unable to consider approval of these FIRC programs due to standing policy. However, it has become apparent that existing FAA policy does not best serve the flight training community. Accordingly, that policy is hereby rescinded.

The FAA encourages training providers who wish to develop new FIRC programs to contact the AFS–800 organization for further details concerning the approval process. These same providers should also familiarize themselves with the contents of AC61–83E, as well as Volumes 1–3 of the FAA/Industry Training Standards (FITS) and System Safety training documents located on the FAA's Web site at http://www.faa.gov/avr/afs/FITS/training.cfm.

Issued in Washington DC on March 28, 2005.

### Robert A. Wright,

Manager, General Aviation and Commercial Division.

[FR Doc. 05–6387 Filed 3–30–05; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–13–P

## **DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**

# Surface Transportation Board [STB Finance Docket No. 34675]

BNSF Railway Company—Temporary

## BNSF Hallway Company—Temporary Trackage Rights Exemption—The Kansas City Southern Railway Company

The Kansas City Southern Railway Company (KCS) has agreed to grant temporary overhead trackage rights to BNSF Railway Company (BNSF) over KCS's rail line between Jefferson, TX, and Metro, TX, a distance of approximately 200.9 miles.

The transaction was scheduled to be consummated on March 23, 2005, and the temporary trackage rights are intended to expire on May 20, 2005. The purpose of the temporary trackage rights is to allow BNSF to bridge its train service while its main lines are out of service due to programmed track, roadbed, and structural maintenance.

As a condition to this exemption, any employee affected by the acquisition of the temporary trackage rights will be protected by the conditions imposed in Norfolk and Western Ry. Co.—Trackage Rights—BN, 354 I.C.C. 605 (1978), as modified in Mendocino Coast Ry., Inc.—Lease and Operate, 360 I.C.C. 653 (1980), and any employee affected by the discontinuance of those trackage rights will be protected by the conditions set out in Oregon Short Line R. Co.—Abandonment—Goshen, 360 I.C.C. 91 (1979).

This notice is filed under 49 CFR 1180.2(d)(8). If it contains false or misleading information, the exemption is void *ab initio*. Petitions to revoke the exemption under 49 U.S.C. 10502(d) may be filed at any time. The filing of a petition to revoke will not automatically stay the transaction.

An original and 10 copies of all pleadings, referring to STB Finance Docket No. 34675, must be filed with the Surface Transportation Board, 1925 K Street, NW., Washington, DC 20423–0001. In addition, a copy of each pleading must be served on Sarah W. Bailiff, 2500 Lou Menk Drive, P.O. Box 961039, Fort Worth, TX 76161–0039.

Board decisions and notices are available on our Web site at "http://www.stb.dot.gov."

Decided: March 23, 2005.

By the Board, David M. Konschnik, Director, Office of Proceedings.

#### Vernon A. Williams,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 05–6130 Filed 3–30–05; 8:45 am]

#### DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

## Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

March 28, 2005.

The Department of the Treasury has submitted the following public information collection requirement(s) to OMB for review and clearance under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Public Law 104–13. Copies of the submission(s) may be obtained by calling the Treasury Bureau Clearance Officer listed. Comments regarding this information collection should be addressed to the OMB reviewer listed and to the Treasury Department Clearance Officer, Department of the Treasury, Room 11000,1750 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20220.

**DATES:** Written comments should be received on or before May 2, 2005 to be assured of consideration.

## Financial Management Service (FMS)

OMB Number: 1510–0061. Regulation Number: PL–101–453. Type of Review: Extension. Title: "CMIA" Annual Report and Interest Calculation Cost Claims.

Description: PL 101–453 requires that States and Territories must report interest liabilities for major Federal assistant programs annually. States and Territories may report interest calculation cost claims for compensation of administrative costs.

Respondents: Federal Government, State, Local, or Tribal Government. Estimated Number of Respondents: 56.

Estimated Burden Hours Per Respondent: 403 Hours. Frequency of Response: Recordkeeping Annually.

Estimated Total Reporting Burden: 22,579 Hours.

Clearance Officer: Jiovannah L. Diggs, (202) 874–7662, Financial Management Service, Administrative Programs Division, Records and Information Management Program, 3700 East West Highway, Room 144, Hyattsville, MD 20782.

OMB Reviewer: Joseph F. Lackey, Jr., (202) 395–7316, Office of Management and Budget, Room 10235, New Executive Office Building, Washington, DC 20503.

## Christopher L. Davis,

Treasury PRA Assistant.
[FR Doc. 05–6365 Filed 3–30–05; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4810–35–P

## **DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY**

## Alcohol and Tobacco Tax and Trade Bureau

## **Proposed Information Collection;** Comment Request

**AGENCY:** Alcohol and Tobacco Tax and Trade Bureau (TTB), Treasury. **ACTION:** Notice and request for comments.

SUMMARY: The Department of the Treasury, Alcohol and Tobacco Tax and Trade Bureau, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent burden, invites the public and other Federal agencies to comment on proposed and continuing information collections, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501 et seq.). Currently, we are seeking comments on the TTB Questionnaire titled "Methanol Levels & Good Manufacturing Practices for Fruit Brandies."

**DATES:** We must receive your written comments on or before May 31, 2005.

**ADDRESSES:** You may send comments to Jeffrey A. Salisbury, Alcohol and Tobacco Tax and Trade Bureau, at any of these addresses:

- P.O. Box 14412, Washington, DC 20044–4412;
  - 202-927-8525 (facsimile); or
- formcomments@ttb.gov (e-mail).
  Please reference the information
  collection's title in your comment. If
  you submit your comment via facsimile,
  send no more than five 8.5 x 11 inch

pages in order to ensure electronic access to our equipment.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: To obtain additional information, copies of the information collection and its instructions, or copies of any comments received, contact Jeffrey A. Salisbury, Alcohol and Tobacco Tax and Trade Bureau, P.O. Box 14412, Washington, DC 20044–4412; or telephone 202–927–1188.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

*Title*: Methanol Levels & Good Manufacturing Practices for Fruit Brandies.

OMB Number: To be assigned. Abstract: TTB has authority under the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, Title 26 U.S.C., and the Federal Alcohol Administration Act (FAA Act), 27 U.S.C. 201 et seq., over distilled spirits, wines, and malt beverage products. Section 105 of the FAA Act provides TTB with the authority to promulgate regulations regarding the labeling and advertising of alcohol beverages to provide consumers with adequate information concerning the identity and quality of such products.

TTB is proposing a voluntary collection of information from fruit brandy producers and importers to identify good manufacturing practices used in producing fruit brandies. Under the Memorandum of Understanding Between the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) and the Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco and Firearms (TTB's predecessor agency), 52 FR 45502, November 30, 1987 (MOU), (still in force after the Homeland Security Act of 2002, which established TTB), TTB is responsible for testing alcohol beverages for the presence of substances, which if detected, may make the product adulterated under the Federal Food, Drug and Cosmetic Act of 1938, as amended, 21 U.S.C. 301, et seq. (FD & C Act). TTB may in certain instances seek a "health hazard evaluation" from FDA to determine if a particular alcohol beverage is adulterated under the FD & C Act. As a result of FDA's recommendations, TTB may take enforcement action against importers and manufacturers in cases involving adulterated alcohol beverages based upon violations of TTB laws.

With regard to methanol and fruit brandy specifically, FDA is responsible for issuing guidance on the safe level of methanol in fruit brandy marketed to consumers, while TTB is responsible for testing the level of methanol in fruit brandy to determine if it is adulterated under the FD & C Act. FDA is considering revising the maximum permitted level of methanol in fruit

brandy, which is currently 0.35% by volume. Consequently, FDA is also considering revising or replacing their current guidance on fruit brandy (see Compliance Policy Guide (CPG) 7119.09, October 1, 1980). As part of this effort, FDA has requested TTB's assistance to identify current manufacturing practices in the production of brandy. Based on the information obtained in the survey, FDA may identify good manufacturing practices used to make fruit brandies and utilize such information in developing guidance for industry.

Accordingly, responses to the following questions will provide TTB with the required information to identify good manufacturing practices in the making of fruit brandy.

### Questionnaire

- 1. Where is your distilled spirits plant(s) located?
- 2. On average, how much fruit brandy, by volume, do you produce in one year? (If you produce brandy from more than one fruit, please provide a breakdown of production volume by each type of fruit).
- 3. What percentage of fruit brandy produced by you is sold in the United States? (If you produce brandy from more than one fruit, please provide a breakdown of production volume by each type of fruit).
- 4. From what geographic location do you obtain the fruit used in the production of your fruit brandies?
- 5. What quality control procedures do you have in place regarding the fruit used in your brandy production? (*i.e.* inspections, age of fruit, condition requirements, washing, etc.)
- 6. How do you prepare the fruit to create the mash for fermentation?
- 7. Do you use any additional enzymes in the mash to aid in the fermentation? If so, please describe the specific enzymes that you are using.
- 8. Please describe the type of still you use in the production of your fruit brandies. (e.g. Pot, Alembic, Pot Rectified, Armagnac, Continuous, Other).
- 9. What is the degree of proof of your fruit brandies at distillation, before dilution and bottling?
- 10. In the case of brandies produced by pot still, what is the composite proof at distillation?
- 11. What is the heads/tails cutoff distillation and how do you determine the cutoff points?
- 12. What is your process for diluting the distillate in order to adjust the proof for bottling?
- 13. What is the proof of your finished product after bottling?

- 14. Are your fruit brandies aged before bottling? If yes, please describe the aging process and the aging period. Also, please indicate the proof of the product before and after aging.
- 15. What quality control practices do you use, other than those previously mentioned, to control the level of methanol in your finished fruit brandies?
- 16. Do you monitor the level of methanol in your fruit brandies? If you do monitor the level of methanol, describe your monitoring process and provide data on methanol levels for the past 3 years.

Current Actions: New information collection.

Type of Review: Regular.

 $\label{eq:Affected Public: Business or other for-profit.} Affected \textit{Public:} \textit{Business or other for-profit.}$ 

Estimated Number of Respondents: 43.

Estimated Total Annual Burden Hours: 91.75.

Request for Comments

Comments submitted in response to this notice will be included or summarized in our request for Office of Management and Budget (OMB) approval of this information collection. All comments are part of the public record and subject to disclosure. Please do not include any confidential or inappropriate material in your comments.

We invite comments on: (a) Whether this information collection is necessary for the proper performance of the agency's functions, including whether the information has practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the information collection's burden; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information collected; (d) ways to minimize the information collection's burden on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology; and (e) estimates of capital or start-up costs and costs of operation, maintenance, and purchase of services to provide the requested information.

Dated: March 25, 2005.

#### William H. Foster,

Chief, Regulations and Procedures Division. [FR Doc. 05–6346 Filed 3–30–05; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4810–31–P