursors.

This table is not intended to be exhaustive, but rather provides a guide for readers likely to be interested in the revisions to the rule affected by this action. To determine whether your facility, company, business, organization, etc., is regulated by this action, you should carefully examine all of the applicability criteria in § 63.1250 of the rule. If you have questions regarding the applicability of these proposed amendments to a particular entity, consult the person listed in the preceding FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT section.

What Are the Administrative Requirements for This Action?

Regulatory Flexibility Act (RFA), as Amended by the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996 (SBREFA), 5 U.S.C. 601 et seq.

The RFA generally requires an agency to prepare a regulatory flexibility analysis of any rule subject to notice and comment rulemaking requirements under the Administrative Procedure Act or any other statute unless the agency certifies that the rule will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. Small entities include small businesses, small organizations, and small governmental jurisdictions.

For purposes of assessing the impacts of this proposed rule on small entities, a small entity is defined as: (1) A small business in the North American Industrial Classification System (NAICS) code 325411 or 325412 that has as many as 750 employees; (2) a small business in NAICS code 325199 that has as many as 1,000 employees; (3) a small governmental jurisdiction that is a government of a city, county, town, school district or special district with a population of less than 50,000; and (4) a small organization that is any not-forprofit enterprise which is independently owned and operated and is not dominant in its field.

After considering the economic impacts of this proposed rule on small entities, I certify that this action will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. The EPA has determined that none of the small entities will experience a significant impact because the amendments impose no additional regulatory requirements on owners or

operators of affected sources. Many of the amendments provide additional compliance options, and other amendments clarify requirements and correct minor drafting errors.

For information regarding other administrative requirements for this action, please see the direct final rule action that is located in the "Rules and Regulations" section of this **Federal Register** publication.

List of Subjects in 40 CFR Parts 9 and 63

Environmental protection, Administrative practice and procedure, Air pollution control, Hazardous substances, Intergovernmental relations, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

Dated: July 24, 2001.

Christine Todd Whitman,

Administrator.

[FR Doc. 01–18880 Filed 8–1–01; 8:45 am]

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 52

[CA 241-0255; FRL-7022-9]

Revisions to the California State Implementation Plan, Bay Area Air Quality Management District

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: EPA is proposing a limited approval and limited disapproval of revisions to the Bay Area Air Quality Management District (BAAOMD) portion of the California State Implementation Plan (SIP). These revisions concern volatile organic compound (VOC) emissions from storage of organic liquids and leaking equipment at petroleum refineries, chemical plants, bulk plants and bulk terminals. We are proposing action on local rules that regulate these emission sources under the Clean Air Act as amended in 1990 (CAA or the Act). We are taking comments on this proposal and plan to follow with a final action.

DATES: Any comments must arrive by September 4, 2001.

ADDRESSES: Mail comments to Andy Steckel, Rulemaking Office Chief (AIR– 4), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region IX, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, CA 94105–3901.

You can inspect copies of the submitted SIP revisions and EPA's technical support documents (TSDs) at our Region IX office during normal business hours. You may also see copies of the submitted SIP revisions at the following locations:

California Air Resources Board, Stationary Source Division, Rule Evaluation Section, 1001 "I" Street, Sacramento, CA 95814 Bay Area Air Quality Management District, 939 Ellis Street, San Francisco, CA 94109

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Christine Vineyard, Rulemaking Office (AIR-4), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region IX, (415) 744–1197.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Throughout this document, "we," "us" and "our" refer to EPA.

Table of Contents

- I. The State's Submittal
- A. What rules did the State submit?
- B. What is the purpose of the submitted rule revisions?
- II. EPA's Evaluation and Action
 - A. How is EPA evaluating the rules?
 - B. Do the rules meet the evaluation criteria?
 - C. What are the rule deficiencies?
 - D. Proposed action and public comment.
- III. Background Information

Why were these rules submitted?

IV. Administrative Requirements

I. The State's Submittal

A. What rules did the State submit?

Table 1 lists the rules addressed by this proposal with the dates that they were adopted by local air agencies and submitted by the California Air Resources Board (CARB).

TABLE 1.—SUBMITTED RULES

Local agency	Rule No.	Rule title	Adopted	Submitted
BAAQMD	8–5 8–18	Storage of Organic Liquids	12/15/99 01/07/98	03/28/00 03/28/00

On May 19, 2000, this rule submittal was found to meet the completeness criteria in 40 CFR part 51, appendix V, which must be met before formal EPA review.

B. What Is the Purpose of the Submitted Rule Revisions?

Revisions to Rule 8–5, Storage of Organic Liquids, are intended to:

- Implement a control measure for slotted guide poles.
- Modify requirements for primary metallic-shoe type seals used in internal floating roof tanks containing organic liquids that produce ozone forming air pollutants.

Revisions to Rule 8–18, Equipment Leaks, are intended to:

• Consolidate the regulation of fugitives in a single rule, transferring

provisions contained in District Rule 8–25, Pumps and Compressor Seals at Petroleum Refineries, Chemical Plants, Bulk Plants and Bulk Terminals, to Rule 8–18. District Rule 8–25 is being deleted.

- Provide a more stringent leak standard for pressure relief devices.
- Add compliance options to allow the use of new leak and detection and repair technology.

The TSDs have more information about these rules.

II. EPA's Evaluation and Action

A. How Is EPA Evaluating the Rules?

Generally, SIP rules must be enforceable (see section 110(a) of the Act), must require Reasonably Available Control Technology (RACT) for major sources in nonattainment areas (see section 182(a)(2)(A)), and must not relax existing requirements (see sections 110(l) and 193). The BAAQMD regulates an ozone nonattainment area (see 40 CFR part 81), so Rules 8–5 and 8–18 must fulfill RACT.

Guidance and policy documents that we used to define specific enforceability and RACT requirements include the following:

- 1. Portions of the proposed post-1987 ozone and carbon monoxide policy that concern RACT, 52 FR 45044, November 24, 1987.
- 2. "Issues Relating to VOC Regulation Cutpoints, Deficiencies, and Deviations; Clarification to Appendix D of November 24, 1987 **Federal Register** Document," (Blue Book), notice of availability published in the May 25, 1988 **Federal Register**.