Merchandise from the Subject Country accounted for by your firm's(s') exports.

(12) Identify significant changes, if any, in the supply and demand conditions or business cycle for the Domestic Like Product that have occurred in the United States or in the market for the Subject Merchandise in the Subject Country after 2004, and significant changes, if any, that are likely to occur within a reasonably foreseeable time. Supply conditions to consider include technology; production methods; development efforts; ability to increase production (including the shift of production facilities used for other products and the use, cost, or availability of major inputs into production); and factors related to the ability to shift supply among different national markets (including barriers to importation in foreign markets or changes in market demand abroad). Demand conditions to consider include end uses and applications; the existence and availability of substitute products; and the level of competition among the Domestic Like Product produced in the United States, Subject Merchandise produced in the Subject Country, and such merchandise from other countries.

(13) (OPTIONAL) A statement of whether you agree with the above definitions of the *Domestic Like Product and Domestic Industry;* if you disagree with either or both of these definitions, please explain why and provide alternative definitions.

Authority: This review is being conducted under authority of title VII of the Tariff Act of 1930; this notice is published pursuant to section 207.61 of the Commission's rules.

By order of the Commission. Issued: June 23, 2010.

Marilyn R. Abbott,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2010–15669 Filed 6–30–10; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337-TA-693]

In the Matter of Certain Foldable Stools; Notice of a Commission Determination Not To Review an Initial Determination Terminating the Investigation as to All Respondents Based on Withdrawal of the Complaint; Termination of the Investigation

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade

Commission. **ACTION:** Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined not to review an initial determination ("ID") (Order No. 18) of the presiding administrative law judge ("ALJ") terminating the above-captioned investigation based on withdrawal of the complaint.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Clint Gerdine, Esq., Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW. Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 708-2310. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server at http://www.usitc.gov. The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at http:// edis.usitc.gov. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on (202) 205-1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Commission instituted this investigation on December 9, 2009, based on a complaint filed by B&R Plastics, Inc. ("B&R") of Denver, Colorado. 74 FR. 65155-6 (Dec. 9, 2009). The complaint, as amended, alleges violations of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337, in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain foldable stools by reason of infringement of U.S. Patent No. D460,566. 75 FR 6706 (Feb. 10, 2010). The complaint, as amended, further alleges the existence of a domestic industry. The Commission's notice of investigation, as amended, named several respondents including the following: Amazon.com, Inc. of Seattle, Washington; Bed Bath & Beyond Inc. of Union, New Jersey; The Afternoon of Omaha, Nebraska; QVC, Inc. of West Chester, Pennsylvania; Kikkerland Design, Inc. of New York, New York; Buy.com of Aliso Viejo, California; LTD Commodities, LLC, d/b/a abc Distributing of Bannockburn, Illinois; Euromarket Designs, Inc., d/b/a Crate & Barrel of Northbrook, Illinois; The Container Store, Inc. of Coppell, Texas; Home Depot USA Inc. of Atlanta, Georgia; Ningbo ZhongTian Co., Ltd.

("Ningbo ZhongTian") of China; Ningbo Ningfeng Import and Export Co. Ltd. ("Ningbo Ningfeng") of China; and Always Something Brilliant ("ASB") of Denver, Colorado.

On February 4, 2010, the Commission issued notice of its determination not to review the ALJ's ID granting B&R's motion to amend the complaint and notice of investigation to correct the names of certain respondents. 75 FR 6706 (Feb. 10, 2010). On March 18, 2010, the Commission issued notice of its determination not to review the ALJ's ID granting B&R's motion to terminate the investigation as to respondent Buy.com based on partial withdrawal of the complaint. Also, on April 15, 2010, the Commission issued notice of its determination not to review the ALJ's ID granting B&R's motion for a determination that respondents Ningbo ZhongTian, Ningbo Ningfeng, and ASB are in default based on their failure to respond to the complaint and notice of investigation.

On May 13, 2010, B&R moved to terminate the investigation as to all respondents based on withdrawal of the complaint.

The ALJ issued the subject ID on June 8, 2010, granting the motion for termination of the investigation. No party petitioned for review of the ID pursuant to 19 CFR 210.43(a), and the Commission found no basis for ordering a review on its own initiative pursuant to 19 CFR 210.44. The Commission has determined not to review the ID, and has terminated the investigation.

The authority for the Commission's determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337, and in §§ 210.21 and 210.42(h) of the Commission's of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 210.21, 210.42(h).

By order of the Commission. Issued: June 25, 2010.

Marilyn R. Abbott,

Secretary to the Commission. $[{\rm FR\ Doc.\ 2010-15939\ Filed\ 6-30-10;\ 8:45\ am}]$

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Office of the Secretary

Final Notice of Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

ACTION: Final Notice of Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request.

SUMMARY: The Department of Labor (DOL) hereby announces the submission of the following public information collection request (ICR) to the Office of

Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104–13, 44 U.S.C. chapter 35). A copy of this ICR, with applicable supporting documentation, including, among other things, a description of the likely respondents, proposed frequency of response, and estimated total burden may be obtained from the RegInfo.gov Web site at http://www.reginfo.gov/public/do/PRAMain or by contacting Linda Watts Thomas on 202–693–4223 (this is not a toll-free number) and e-mail mail to:

DOL PRA PUBLIC@dol.gov.

Interested parties are encouraged to send written comments to the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Attn: OMB Desk Officer for the Department of Labor—Wage and Hour Division, Office of Management and Budget, Room 10235, Washington, DC 20503, Telephone: 202–395–7316/Fax 202–395–5806 (these are not toll-free numbers), E-mail:

OIRA_submission@omb.eop.gov within 30 days from the date of this publication in the **Federal Register**. In order to ensure the appropriate consideration, comments should reference the OMB Control Number (see below).

The OMB is particularly interested in comments which:

- (1) Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;
- (2) Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
- (3) Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and
- (4) Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

Agency: Wage and Hour Division. Type of Review: Extension without change of a previously approved collection.

Title of Collection: Employment Information Form.

OMB Control Number: 1235–0021. Agency Form Number: Form WH–3 (English and Spanish).

Affected Public: Individuals or Households.

Total Estimated Number of Respondents: 35,000.

Total Estimated Annual Burden Hours: 11,667.

Total Estimated Annual Costs Burden: \$0.

Description: This information collection covers complaints of labor standards violations filed by current and former employees, unions, competitor employers, and other interested parties with the Wage and Hour Division (WHD) of the DOL. Complainants themselves or WHD staff, using information provided by the complainants, complete Form WH-3 to record the allegation. WHD staff use the completed Form WH-3 to obtain information about employer compliance with the provisions of the various labor standards laws enforced by the WHD and to determine if the agency has jurisdiction to investigate the alleged violation(s). WHD makes Form WH-3 available in both English and Spanish. When the WHD schedules a complaintbased investigation, the agency makes the completed Form WH-3 part of the investigation case file. For additional information, see related notice published in the **Federal Register** on December 2, 2009 (74 FR 63159).

Dated: June 25, 2010.

Linda Watts Thomas,

Acting Departmental Clearance Officer. [FR Doc. 2010–15987 Filed 6–30–10; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-79-P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-70,749]

Fanuc Robotics America, Inc.
Including On-Site Leased Workers
From Right Angle Staffing, Inc.,
Quanta, Inc., Reliance One, Inc.,
Populus Group, LLC, Citistaff, Global
Automation Technologies, LLC, and
Proflow Systems Rochester Hills, MI;
Notice of Affirmative Determination
Regarding Application for
Reconsideration

By application received March 22, 2010, the petitioner requested administrative reconsideration of the negative determination regarding workers' eligibility to apply for Trade Adjustment Assistance (TAA) applicable to workers and former workers of the subject firm. The determination was issued on December 18, 2009, and the Notice of Determination was published in the

Federal Register on February 16, 2010 (75 FR 7034).

The initial investigation resulted in a negative determination based on the finding that, during the relevant period, Fanuc Robotics America neither imported articles like or directly competitive with the robotic systems produced at the subject firm nor shifted production of robotic systems to a foreign country.

Furthermore, the Department surveyed Fanuc Robotics America's major declining customers regarding purchases of robotic systems in 2007, 2008, and during January through April 2009. The survey revealed negligible imports of robotic systems during the relevant period.

The investigation also revealed that the subject firm was not eligible as a Supplier or a Downstream Producer because they did not supply a component part used by a firm that employed a worker group covered by an active TAA certification.

In the request for reconsideration, the petitioner provided additional information to support a secondary certification. Further, the petitioner had emphasized that subject firm workers had participated in the production process in their customers' plants during the initial installation, testing, and worker training phases that followed the delivery of the subject firm's robotic devices to the customers.

The Department has carefully reviewed the request for reconsideration and the existing record, and has determined that the Department will conduct further investigation to determine if the workers meet the eligibility requirements of the Trade Act of 1974.

Conclusion

After careful review of the application, I conclude that the claim is of sufficient weight to justify reconsideration of the U.S. Department of Labor's prior decision. The application is, therefore, granted.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 21st day of June, 2010.

Del Min Amy Chen,

Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 2010–16017 Filed 6–30–10; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-FN-P