significant radiological environmental impacts associated with the proposed action.

With regard to potential non-radiological impacts, the proposed action does not have a potential to affect any historic sites. It does not affect non-radiological plant effluents and has no other environmental impact. Therefore, there are no significant non-radiological environmental impacts associated with the proposed action.

Accordingly, the NRC concludes that there are no significant environmental impacts associated with the proposed action.

Environmental Impacts of the Alternatives to the Proposed Action

As an alternative to the proposed action, the staff considered denial of the proposed action (i.e., the "no-action" alternative). Denial of the application would result in no change in current environmental impacts. The environmental impacts of the proposed action and the alternative action are similar.

## Alternative Use of Resources

The action does not involve the use of any different resource than those previously considered in the Final Environmental Statement for the North Anna Power Station, Unit 1, dated April 1973.

Agencies and Persons Consulted

On December 20, 2001, the staff consulted with the Virginia State official, Mr. Les Foldesi of the Virginia Department of Health, Bureau of Radiological Health, regarding the environmental impact of the proposed action. The State official had no comments.

Finding of No Significant Impact

On the basis of the environmental assessment, the NRC concludes that the proposed action will not have a significant effect on the quality of the human environment. Accordingly, the NRC has determined not to prepare an environmental impact statement for the proposed action.

For further details with respect to the proposed action, see the licensee's letter dated January 9, 2001. Documents may be examined, and/or copied for a fee, at the NRC's Public Document Room (PDR), located at One White Flint North, 11555 Rockville Pike (first floor), Rockville, Maryland. Publicly available records will be accessible electronically from the ADAMS Public Library component on the NRC Web site, http://www.nrc.gov (the Public Electronic Reading Room). Persons who do not

have access to ADAMS or who encounter problems in accessing the documents located in ADAMS, should contact the NRC PDR Reference staff by telephone at 1–800–397–4209, or 301–415–4737, or by e-mail at pdr@nrc.gov.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 3rd day of January, 2002.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

#### Stephen R. Monarque,

Project Manager, Section 1, Project Directorate II, Division of Licensing Project Management, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.

[FR Doc. 02–498 Filed 1–8–02; 8:45 am] **BILLING CODE 7590–01–P** 

## **COMMISSION ON OCEAN POLICY**

#### **Public Meeting**

**AGENCY:** U.S. Commission on Ocean Policy.

**ACTION:** Notice; change of meeting time.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Commission on Ocean Policy will hold its first regional meeting, the Commission's third public meeting, to hear and discuss issues of concern to the Southeastern Region of the United States, covering the coastal area from Delaware to Georgia. Notice of this meeting was originally published on December 20, 2001. The purpose of this second notice is to provide new meeting times.

**DATES:** Meetings will now be held Tuesday, January 15, 2002 from 8:30 a.m. to 6:20 p.m. and Wednesday, January 16, 2002 from 8:45 a.m. to 5:00 p.m.

**ADDRESSES:** The meeting location is the Physicians Memorial Auditorium, College of Charleston, 66 George Street, Charleston, SC, 29424.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Terry Schaff, U.S. Commission on Ocean Policy, 1120 20th Street, NW, Washington, DC 20036, 202–418–3442, tschaff@nsf.gov.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This** meeting is being held pursuant to requirements under the Oceans Act of 2000 (Public Law 106-256, Section 3(e)(1)(E)). The agenda will include presentations by invited speakers representing local and regional government agencies and nongovernmental organizations, comments from the public and any required administrative discussions and executive sessions. Invited speakers and members of the public are requested to submit their statements for the record electronically by January 10, 2002 to the meeting Point of Contact. Additional meeting information, including a draft

agenda, will be posted as available on the Commission's web site at http:// www.oceancommission.gov.

Dated: January 3, 2002.

### Admiral James D. Watkins,

USN (ret.), Chairman, U.S. Commission on Ocean Policy.

[FR Doc. 02–484 Filed 1–8–02; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6820-WM-P

# SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

## **Comment Request**

Upon Written Request, Copies Available From: Securities and Exchange Commission, Office of Filings and Information Services, Washington, DC 20549

Extensions:

Rule 701—OMB Control No. 3235–0522, SEC File No. 270–306 Regulations 14D and 14E—OMB Control No. 3235–0102, SEC File No. 270–114 Schedule 14D–9

Notice is hereby given that pursuant to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501 et seq.) the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") is soliciting comments on the collections of information summarized below. The Commission plans to submit these existing collections of information to the Office of Management and Budget for extension and approval.

Securities Act rule 701 requires when offerings in excess of \$5 million are made under the employee benefit plan exemptive rule, the issuers must provide the employees with risk and financial statement disclosures among other things. The purpose of rule 701 is to ensure that the basic level of information is available to employees and others when substantial amounts of securities are issued in compensatory arrangements. Approximately 300 companies annually rely on rule 701 exemption and it takes an estimated .5 hours to prepare and review. It is estimated that 25% of the 600 total annual burden hours (150 hours) is prepared by the company.

Regulations 14D and 14E and Schedule 14D–9 require information important to security holders in deciding how to respond to tender offers. Approximately 310 companies annually file Schedule 14D–9 and it takes 64.43 hours to prepare and review. It is estimated that 25% of the 79,803 total burden hours (19,973 burden hours) is prepared by the company.

Written comments are invited on: (a) Whether these collections of information are necessary for the proper