

The Exchange believes that the proposed rule change would not impose a burden on competing options exchanges. The Exchange notes that it operates in a highly competitive market in which market participants can readily favor competing venues. When an exchange offers enhanced functionality (like the proposed Strategy Limit per Symbol) that distinguishes it from the competition and participants find it useful, it has been the Exchange's experience that competing exchanges will move to adopt similar functionality. Thus, the Exchange believes that this type of competition amongst exchanges is beneficial to the entire marketplace as it can result in enhanced processes, functionality, and technologies.

C. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received From Members, Participants, or Others

No written comments were solicited or received with respect to the proposed rule change.

III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action

Because the foregoing proposed rule change does not: (i) significantly affect the protection of investors or the public interest; (ii) impose any significant burden on competition; and (iii) become operative for 30 days from the date on which it was filed, or such shorter time as the Commission may designate, it has become effective pursuant to Section 19(b)(3)(A) of the Act¹² and Rule 19b-4(f)(6) thereunder.¹³ At any time within 60 days of the filing of the proposed rule change, the Commission summarily may temporarily suspend such rule change if it appears to the Commission that such action is necessary or appropriate in the public interest, for the protection of investors, or otherwise in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. If the Commission takes such action, the Commission shall institute proceedings to determine whether the proposed rule change should be approved or disapproved.

IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views and arguments concerning the foregoing,

including whether the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act. Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

Electronic Comments

- Use the Commission's internet comment form (<https://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>); or
- Send an email to rule-comments@sec.gov. Please include file number SR-NYSEARCA-2023-56 on the subject line.

Paper Comments

- Send paper comments in triplicate to Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street NE, Washington, DC 20549-1090.

All submissions should refer to file number SR-NYSEARCA-2023-56. This file number should be included on the subject line if email is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission's internet website (<https://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>). Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for website viewing and printing in the Commission's Public Reference Room, 100 F Street NE, Washington, DC 20549, on official business days between the hours of 10 a.m. and 3 p.m. Copies of the filing also will be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of the Exchange. Do not include personal identifiable information in submissions; you should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly. We may redact in part or withhold entirely from publication submitted material that is obscene or subject to copyright protection. All submissions should refer to file number SR-NYSEARCA-2023-56 and should be submitted on or before September 29, 2023.

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority.¹⁴

Sherry R. Haywood,

Assistant Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2023-19356 Filed 9-7-23; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8011-01-P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34-98279; File No. SR-NYSEARCA-2023-57]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; NYSE Arca, Inc.; Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of Proposed Rule Change To Modify Rule 6.62P-O(g)(1)

September 1, 2023.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1)¹ of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act")² and Rule 19b-4 thereunder,³ notice is hereby given that, on August 18, 2023, NYSE Arca, Inc. ("NYSE Arca" or the "Exchange") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") the proposed rule change as described in Items I, II, and III below, which Items have been prepared by the self-regulatory organization. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The Exchange proposes to modify Rule 6.62P-O(g)(1) regarding Complex Qualified Contingent Cross Orders. The proposed rule change is available on the Exchange's website at www.nyse.com, at the principal office of the Exchange, and at the Commission's Public Reference Room.

II. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the self-regulatory organization included statements concerning the purpose of, and basis for, the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of those statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The Exchange has prepared summaries, set forth in sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant parts of such statements.

¹² 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(3)(A).

¹³ 17 CFR 240.19b-4(f)(6). In addition, Rule 19b-4(f)(6)(iii) requires a self-regulatory organization to give the Commission written notice of its intent to file the proposed rule change, along with a brief description and text of the proposed rule change, at least five business days prior to the date of filing of the proposed rule change, or such shorter time as designated by the Commission. The Exchange has satisfied this requirement.

¹⁴ 17 CFR 200.30-3(a)(12), (59).

¹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

² 15 U.S.C. 78a.

³ 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

A. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and the Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

1. Purpose

The Exchange proposes to modify Rule 6.62P–O(g)(1) regarding Complex Qualified Contingent Cross (“QCC”) Orders to allow Complex QCC Orders in non-standard ratios (as defined below) to be processed electronically.

Rule 6.62P–O(f) provides that a Complex Order is any order involving the simultaneous purchase and/or sale of two or more option series in the same underlying security (the “legs” or “components” of the Complex Order), for the same account, in a ratio that is equal to or greater than one-to-three (.333) and less than or equal to three-to-one (3.00) (referred to herein as the “standard ratio” or “standard ratio requirement”). The Exchange currently permits certain Complex Orders with ratios greater than three-to-one or less than one-to-three (“non-standard ratios”) for execution on the Exchange’s trading floor.⁴ This proposed change is competitive as at least one other options exchange permits Complex QCC Orders in non-standard ratios to be processed electronically.⁵ As such, the Exchange proposes to add new Rule 6.62P–O(g)(1)(G) to specify that Complex QCC

Orders may be processed electronically in non-standard ratios.⁶

Rule 6.62P–O(g)(1) provides that a QCC Order must be comprised of an originating order to buy or sell at least 1,000 contracts that is identified as being part of a qualified contingent trade coupled with a contra-side order or orders totaling an equal number of contracts.⁷ A Complex QCC Order is a QCC Order that has more than one option leg and each option leg must have at least 1,000 contracts.⁸ Like QCC Orders, each Complex QCC Order must be a part of a “qualified contingent trade” (“QCT”), which is a transaction consisting of two or more component orders, one of which must be a stock leg.⁹ The Exchange notes that there may be instances when an order sender must submit a Complex QCC in a non-standard ratio to meet the QCT criteria (e.g., to be fully hedged).¹⁰

The proposed rule change would have no impact on the pricing of Complex QCCs because the same (existing) pricing requirements apply to all Complex QCC Orders that are electronically processed by the Exchange. Specifically, no option leg of a Complex QCC Order will trade at a price worse than the Exchange BBO¹¹ and a Complex QCC Order will be rejected based on its price if:

- “any option leg cannot execute in compliance with paragraph (g)(1)(C) of this Rule”, i.e., cannot meet the pricing requirements for single-leg QCC Orders”;¹²

⁴ See, e.g., Rule 6.62P–O(h)(6)(B) (regarding Stock/Complex Orders, which are a subset of Complex Orders (per Rule 6.62P–O(f)), that are only available for trading in Open Outcry and are not subject to the standard ratio requirement).

⁵ In June 2022, Cboe Exchange, Inc. (“Cboe”) began supporting the electronic processing of certain stock-option orders in non-standard ratios, including Complex QCC Orders. See Cboe Exchange Alert, “Schedule Update—Cboe Options Introduces New Net, Leg Price Increments and Enhanced Electronic, Open Outcry Handling for Complex Orders with Non-Conforming Ratios, Reference ID: C2022060301 available online at https://cdn.cboe.com/resources/release_notes/2022/Schedule-Update-Cboe-Options-Introduces-New-Net-Leg-Price-Increments-and-Enhanced-Electronic-Open-Outcry-Handling-for-Complex-Orders-with-Non-Conforming-Ratios.pdf (providing, in relevant part, that beginning June 12, 2022, “automated handling via COA, COB, AIM, and QCC will be available for applicable non-conforming orders, except in SPX/SPXW). See also Securities Exchange Act Release Nos. 94204 (February 9, 2022), 87 FR 8625 (February 15, 2022) (SR–CBOE–2021–046) (order approving Cboe’s proposal, as amended, to permit complex orders with ratios less than one-to-three and greater than three-to-one to be eligible for electronic processing and to trade in penny increments); 95006 (May 31, 2022), 87 FR 34334 (June 6, 2022) (SR–CBOE–2022–024) (allowing Cboe to retain discretion to determine on class-by-class basis eligibility for electronic processing of complex orders with ratios less than one-to-three and greater than three-to-one (i.e., ratios other than the standard ratio requirement). The current proposal is limited to allowing Complex QCC Orders regardless of ratio to be traded electronically. If the Exchange opts to allow other (non-QCC) Complex Orders in any ratio to be traded electronically, the Exchange will submit a separate rule filing.

⁶ See proposed Rule 6.62P–O(g)(1)(G) (“Complex QCC Orders are eligible for electronic processing regardless of the ratio in the component legs.”). The Exchange notes that other options exchanges offer Complex QCC Orders, however, the rules of these options exchanges are silent as to whether they permit Complex QCC Orders in non-standard ratios to be processed electronically. See, e.g., Nasdaq ISE, LLC (“ISE”) Options 3, Section 12(d) (describing Complex Qualified Cross Orders).

⁷ See Rule 6.62P–O(g)(1)(B) for the definition of a Qualified Contingent Trade.

⁸ See Rule 6.62P–O(g)(1) (defining Complex QCC Orders). See also Rule 6.62P–O(g)(1)(D) regarding pricing requirements for Complex QCCs. This proposal does not alter the pricing requirements for Complex QCC Orders and such requirements apply regardless of whether a Complex QCC Order has a standard (or non-standard) ratio.

⁹ See Rule 6.62P–O(g)(1)(B)(i). See generally Rule 6.62P–O(g)(1)(B) (setting forth criteria for a Qualified Contingent Trade).

¹⁰ See Rule 6.62P–O(g)(1)(B)(vi) (providing that the QCT transaction must be “fully hedged (without regard to any prior existing position) as a result of other components of the contingent trade.”).

¹¹ See Rule 6.62P–O(g)(1)(D) (providing that “no option leg [of a Complex QCC Order] will trade at a price worse than the Exchange BBO”).

¹² See Rule 6.62P–O(g)(1)(D)(i). See also Rule 6.62P–O(g)(1)(C) (Execution of QCC Orders) (“A QCC Order with one option leg will be rejected if received when the NBBO is crossed or if it will trade at a price that (i) is at the same price as a displayed Customer order on the Consolidated Book

• “the best-priced Complex Order(s) on the Exchange contain(s) displayed Customer interest and the Complex QCC Order price does not improve such displayed Customer interest by 0.01;”¹³ or

• “the price of the QCC Order is worse than the best-priced Complex Orders in the Consolidated Book.”¹⁴

Thus, under this proposal, the Exchange would ensure that every component leg of a Complex QCC Order (regardless of ratio) would trade at a price that is equal to or better than the Exchange BBO and better than displayed Customer interest on the Exchange in the same manner as it does today. In other words, the proposed rule change continues to protect interest in the leg markets as well as displayed Customer interest on the Exchange.

Implementation

The Exchange will announce by Trader Update the implementation date of the proposed rule change, which implementation will be no later than 90 days after the effectiveness of this rule change.

2. Statutory Basis

The Exchange believes that its proposal is consistent with Section 6(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the “Act”),¹⁵ in general, and furthers the objectives of Section 6(b)(5) of the Act,¹⁶ in particular, in that it is designed to prevent fraudulent and manipulative acts and practices, to promote just and equitable principles of trade, to foster cooperation and coordination with persons engaged in regulating, clearing, settling, processing information with respect to, and facilitating transactions in securities, to remove impediments to and perfect the mechanism of a free and open market and a national market

and (ii) is not at or between the NBB” and requiring that “[a] QCC Order with one option leg will never trade at a price worse than the Exchange BBO.”).

¹³ See Rule 6.62P–O(g)(1)(D)(ii). The Exchange proposes to amend current Rule 6.62P–O(g)(1)(D)(ii) to clarify that the Complex QCC Order must price improve any displayed Customer interest by “at least” one penny (\$0.01), which would make the Rule more accurate. See proposed Rule 6.62P–O(g)(1)(D)(ii).

¹⁴ See Rule 6.62P–O(g)(1)(D)(iii). The Exchange proposes to amend current Rule 6.62P–O(g)(1)(D)(iii) to clarify that this provision refers to the price of the “Complex” QCC Order, which would make the Rule more accurate. See proposed Rule 6.62P–O(g)(1)(D)(iii). The Exchange would continue to reject Complex QCC Orders (regardless of ratio) if “the prices of the best-priced Complex Orders in the Consolidated Book are crossed”; or “for any option leg there is no NBO.” See Rule 6.62P–O(g)(1)(D)(iii), (iv), respectively.

¹⁵ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b).

¹⁶ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(5).

system and, in general, to protect investors and the public interest.

In particular, the proposed rule change will remove impediments to and perfect the mechanism of a free and open market and a national market system because it will enable the Exchange to compete on equal footing with other exchanges that permit trading of Complex QCCs with non-standard ratios.¹⁷ The proposed rule change would continue to protect investors and the public interest because the (approved) pricing requirements for Complex QCC Orders would continue to apply to Complex QCC Orders with non-standard ratios. As such, the proposal would ensure that the Complex QCC Order is priced equal to or better than the best-priced Complex Order(s) and, if there is displayed Customer interest on such order(s), that the execution price of the Complex QCC Order improves the price of the displayed Customer interest and improves the price of displayed Customer interest on each component leg of the Complex QCC Order.

In addition, the proposed change would promote just and equitable principles of trade, remove impediments to and perfect the mechanism of a free and open market and a national market system, and, in general to protect investors and the public interest because it would provide another venue for electronically executing Complex QCC Orders with non-standard ratios. The proposed change would also increase opportunities for execution of Complex QCC Orders with non-standard ratios, which benefits all investors. The Exchange also believes that the proposed rule change would not permit unfair discrimination among market participants, as all market participants may opt to trade Complex QCC Orders with non-standard ratios.

The Exchange believes that the proposed clarifying changes would ensure accuracy of the proposed rule, which benefits all investors.¹⁸

B. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Burden on Competition

The Exchange does not believe that the proposed rule change will impose any burden on competition that is not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. The Exchange does not believe that its proposed rule change will impose any burden on intra-market competition as it would apply equally to all market participants that opt to submit Complex

QCC Orders with non-standard ratios for electronic processing, which orders the Exchange will process in a uniform manner.

The Exchange does not believe that its proposed rule change will impose any burden on inter-market competition that is not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act, rather the Exchange believes that its proposal will promote inter-market competition. As noted here, the proposed change is competitive as another options exchange currently permits Complex QCC Orders with non-standard ratios to be traded electronically. The Exchange's proposal will enhance inter-market competition by providing an additional venue where investors may electronically execute Complex QCC Orders with non-standard ratios, giving investors greater flexibility and a choice of where to send their orders. Market participants may find it more convenient to access one exchange over another or may choose to concentrate volume at a particular exchange to maximize the impact of volume-based incentive programs or may prefer the trade execution services of one exchange over another.

C. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received From Members, Participants, or Others

No written comments were solicited or received with respect to the proposed rule change.

III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action

Because the foregoing proposed rule change does not: (i) significantly affect the protection of investors or the public interest; (ii) impose any significant burden on competition; and (iii) become operative for 30 days from the date on which it was filed, or such shorter time as the Commission may designate, it has become effective pursuant to Section 19(b)(3)(A) of the Act¹⁹ and Rule 19b-4(f)(6) thereunder.²⁰ At any time within 60 days of the filing of the proposed rule change, the Commission summarily may temporarily suspend such rule change if it appears to the Commission that such action is necessary or appropriate in the public interest, for the protection of

investors, or otherwise in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. If the Commission takes such action, the Commission shall institute proceedings to determine whether the proposed rule change should be approved or disapproved.

IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act. Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

Electronic Comments

- Use the Commission's internet comment form (<https://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>); or
- Send an email to rule-comments@sec.gov. Please include file number SR-NYSEARCA-2023-57 on the subject line.

Paper Comments

- Send paper comments in triplicate to Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street NE, Washington, DC 20549-1090.
- All submissions should refer to file number SR-NYSEARCA-2023-57. This file number should be included on the subject line if email is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission's internet website (<https://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>). Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for website viewing and printing in the Commission's Public Reference Room, 100 F Street NE, Washington, DC 20549, on official business days between the hours of 10 a.m. and 3 p.m. Copies of the filing also will be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of the Exchange. Do not include personal identifiable information in submissions; you should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly. We may redact in part or withhold entirely from publication submitted material that is obscene or subject to copyright protection. All submissions should refer to file number

¹⁹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(3)(A).

²⁰ 17 CFR 240.19b-4(f)(6). In addition, Rule 19b-4(f)(6)(iii) requires a self-regulatory organization to give the Commission written notice of its intent to file the proposed rule change, along with a brief description and text of the proposed rule change, at least five business days prior to the date of filing of the proposed rule change, or such shorter time as designated by the Commission. The Exchange has satisfied this requirement.

¹⁷ See *supra* note 5.

¹⁸ See *supra* notes 13-14.

SR-NYSEARCA-2023-57 and should be submitted on or before September 29, 2023.

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority.²¹

Sherry R. Haywood,

Assistant Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2023-19357 Filed 9-7-23; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8011-01-P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34-98276; File No. SR-LCH SA-2023-005]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; LCH SA; Order Approving Proposed Rule Change Relating to Portfolio Margining

September 1, 2023.

I. Introduction

On May 30, 2023, Banque Centrale de Compensation, which conducts business under the name LCH SA (“LCH SA”), filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (“Commission”), pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (“Act”) ¹ and Rule 19b-4 thereunder, ² a proposed rule change (“Proposed Rule Change”) to revise its portfolio margining program (“Program”) and make other unrelated changes. The Proposed Rule Change was published for comment in the **Federal Register** on July 19, 2023. ³ The Commission has not received any comments on the Proposed Rule Change. For the reasons discussed below, the Commission is approving the Proposed Rule Change.

II. Description of the Proposed Rule Change

LCH SA is a clearing agency that offers clearing of, among other things, credit-default swaps (“CDS”). ⁴ LCH SA is registered with the Commission for clearing CDS that are security-based swaps (“SBS”) and with the Commodity Futures Trading Commission (“CFTC”) for clearing CDS that are swaps. As part of its CDS clearing business, LCH offers clearing of CDS submitted by Clearing Members on behalf of their U.S. clients. As part of this U.S. client clearing, LCH

previously proposed, and the Commission approved, certain changes to its rules and procedures to allow for portfolio margining. ⁵

Portfolio margining is the practice by which transactions in SBS are cleared and held on a commingled basis with transactions in swaps. Under such a portfolio margining arrangement, Clearing Members are able to maintain reduced levels of margin that are commensurate with the risks of the portfolio based on correlations in a Clearing Member’s cleared CDS positions consisting of both swaps and SBS. LCH is required to conduct its portfolio margining program pursuant to the terms and conditions of an exemptive order issued by the Commission, ⁶ as well as an exemptive order issued by the Commodity Futures Trading Commission (“CFTC”). ⁷ Under these orders, LCH SA’s Clearing Members that are registered future commission merchants (“FCM”) and broker-dealers (“BD”) are authorized to clear and hold SBS transactions a commingled basis with cleared swaps on behalf of their clients (“FCM/BD Clients”).

The purpose of the Proposed Rule Change is to revise and update LCH SA’s portfolio margining program (the “Program”). The Proposed Rule Change would amend certain provisions of the Rule Book and Procedures regarding collateral, the client collateral buffer, and the release of collateral to a Clearing Member. The Proposed Rule Change would update LCH SA’s Liquidity Risk Modelling Framework (“LRMF”) with respect to the liquidity resources and requirements applicable to FCM/BD Clearing Members. Finally, The Proposed Rule Change will also make other miscellaneous amendments to LCH SA’s Rule Book and Procedures. These miscellaneous amendments cover Time References, Real Time Session, and Personnel Requirements.

⁵ See Order Approving Proposed Rule Change to Adopt ICC’s Enhanced Margin Methodology, Exchange Act Release No. 66001 (Dec. 16, 2011).

⁶ Exchange Act Release 34-93501 Order Granting Conditional Exemptions Under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 in Connection With the Portfolio Margining of Cleared Swaps and Security-Based Swaps That Are Credit Default Swaps”, 86 FR 61357 (November 5, 2021) (“Portfolio Margining Order”). The Portfolio Margining Order replaced a similar Commission order issued in 2012. See Order Granting Conditional Exemptions under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 in Connection with Portfolio Margining of Swaps and Security-based Swaps, Exchange Act Release No. 68433 (Dec. 12, 2012) 77 FR 75211 (Dec. 19, 2012).

⁷ See Treatment of Funds Held in Connection with Clearing by LCH SA of Single-Name Credit Default Swaps, Including Spun-Out Component Transactions (Nov. 1, 2021), available at <https://www.cftc.gov/media/6711/lchsa4dorder11022021/download>.

A. Portfolio Margining Program

As discussed above, LCH first established the Program in 2021. Currently, the basis for the Program is primarily Article 6.2.1.1 of the Rule Book and Section 3 of the Procedures. As discussed further below, the Proposed Rule Change would delete Article 6.2.1.1 from the Rule Book, replace it with a new Regulation 7, and revise Section 3 of the Procedures.

Article 6.2.1.1(iii) of the Rule Book and Regulation 7

Article 6.2.1.1(iii) currently provides that an FCM/BD Clearing Member that is both an FCM and a BD may elect to clear and hold FCM/BD Cleared Transactions that are SBS for FCM/BD Clients in the FCM/BD Swaps Client Account Structure on a commingled basis with Cleared Swaps and margin such combined positions on a portfolio basis in compliance with Applicable Laws, provided that each FCM/BD Client is an eligible contract participant as defined in Section 1a(18) of the Commodity Exchange Act. As mentioned, the Proposed Rule Change would delete this provision and replace with a new Regulation 7, as part of the FCM/BD CDS Clearing Regulations. New Regulation 7 would maintain the requirements currently found in Article 6.2.1.1(iii) while also clarifying operation of the program.

Paragraph (a) of Regulation 7, In General, would define Program as the ability of FCM/BD Clearing Members, on behalf of their FCM/BD clients, to portfolio margin FCM/BD Cleared Transactions ⁸ that are SBS with FCM/BD Cleared Transactions that are Cleared Swaps. ⁹

Paragraph (b) of Regulation 7, Participation, would state that FCM/BD Clearing Members may participate in the Program by providing LCH SA materials that LCH SA may require from time to time. ¹⁰ This section would also provide that, in providing these materials to LCH SA, the FCM/BD Clearing Member shall be deemed to represent that: (i) it is both an FCM and a BD and neither such status has been

⁸ The Proposed Rule Change would define the term “FCM/BD Portfolio Margining Transaction” to mean an FCM/BD Cleared Transaction that is an SBS and which is held in the FCM/BD Swaps Client Account Structure pursuant to the Portfolio Margining Program. The Proposed Rule Change would add references to this new defined term, where relevant, in the Regulations, the Procedures, and the Rule Book.

⁹ The Definitions section of the Regulations will be amended to define the “Portfolio Margining Program” by making a direct reference to Regulation 7(a) in the Regulations.

¹⁰ A “Clearing Member” is defined as a general member or a select member, as the context requires.

²¹ 17 CFR 200.30-3(a)(12), (59).

¹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

² 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

³ Securities Exchange Act Release No. 97888 (July 13, 2023), 88 FR 46221 (July 19, 2023) (File No. SR-LCH-2023-005) (“Notice”).

⁴ Capitalized terms used but not defined herein have the meanings specified in the LCH SA Rule Book (“Rule Book”), CDS Clearing Supplement (“Supplement”), CDS Clearing Procedures (“Procedures”), and FCM/BD CDS Clearing Regulations (“Regulations”), as applicable.