

of the Solid Waste Disposal Act, as amended, 42 U.S.C. 6912, 6945 and 6949(a).

Dated: March 9, 2006.

**Bharat Mathur,**

*Deputy Regional Administrator, Region 5.*

[FR Doc. E6-4064 Filed 3-20-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL-8046-8]

### Notice of Tentative Approval and Solicitation of Request for a Public Hearing for Public Water System Supervision Program Revision for the Commonwealth of Virginia

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Notice of tentative approval and Solicitation of Requests for a Public Hearing.

**SUMMARY:** Notice is hereby given in accordance with the provision of section 1413 of the Safe Drinking Water Act as amended, and the rules governing National Primary Drinking Water Regulations Implementation that the Commonwealth of Virginia has revised its approved Public Water System Supervision Program and revised its regulations for issuing variances and exemptions. EPA has determined that these revisions are no less stringent than the corresponding Federal regulations. Therefore, EPA has decided to tentatively approve these program revisions. All interested parties are invited to submit written comments on this determination and may request a public hearing.

**DATES:** Comments or a request for a public hearing must be submitted by April 20, 2006. This determination shall become effective on April 20, 2006 if no timely and appropriate request for a hearing is received and the Regional Administrator does not elect to hold a hearing on his own motion, and if no comments are received which cause EPA to modify its tentative approval.

**ADDRESSES:** Comments or a request for a public hearing must be submitted to the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Region III, 1650 Arch Street, Philadelphia, PA 19103-2029. Comments may also be submitted electronically to Ghassan Khaled at [khaleed.ghassan@epa.gov](mailto:khaleed.ghassan@epa.gov). All documents relating to this determination are available for inspection between the hours of 8 a.m. and 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday, at the following offices:

- Drinking Water Branch, Water Protection Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Region III, 1650 Arch Street, Philadelphia, PA 19103-2029.

- Office of Drinking Water, Virginia Department of Health, 109 Governor Street, Room 632, Richmond, VA 23219.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Ghassan Khaled, Drinking Water Branch (3WP22) at the Philadelphia address given above; telephone (215) 814-5780 or fax (215) 814-2318.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** All interested parties are invited to submit written comments on this determination and may request a public hearing. All comments will be considered and, if necessary, EPA will issue a response. Frivolous or insubstantial requests for a hearing may be denied by the Regional Administrator. However, if a substantial request for a public hearing is made by April 20, 2006, a public hearing will be held. A request for public hearing shall include the following: (1) The name, address, and telephone number of the individual, organization, or other entity requesting a hearing; (2) a brief statement of the requesting person's interest in the Regional Administrator's determination and of information that the requesting person intends to submit at such a hearing; and (3) the signature of the individual making the request; or if the request is made on behalf of an organization or other entity, the signature of a responsible official of the organization or other entity.

Dated: March 13, 2006.

**William C. Early,**

*Acting Regional Administrator, Region III.*

[FR Doc. E6-4065 Filed 3-20-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

## FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION

[Notice 2006-3]

### Price Index Increases for Coordinated Party Expenditure Limitations

**AGENCY:** Federal Election Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice of coordinated party expenditure limit increases.

**SUMMARY:** As mandated by provisions of the Bipartisan Campaign Reform Act of 2002 ("BCRA"), the Federal Election Commission ("the Commission") is adjusting the coordinated party expenditure limits set forth in the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended, to account for increases in the consumer price index.

Additional details appear in the supplemental information that follows.

**DATES:** *Effective Date:* The effective date for the limits is January 1, 2006.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Mr. Gregory J. Scott, Information Division, 999 E Street, NW., Washington, DC 20463; Telephone: (202) 694-1100; Toll Free (800) 424-9530.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Under the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, 2 U.S.C. 431 *et seq.*, as amended by the Bipartisan Campaign Reform Act of 2002, Public Law 107-155, 116 Stat. 81 (March 27, 2002), coordinated party expenditure limits (2 U.S.C. 441a(d)(3)(A) and (B)) are adjusted annually by the consumer price index. See 2 U.S.C. 441a(c)(1). The Commission is publishing this notice to announce the limits for 2006.

### Coordinated Party Expenditure Limits for 2006

Under 2 U.S.C. 441a(c), the Commission must adjust the expenditure limitations established by 2 U.S.C. 441a(d) (the limits on expenditures by national party committees, State party committees, or their subordinate committees in connection with the general election campaign of candidates for Federal office) annually to account for inflation. This expenditure limitation is increased by the percent difference between the price index, as certified to the Commission by the Secretary of Labor, for the 12 months preceding the beginning of the calendar year and the price index for the base period (calendar year 1974).

#### 1. Expenditure Limitation for House of Representatives

Both the national and state party committees have an expenditure limitation for each general election held to fill a seat in the House of Representatives. The formula used to calculate the expenditure limitation in a state with more than one congressional district multiplies the base figure of \$10,000 by the price index (3.961), rounding to the nearest \$100. Based upon this formula, the expenditure limitation for 2006 House elections in those states is \$39,600. The formula used to calculate the expenditure limitation in a state with only one congressional district multiplies the base figure of \$20,000 by the price index (3.961), rounding to the nearest \$100. Based upon this formula, the expenditure limitation for 2006 House elections in these states is \$79,200.

#### 2. Expenditure Limitation for Senate

Both the national and state party committees have an expenditure limitation for a general election held to fill a seat in the Senate. The formula used to calculate the Senate expenditure

limitation considers not only the price index but also the voting age population ("VAP") of the state. The expenditure limitation is the greater of: the base

figure (\$20,000) multiplied by the price index (which totals \$79,200); or \$0.02 multiplied by the VAP of the state, multiplied by the price index. Amounts

are rounded to the nearest \$100. The chart below provides the state-by-state breakdown of the 2006 expenditure limitations for Senate elections.

#### SENATE EXPENDITURE LIMITATIONS.—2006 ELECTIONS

State	VAP (in thousands)	VAP × .02 multiplied by the price index (3.961)	Expenditure limit (the greater of the amount in column 3 or \$79,200)
Alabama .....	3,468	\$274,700	\$274,700
Alaska .....	475	37,600	79,200
Arizona .....	4,359	345,300	345,300
Arkansas .....	2,104	166,700	166,700
California .....	26,430	2,093,800	2,093,800
Colorado .....	3,485	276,100	276,100
Connecticut .....	2,675	211,900	211,900
Delaware .....	648	51,300	79,200
Florida .....	13,722	1,087,100	1,087,100
Georgia .....	6,710	531,600	531,600
Hawaii .....	975	77,200	79,200
Idaho .....	1,055	83,600	83,600
Illinois .....	9,522	754,300	754,300
Indiana .....	4,669	369,900	369,900
Iowa .....	2,296	181,900	181,900
Kansas .....	2,070	164,000	164,000
Kentucky .....	3,193	252,900	252,900
Louisiana .....	3,376	267,400	267,400
Maine .....	1,044	82,700	82,700
Maryland .....	4,197	332,500	332,500
Massachusetts .....	4,941	391,400	391,400
Michigan .....	7,597	601,800	601,800
Minnesota .....	3,903	309,200	309,200
Mississippi .....	2,173	172,100	172,100
Missouri .....	4,422	350,300	350,300
Montana .....	731	57,900	79,200
Nebraska .....	1,327	105,100	105,100
Nevada .....	1,794	142,100	142,100
New Hampshire .....	1,007	79,800	79,800
New Jersey .....	6,556	519,400	519,400
New Mexico .....	1,439	114,000	114,000
New York .....	14,709	1,165,200	1,165,200
North Carolina .....	6,542	518,300	518,300
North Dakota .....	500	39,600	79,200
Ohio .....	8,705	689,600	689,600
Oklahoma .....	2,695	213,500	213,500
Oregon .....	2,791	221,100	221,100
Pennsylvania .....	9,613	761,500	761,500
Rhode Island .....	831	65,800	79,200
South Carolina .....	3,228	255,700	255,700
South Dakota .....	588	46,600	79,200
Tennessee .....	4,572	362,200	362,200
Texas .....	16,534	1,309,800	1,309,800
Utah .....	1,727	136,800	136,800
Vermont .....	490	38,800	79,200
Virginia .....	5,743	455,000	455,000
Washington .....	4,803	380,500	380,500
West Virginia .....	1,434	113,600	113,600
Wisconsin .....	4,240	335,900	335,900
Wyoming .....	395	31,300	79,200

Dated: March 14, 2006.

**Michael E. Toner,**

*Chairman, Federal Election Commission.*

[FR Doc. E6-4052 Filed 3-20-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6715-01-P

#### FEDERAL RESERVE SYSTEM

#### Change in Bank Control Notices, Acquisition of Shares of Bank or Bank Holding Companies; Correction

This notice corrects a notice (FR Doc. E6-3708) published on page 13398 of

the issue for Wednesday, March 15, 2006

Under the Federal Reserve Bank of San Francisco heading, the entry for Bruce Hsiu-I Shen family, Rancho Palos Verdes, California, is revised to read as follows: