receives adverse comments, the direct final rule will be withdrawn and all public comments received will be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on this proposed rule. The EPA will not institute a second comment period. Any parties interested in commenting should do so at this time.

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401 et seq.

Dated: August 6, 2002.

### Wayne Nastri,

Regional Administrator, , Region IX.
[FR Doc. 02–21557 Filed 8–23–02; 8:45 am]

# ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 52

[AZ 100-0056b; FRL-7266-4]

Approval and Promulgation of State Implementation Plans; Arizona State Implementation Plan Revision, Maricopa County Environmental Services Department

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** EPA is proposing to approve revisions to the Arizona State Implementation Plan (SIP) for the Maricopa County Environmental Services Department (MCESD). The revisions consist of negative declarations for twelve volatile organic compound source categories for the MCESD. The intended effect of this action is to bring the MCESD portion of the Arizona SIP up to date in accordance with the requirements of the Clean Air Act, as amended in 1990 (CAA or the Act). EPA is proposing the approval of these negative declarations for the MCESD portion of the Arizona SIP under provisions of the CAA regarding EPA action on SIP submittals, SIPs for national primary and secondary ambient air quality standards, and plan requirements for nonattainment areas. EPA is approving these revisions in accordance with the requirements of the

**DATES:** Comments must arrive by September 25, 2002.

ADDRESSES: Mail comments to: Andy Steckel, Chief, Rulemaking Office (AIR–4), Air Division, U.S. Environmental

Protection Agency, Region IX, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, CA 94105–3901.

You can inspect copies of the submitted SIP revisions and EPA's technical support document (TSD) at our Region IX office during normal business hours. You may also see copies of the submitted SIP revisions at the following locations: Arizona Department of Environmental Quality, 3033 North Central Avenue, Phoenix, Arizona 85012. Maricopa County Environmental Services Department, 1001 North Central, No. 595, Phoenix, Arizona 85004.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Julie A. Rose, Rulemaking Office, AIR-4, Air Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 9, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, CA 94105–3901, Telephone: (415) 947–4126. e-mail: Rose.julie@EPA.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The negative declarations being approved for the Maricopa County Environmental Services Department (MCESD) portion of the Arizona SIP are listed in the following Table:

### SUBMITTED NEGATIVE DECLARATIONS

Local agency	Title	Adopted	Submitted
MCESD	Refinery Sources	04–26–00	12–14–00

In the final rules section of this **Federal Register**, the EPA is approving the State's SIP submittal as a direct final rule without prior proposal because the Agency views this as a noncontroversial revision and anticipates no adverse comments.

A detailed rationale for this approval is set forth in the direct final rule. If no adverse comments are received, no further activity is contemplated. If EPA receives adverse comments, the direct final rule will be withdrawn and all public comments received will be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on this proposed rule. The EPA will not institute a second comment period. Any parties interested in commenting should do so at this time.

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401 et seq.

Dated: August 6, 2002.

## Wayne Nastri,

 $\label{eq:Regional Administrator, Region IX.} \\ [\text{FR Doc. 02-21559 Filed 8-23-02; 8:45 am}]$ 

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

#### DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

## **Coast Guard**

46 CFR Ch. I

[USCG-1998-3473]

RIN 2115-AF61

# **Emergency Response Plans for Passenger Vessels**

AGENCY: Coast Guard, DOT.

**ACTION:** Advance notice of proposed rulemaking; withdrawal.

**SUMMARY:** The Coast Guard is withdrawing and terminating its advance notice of proposed rulemaking concerning emergency response plans (ERPs) for U.S.-flag inspected passenger