

**DATES:** *Comments Due Date:* March 3, 2014.

**ADDRESSES:** Interested persons are invited to submit comments regarding this proposal. Comments should refer to the proposal by name and/or OMB Control Number and should be sent to: HUD Desk Officer, Office of Management and Budget, New Executive Office Building, Washington, DC 20503; fax: 202-395-5806. Email: [OIRA\\_Submission@omb.eop.gov](mailto:OIRA_Submission@omb.eop.gov).

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Colette Pollard, Reports Management Officer, QDAM, Department of Housing and Urban Development, 451 7th Street, SW., Washington, DC 20410; email [Colette.Pollard@hud.gov](mailto:Colette.Pollard@hud.gov) or telephone 202-402-3400. Persons with hearing or speech impairments may access this number through TTY by calling the toll-free Federal Relay Service at (800) 877-8339. This is not a toll-free number. Copies of available documents submitted to OMB may be obtained from Ms. Pollard.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** This notice informs the public that HUD has submitted to OMB a request for approval of the information collection described in Section A. The **Federal Register** notice that solicited public comment on the information collection for a period of 60 days was published on November 4, 2013.

#### A. Overview of Information Collection

*Title of Information Collection:* Multifamily Project Monthly Accounting Reports

*OMB Approval Number:* 2502-0108.

*Type of Request:* Extension of a currently approved collection.

*Form Number:* HUD-93479, HUD-93480, and HUD-9348.

*Description of the need for the information and proposed use:* This information is necessary for HUD to monitor compliance with contractual agreements and to analyze cash flow trends as well as occupancy and rent collection levels.

*Respondents (i.e. affected public):* Business and Other for profit.

*Estimated Number of Respondents:* 13,646.

*Estimated Number of Responses:* 491,256.

*Frequency of Response:* Monthly.

*Average Hours per Response:* 3.5 hours.

*Total Estimated Burdens:* 573,132.

#### B. Solicitation of Public Comment

This notice is soliciting comments from members of the public and affected parties concerning the collection of information described in Section A on the following:

(1) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility; (2) The accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information; (3) Ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (4) Ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond; including through the use of appropriate automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses. HUD encourages interested parties to submit comment in response to these questions.

**Authority:** Section 3507 of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, 44 U.S.C. Chapters 35.

Dated: *January 23, 2014.*

**Colette Pollard,**

*Department Reports Management Officer,  
Office of the Chief Information Officer.*

[FR Doc. 2014-02031 Filed 1-30-14; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4210-67-P**

### DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT

[Docket Number FR-5752-N-11]

#### 30-Day Notice of Information Collection: Comprehensive Listing of Transactional Documents for Mortgagees, Mortgagees and Contractors

**AGENCY:** Office of the Chief Information Officer, HUD.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** On March 14, 2013, HUD published in the **Federal Register** a notice that announced that FHA's healthcare facility documents completed the notice and comment processes under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (PRA), and had been assigned a control number, 2502-0605, by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB). The assignment of a control number concluded a 10-month process through which HUD solicited public comment to update 115 healthcare facility documents to reflect current policy and practices, to improve accountability by all parties involved in FHA's healthcare facility transactions and strengthen risk management.

On September 10, 2013, published a notice in the **Federal Register** that solicited, for a period of 60 days, public comment on this collection solely on the issue of which healthcare facility documents are eligible for electronic

submission. HUD did not address this issue as part of the previous notice and comment process, but recognized the importance, efficiency, and reduction of burden that electronic submission of documents can achieve, and solicited public comment on the healthcare facility documents that HUD had determined may be submitted, but are not required to be submitted, electronically.

The purpose of this notice is to allow for an additional 30 days of public comment.

**DATES:** *Comment Due Date:* March 3, 2014.

**ADDRESSES:** Interested persons are invited to submit comments regarding this notice. Communications must refer to the above docket number and title. There are two methods for submitting public comments:

**1. Submission of Comments by Mail.** Comments may be submitted by mail to the Regulations Division, Office of General Counsel, Department of Housing and Urban Development, 451 7th Street, SW., Room 10276, Washington, DC 20410-0500.

**2. Electronic Submission of Comments.** Comments may be submitted electronically through the Federal eRulemaking Portal at [www.regulations.gov](http://www.regulations.gov). HUD strongly encourages commenters to submit comments electronically. Electronic submission of comments allows the commenter maximum time to prepare and submit a comment, ensures timely receipt by HUD, and enables HUD to make them immediately available to the public. Comments submitted electronically through the [www.regulations.gov](http://www.regulations.gov) Web site can be viewed by other commenters and interested members of the public. Commenters should follow the instructions provided on that site to submit comments electronically.

**Note:** To receive consideration as public comments, comments must be submitted through one of the two methods specified above. Again, all submissions must refer to the docket number and title of the notice.

**No Facsimile Comments.** Facsimile (fax) comments are not acceptable.

**Public Inspection of Public Comments.** All properly submitted comments and communications will be available for public inspection and downloading at [www.regulations.gov](http://www.regulations.gov) under the docket number for this notice.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** John M. Hartung, Director, Policy and Risk Management Division, Office of Residential Care Facilities, Office of Healthcare Programs, Office of Housing,

U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development, 1222 Spruce Street, Room 3.203, St. Louis, MO 63103–2836; telephone (314) 418–5238 (this is not a toll-free number). Persons with hearing or speech disabilities may access this number through TTY by calling the toll-free Federal Relay Service at (800) 877–8339.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### I. Background

###### *A. 2012 PRA Process on Substance of Healthcare Facility Documents*

On May 3, 2012, at 77 FR 26304, and consistent with the PRA, HUD published a notice in the **Federal Register** seeking public comment for a period of 60 days (60-day Notice) on HUD's proposed update and revisions to a set of production, underwriting, asset management, closing, and other documents used in connection with transactions involving healthcare facilities, excluding hospitals (collectively, the healthcare facility documents), that are insured pursuant to section 232 of the National Housing Act (Section 232). In conjunction with publication of the 60-day Notice, the proposed revised healthcare facility documents (115 documents) were made available at: [www.hud.gov/232forms](http://www.hud.gov/232forms). In addition to presenting unmarked versions of the documents, this Web site, to the extent applicable, presented the proposed healthcare facility documents as a redline/strikeout against the updated multifamily rental project closing documents to highlight the changes made to facilitate a healthcare transaction. Where the proposed healthcare facility documents were based on existing healthcare facility documents, the proposed healthcare facility documents, in addition to being presented in an unmarked format, were presented in redline/strikeout format so that reviewers could see the changes proposed to the existing healthcare facility documents.<sup>1</sup>

As a special outreach to the public on proposed changes to the healthcare facility documents and Section 232 program regulations, HUD hosted a forum on May 31, 2012, in Washington, DC. (See <http://portal.hud.gov/hudportal/HUD?src=/press/multimedia/videos>.) While comments were raised and discussed at the forum, HUD encouraged forum participants to file written comments through the [www.regulations.gov](http://www.regulations.gov) Web site so that all comments would be more easily

accessible to interested parties. All comments, whether submitted through [www.regulations.gov](http://www.regulations.gov) or raised at the forum, were considered in the development of the revised documents which were published on November 21, 2012 (77 FR 69870), and for which, consistent with the PRA, comment was solicited for an additional 30 days (30-day Notice).

In the 30-day Notice, HUD identified substantive changes that were made to the healthcare facility documents in response to public comments submitted on the 60-day Notice, responded to significant issues raised by the commenters, and identified proposed additional changes based on further consideration of certain issues. As was the case with the 60-day Notice, HUD posted on its Web site the further revised healthcare facility documents in (1) a clean format, and (2) in redline/strikeout format, to show the changes made from the versions posted with issuance of the 60-day Notice.

On March 14, 2013, at 78 FR 16279, HUD published in the **Federal Register** a notice that announced the approval of the healthcare facility documents under the PRA and the assignment of a control number, 2502–0605, by OMB. In addition to announcing the assignment of an OMB control number, HUD advised in the May 14, 2013, notice that additional changes were made to the healthcare facility documents in response to comments submitted on the 30-day Notice. In the March 14, 2013, notice, HUD highlighted additional changes made to the healthcare facility documents, and once again, provided on HUD's Web site at [www.hud.gov/232forms](http://www.hud.gov/232forms), the final versions of the documents in clean and redline/strikeout formats so that reviewers could see the final changes made to the documents and the clean final versions of the documents.

###### *B. 2013 PRA Process on Eligibility of Electronic Submission*

On September 10, 2013, at 78 FR 55282, HUD published in the **Federal Register** a notice solely seeking comment on the issue of which healthcare facility documents may be submitted electronically. Questions arose on this issue after conclusion of the 2012 PRA process. Because of the considerable comment solicited and received during the 2012 PRA process on the substance of the documents, and which just concluded in March 2013,

the September 30, 2013, notice did not seek further comment on the provisions of the documents and the estimate of burden.

In the September 30, 2013, notice, HUD advised that consistent with current practice, HUD requires applications for mortgage insurance to be submitted electronically, and that therefore any healthcare facility documents submitted as part of an application for mortgage insurance must be submitted electronically. Of the other healthcare facility documents, HUD identified 13 documents that must be submitted with original signatures, in hard copy format. These documents are the following: Healthcare Regulatory Agreement—Borrower (HUD–92466–ORCF); Healthcare Regulatory Agreement—Operator (HUD–92466A–ORCF); Management Certification—Residential Care Facility (HUD–9839–ORCF); Lender Certification (HUD–92434–ORCF); Offsite Bond—Dual Obligor (HUD–92479–ORCF); Performance Bond—Dual Obligor (HUD–92452–ORCF); Payment Bond (HUD–92452A–ORCF); Request for Endorsement (HUD–92455–ORCF); Request for Final Endorsement (HUD–92023–ORCF); Guide for Opinion for Mater Tenant's Counsel (HUD–92335–ORCF); Healthcare Regulatory Agreement—Master Tenant (HUD–92337–ORCF); Guide for Opinion of Borrower's Counsel (HUD–91725–ORCF); and Guide for Opinion of Operator's Counsel and Certification (HUD–92325–ORCF). For any of the remaining healthcare facility documents other than the application documents or the listed 13 documents, the September 30, 2013, notice advised that HUD neither requires nor prohibits that any of the remaining documents be submitted electronically. Electronic submission is an option.

In the 2012 PRA process, HUD's 30-day Notice, HUD listed in a table all the documents for which approval under the PRA was sought and provided the burden hours and costs calculated for preparation of and submission of each of documents and provided a total aggregate annual cost of \$4,393,301. (See 77FR 69887–69889).

In the September 30, 2013, notice, HUD included the table below, which provides a breakdown of the estimated costs involved in hard copy preparation and shipping, and estimates a \$450,000 annual savings in costs if documents are

<sup>1</sup> Along with the 60-day Notice, HUD published in the **Federal Register** on May 3, 2012, at 77 FR 26218, a proposed rule that proposed to strengthen regulations for HUD's Section 232 program to

reflect current policy and practices, and to improve accountability and strengthen risk management. A final rule following the May 3, 2012, proposed rule, and taking into consideration public comment

received on the proposed rule, was published on September 7, 2012, at 77 FR 55120.

submitted electronically rather than in hard copy.

| Item  | Cost per Item                                     | Costs            |
|---|---|------------------|
| Printing by Lender .....                        | 1,500 pages at \$.04 per page .....               | \$60.00          |
| Lender Box Preparation .....                    | 50 per hour and two hours per box .....           | 100.00           |
| Shipping by Lender to HUD in Field .....        | 1—40 lb. box .....                                | 20.00            |
| HUD processing preparation (Field and HQ) ..... | 50 per hour and 1 hour per box .....              | 50.00            |
| Shipping by HUD Field to HQ .....               | 1—40 lb. box .....                                | 20.00            |
| Total .....                                     |   | \$250.00 per box |
| Estimated # Boxes per project .....             | 3 .....   |                  |
| Estimated # of projects per year .....          | 600 .....   |                  |
| Total Annual Costs .....                        | (# of boxes x # of projects x cost per box) ..... | \$450,000.00     |

Therefore, in accordance with 5 CFR 1320.8(d)(1), HUD is soliciting, for an additional 30 days, comments from members of the public and interested parties on:

(1) Whether the documents identified by HUD for originally signed, hard copy submission are necessary in such format for proper performance of the transactions in which the documents are used;

(2) Whether any of the documents not identified as necessary for originally signed, hard copy submission should be submitted only in originally signed, hard copy;

(3) The accuracy of the agency's estimate of the reduced burden and reduced costs for submission of documents electronically;

(4) Whether electronic submission of application documents enhances the utility and efficiency of the transactions in which the documents are used;

(5) Whether electronic submission of other documents enhances the utility and efficiency of the transactions in which the documents are used; and

(6) Additional ways, through information technology, to minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond.

Comments must be received by *March 3, 2014*. Comments must refer to the proposal by name and docket number (FR-5623-N-05) and may be sent to the [www.regulations.gov](http://www.regulations.gov) portal provided under the **ADDRESSES** section of this notice or to: HUD Desk Officer, Office of Management and Budget, New Executive Office Building, Washington, DC 20503, Fax number: (202) 395-6947.

Dated: January 28, 2014.

**Colette Pollard,**

*Department Reports Management Officer,  
Office of the Chief Information Officer.*

[FR Doc. 2014-02060 Filed 1-30-14; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4210-67-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT

[Docket No. FR-5750-N-05]

### Federal Property Suitable as Facilities to Assist the Homeless

**AGENCY:** Office of the Assistant Secretary for Community Planning and Development, HUD.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** This Notice identifies unutilized, underutilized, excess, and surplus Federal property reviewed by HUD for suitability for use to assist the homeless.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Juanita Perry, Department of Housing and Urban Development, 451 Seventh Street SW., Room 7266, Washington, DC 20410; telephone (202) 402-3970; TTY number for the hearing- and speech-impaired (202) 708-2565 (these telephone numbers are not toll-free), or call the toll-free Title V information line at 800-927-7588.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** In accordance with 24 CFR part 581 and section 501 of the Stewart B. McKinney Homeless Assistance Act (42 U.S.C. 11411), as amended, HUD is publishing this Notice to identify Federal buildings and other real property that HUD has reviewed for suitability for use to assist the homeless. The properties were reviewed using information provided to HUD by Federal landholding agencies regarding unutilized and underutilized buildings and real property controlled by such agencies or by GSA regarding its inventory of excess or surplus Federal property. This Notice is also published in order to comply with the December 12, 1988 Court Order in *National Coalition for the Homeless v. Veterans Administration*, No. 88-2503-OG (D.D.C.).

Properties reviewed are listed in this Notice according to the following

categories: Suitable/available, suitable/unavailable, and suitable/to be excess, and unsuitable. The properties listed in the three suitable categories have been reviewed by the landholding agencies, and each agency has transmitted to HUD: (1) Its intention to make the property available for use to assist the homeless, (2) its intention to declare the property excess to the agency's needs, or (3) a statement of the reasons that the property cannot be declared excess or made available for use as facilities to assist the homeless.

Properties listed as suitable/available will be available exclusively for homeless use for a period of 60 days from the date of this Notice. Where property is described as for "off-site use only" recipients of the property will be required to relocate the building to their own site at their own expense. Homeless assistance providers interested in any such property should send a written expression of interest to HHS, addressed to Theresa Ritta, Office of Enterprise Support Programs, Program Support Center, HHS, room 12-07, 5600 Fishers Lane, Rockville, MD 20857; (301) 443-2265. (This is not a toll-free number.) HHS will mail to the interested provider an application packet, which will include instructions for completing the application. In order to maximize the opportunity to utilize a suitable property, providers should submit their written expressions of interest as soon as possible. For complete details concerning the processing of applications, the reader is encouraged to refer to the interim rule governing this program, 24 CFR part 581.

For properties listed as suitable/to be excess, that property may, if subsequently accepted as excess by GSA, be made available for use by the homeless in accordance with applicable law, subject to screening for other Federal use. At the appropriate time,