Department would issue its final determinations no later than 75 days after the date on which the Department issued its preliminary determinations.

Section 735(a)(2)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), and 19 CFR 351.210(b)(2)(ii) provide that a final determination may be postponed until no later than 135 days after the date of the publication of the preliminary determination if, in the event of an affirmative preliminary determination, a request for such postponement is made by exporters who account for a significant proportion of exports of the subject merchandise. Additionally, 19 CFR 351.210(e)(2) requires that requests by a respondent for postponement of a final determination be accompanied by a request for an extension of the provisional measures from a fourmonth period to not more than six months.

On April 25, 2007, in accordance with section 735(a)(2)(A) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.210(b)(2)(ii) and (e)(2), Citrusvil, S.A. and S.A. San Miguel A.G.I.C.y F. (the two respondents in the investigation of lemon juice from Argentina) requested that the Department: (1) postpone the final determination in the Argentina investigation, and (2) extend the provisional measures period in the Argentina investigation from four months to a period not longer than six months. These two companies account for a significant proportion of exports of subject merchandise from Argentina. In addition, on April 26, 2007, The Coca-Cola Company and a subsidiary, The Coca-Cola Export Corporation, Mexico Branch (respondent in the investigation of lemon juice from Mexico), also requested that the Department: (1) postpone the final determination in the Mexico investigation, and (2) extend the provisional measures period in the Mexico investigation from four months to a period not longer than six months. This company accounts for a significant proportion of exports of subject merchandise from Mexico.

Accordingly, pursuant to section 735(a)(2)(A) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.210(b)(2)(ii), the Department is postponing the final determinations until no later than 135 days after the publication of the preliminary determinations in the Federal Register for the following reasons: (1) the preliminary determinations in these investigations were affirmative; (2) the requesting producers/exporters account for a significant proportion of exports of the subject merchandise in these investigations and they requested the extension of provisional measures; and (3) no compelling reasons for denial

exist. The new statutory deadline for the final determinations is September 8, 2007. Because September 8, 2007, is a Saturday, the Department will issue the final determinations no later than September 10, 2007. Provisional measures will be extended in accordance with 19 CFR 351.210(e)(2) and section 733(d) of the Act.

This notice is issued and published pursuant to sections 777(i) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.210(g).

Dated: May 17, 2007.

David M. Spooner,

Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. E7–9926 Filed 5–22–07; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

Department of Commerce, National Institute of Standards and Technology, et al.

Notice of Consolidated Decision on Applications for Duty–Free Entry of Electron Microscopes

This is a decision consolidated pursuant to Section 6(c) of the Educational, Scientific, and Cultural Materials Importation Act of 1966 (Pub. L. 89–651, as amended by Pub. L. 106–36; 80 Stat. 897; 15 CFR part 301). Related records can be viewed between 8:30 A.M. and 5:00 P.M. in Room 2104, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th and Constitution Avenue., NW, Washington, D.C.

Docket Number: 07–014. Applicant: U.S. Department of Commerce, National Institute of Standards and Technology, Gaithersburg, MD 20899. Instrument: Electron Microscope, Model Quanta Series. Manufacturer: FEI Company, The Netherlands. Intended Use: See notice at 72 FR 20504, April 25, 2007. Order date: September 16, 2006.

Docket Number: 07–015. Applicant: VA Puget Sound Health Care System, Seattle, WA 98108. Instrument: Electron Microscope, Model JEM -1011. Manufacturer: JEOL, Ltd., Japan. Intended Use: See notice at 72 FR 20504, April 25, 2007. Order Date: September 13, 2006.

Docket Number: 07–018. Applicant: Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University, Institute for Critical Technology and Applied Science, Blacksburg, VA 24061. Instrument: Electron Microscope, Model Quanta 600 FEG. Manufacturer: FEI Company, Brno, Czech Republic. Intended Use: See notice at 72 FR 20504, April 25, 2007. Order Date: December 13, 2006.

Docket Number: 07–019. Applicant: University of Utah, Department of Ophthalmology & Visual Sciences, John A. Moran Eye Center, Salt Lake City, UT 84132. Instrument: Electron Microscope, Model JEM -1400. Manufacturer: JEOL Ltd., Japan. Intended Use: See notice at 72 FR 20504, April 25, 2007. Order Date: November 15, 2006.

Docket Number: 07–020. Applicant: University of Rhode Island, Department of Chemical Engineering, Kingston, RI 02881. Instrument: Electron Microscope, Model JEM - 2100. Manufacturer: JEOL, Ltd., Japan. Intended Use: See notice at 72 FR 20504, April 25, 2007. Order Date: September 21, 2006.

Docket Number: 07–021. Applicant: The University of Texas at Austin, Purchasing Office, Austin, TX 78722. Instrument: Electron Microscope, Model JEM -1400. Manufacturer: JEOL Ltd., Japan. Intended Use: See notice at 72 FR 20504, April 25, 2007. Order Date: December 4, 2006.

Docket Number: 07–022. Applicant: Duke University, Durham, NC 27708– 0271. Instrument: Electron Microscope. Manufacturer: FEI Company, The Netherlands. Intended Use: See notice at 72 FR 20504, April 25, 2007. Order Date: December 21, 2006.

Comments: None received. Decision: Approved. No instrument of equivalent scientific value to the foreign instrument, for such purposes as these instruments are intended to be used, was being manufactured in the United States at the time the instruments were ordered. Reasons: Each foreign instrument is an electron microscope and is intended for research or scientific educational uses requiring an electron microscope. We know of no electron microscope, or any other instrument suited to these purposes, which was being manufactured in the United States at the time of order of each instrument.

Faye Robinson,

Director, Statutory Import Programs Staff. [FR Doc. E7–9927 Filed 5–22–07; 8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

Purdue University, et al., Notice of Consolidated Decision on Applications, for Duty–Free Entry of Scientific Instruments

This is a decision consolidated pursuant to Section 6(c) of the Educational, Scientific, and Cultural Materials Importation Act of 1966 (Pub.