style, sample size, and descriptions to AMS to revise the standard. The petition was derived from a consensus of the dried fig industry.

Proposed by Fruit and Vegetable Programs, AMS

A notice proposing changes to the United States Standards for Dried Figs based on the petition was published in the May 9, 2001, Federal Register (66 FR 23662). AMS received three comments in response to the notice. Responses were received from California Fig Advisory Board, and two growers. All responses were in favor of the revisions. One grower had requested some further changes to the standard that were not addressed in the original petition, including an additional increase in the moisture tolerance and the inclusion of an additional style. Therefore additional changes should be addressed in a new petition. Based on the submitted information and petition, AMS is revising the standard for dried figs following the standard format for U.S. Grade Standards.

The grade of a sample unit of dried figs is ascertained by considering the factors of varietal characteristics, size, moisture, and flavor and odor which are not scored; the ratings for the factors of color, uniformity of size, defects, and maturity and development, which are scored; the total score; and the limiting rules which apply.

Accordingly, this proposal would establish grade levels "A", "B" and "Substandard" with corresponding score points assigned for each level. Tolerances for each quality factor for each grade level are established. These changes will bring the standard for dried figs in line with present quality levels being marketed today and provide guidance in the effective utilization of dried figs.

AMS believes that revisions to the standard are needed to meet the needs of industry and that these changes will allow for a better marketing environment for all dried fig producers.

This revision becomes effective 30 days after the date of publication of this notice in the **Federal Register**.

Authority: 7 U.S.C. 1621-1627.

Dated: November 15, 2001.

A.J. Yates,

 $Administrator, A gricultural\ Marketing\ Service.$

[FR Doc. 01–29112 Filed 11–20–01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-02-P

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Forest Service

Opal Creek Scenic Recreation Area (SRA) Advisory Council

AGENCY: Forest Service, USDA.

ACTION: Notice of meeting.

SUMMARY: An Opal Creek Scenic Recreation Area Advisory Council meeting will convene in Stayton, Oregon on Monday, December 3, 2001. The meeting is scheduled to begin at 6 p.m. will conclude at approximately 8:30 p.m. The meeting will be held in the South Room of the Stayton Community Center located on 400 West Virginia Street in Stayton, Oregon.

The Opal Creek Wilderness and Opal Creek Scenic Recreation Area Act of 1996 (Opal Creek Act) (Pub. L. 104-208) directed the Secretary of Agriculture to establish the Opal Creek Scenic Recreation Area Advisory Council. The Advisory Council is comprised of thirteen members representing state, county and city governments, and representatives of various organizations, which include mining industry, environmental organizations, inholders in Opal Creek Scenic Recreation Area, economic development, Indian tribes, adjacent landoweners and recreation interests. The council provides advice to the Secretary of Agriculture on preparation of a comprehensive Opal Creek Management Plan for the SRA, and consults on a periodic and regular basis on the management of the area. The tentative agenda will include discussion of the Environmental Analysis for the proposed SRA Management Plan and the effects of alternatives and a public comment period.

The public comment period is tentatively scheduled to begin at 8 p.m. Time allotted for individual presentations will be limited to 3 minutes. Written comments are encouraged, particularly if the material cannot be presented within the time limits of the comment period. Written comments may be submitted prior to the December 3 meeting by sending them to Designated Federal Official Stephanie Phillips at the address given below.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: For more information regarding this meeting, contact Designated Federal Official Stephanie Phillps; Willamette National Forest, Detroit Ranger District, HC 73 Box 329, Mill City, OR 97360; (503) 854–3366.

Dated: November 14, 2001.

Y. Robert Iwamoto,

 $Acting \ Forest \ Supervisor.$

[FR Doc. 01-29049 Filed 11-20-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-11-M

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews and Request for Revocation in Part

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of initiation of antidumping and countervailing duty administrative reviews and request for revocation in part.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (the Department) has received requests to conduct administrative reviews of various antidumping and countervailing duty orders and findings with October anniversary dates. In accordance with the Department's regulations, we are initiating those administrative reviews. The Department also received a request to revoke one antidumping duty order in part.

EFFECTIVE DATE: November 21, 2001.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Holly A. Kuga, Office of AD/CVD Enforcement, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230, telephone: (202) 482–4737.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

The Department has received timely requests, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.213(b)(2000), for administrative reviews of various antidumping and countervailing duty orders and findings with October anniversary dates. The Department also received a timely request to revoke in part the antidumping duty order on Extruded Rubber Thread from Malaysia.

Initiation of Reviews

In accordance with section 19 CFR 351.221(c)(1)(i), we are initiating administrative reviews of the following antidumping and countervailing duty orders and findings. We intend to issue the final results of these reviews not later than October 31, 2002.