

resources provided on the website by clicking on “How to Use This Site.”)

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Jason Bolton at 202–482–5936 or via email [Jason.Bolton@bis.doc.gov](mailto:Jason.Bolton@bis.doc.gov); [PHIBstudy@bis.doc.gov](mailto:PHIBstudy@bis.doc.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

**Background**

On December 2, 2020, BIS published the *Notice of Request for Public Comments on Condition of the Public Health Industrial Base and Recommend Policies and Actions to Strengthen the Public Health Industrial Base to Ensure Essential Medicines, Medical Countermeasures, and Critical Inputs Are Made in the United States* (85 FR 77428) (December 2 notice). The December 2 notice specified that on August 6, 2020, President Trump issued Executive Order 13944, *Combating Public Health Emergencies and Strengthening National Security by Ensuring Essential Medicines, Medical Countermeasures, and Critical Inputs Are Made in the United States* (E.O. 13944). Among other directives, E.O. 13944 directed that, by February 2, 2021, the Secretary of Commerce shall submit a report to the Director of the Office of Management and Budget, the Assistant to the President for National Security Affairs, the Director of the National Economic Council, and the Director of the Office of Trade and Manufacturing Policy, describing any change in the status of the Public Health Industrial Base (PHIB) and recommending initiatives to strengthen the PHIB. The December 2 notice requested comments from the public to assist Commerce in preparing this report on the status and condition of the PHIB and recommending policies and actions to strengthen it. (See the December 2 notice for additional details on E.O. 13944 and the request for public comments.)

**Change in Public Comment Deadline**

The December 2 notice included a comment period deadline of December 23, 2020. Commerce has determined that an extension of the comment period is warranted, following requests from the public on the matter. While comments may be submitted at any time, this notice specifies that comments must be received by January 15, 2021, to be considered in the drafting of the final report. This notice reopens the comment period to allow for additional time for the public to submit comments. Comments previously submitted need not be

resubmitted and will be fully considered.

**Matthew S. Borman,**

*Deputy Assistant Secretary for Export Administration.*

[FR Doc. 2020–29036 Filed 12–29–20; 4:15 pm]

**BILLING CODE 3510–33–P**

**DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

**International Trade Administration**

[C–570–946]

**Final Results of Expedited Sunset Review of Countervailing Duty Order: Prestressed Concrete Steel Wire Strand From the People’s Republic of China**

**AGENCY:** Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** As a result of this second sunset review, the Department of Commerce (Commerce) finds that revocation of the countervailing duty (CVD) order on prestressed concrete steel wire strand (PC strand) from the People’s Republic of China (China) would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of a countervailable subsidy at the level indicated in the “Final Results of Review” section of this notice.

**DATES:** Applicable December 31, 2020.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** John Hoffner, AD/CVD Operations, Office III, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–3315.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

**Background**

On September 1, 2020, Commerce initiated a second sunset review of the *Order*<sup>1</sup> pursuant to section 751(c)(2) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act) and 19 CFR 351.218(c).<sup>2</sup> On September 14, 2020, Commerce received a timely notification of intent to participate from Insteel Wire Products Company, Sumiden Wire Products Corporation, and Wire Mesh Corporation (collectively, domestic parties or the petitioners), filed in accordance with 19 CFR 351.218(d)(1)(i).<sup>3</sup> On September 30,

2020, Commerce received a substantive response from the petitioners, timely filed in accordance with 19 CFR 351.218(d)(3)(i).<sup>4</sup> Commerce did not receive a substantive response from the Government of China (GOC) or company respondent interested parties.

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.218(e)(1)(ii)(C)(2) and section 751(c)(3)(B) of the Act, when there are inadequate responses from respondent interested parties, Commerce will conduct an expedited sunset review and, not later than 120 days after the date of publication in the **Federal Register** of the notice of initiation, issue final results of review based on the facts available. Commerce did not receive a substantive response from the GOC or any Chinese producers or exporters. Accordingly, we conducted an expedited (120-day) sunset review of the *Order*.<sup>5</sup>

**Scope of the Order**

The scope of the *Order* is PC strand. PC strand is steel wire strand, other than of stainless steel, which is suitable for use in, but not limited to, pre-stressed concrete (both pre-tensioned and post-tensioned) applications. The scope of the *Order* encompasses all types and diameters of PC strand whether uncoated (uncovered) or coated (covered) by any substance, including but not limited to, grease, plastic sheath, or epoxy. This merchandise includes, but is not limited to, PC strand produced to the American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM) A–416 specification, or comparable domestic or foreign specifications. PC strand made from galvanized wire is excluded from the scope if the zinc and/or zinc oxide coating meets or exceeds the 0.40 oz./ft<sup>2</sup> standard set forth in ASTM–A–475.

The PC strand subject to the *Order* is currently classifiable under subheadings 7312.10.3010 and 7312.10.3012 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS). Although the HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description of the scope of the *Order* is dispositive.

**Analysis of Comments Received**

All issues raised in this review are addressed in the accompanying Issues and Decision Memorandum, which is

Republic of China—Domestic Industry’s Notice of Intent to Participate,” dated September 14, 2020.

<sup>4</sup> See Domestic Parties’ Letter, “Prestressed Concrete Steel Wire Strand from the People’s Republic of China—Domestic Industry’s Substantive Response,” dated September 30, 2020.

<sup>5</sup> See Commerce’s Letter, “Sunset Reviews Initiated on September 1, 2020,” dated October 27, 2020.

<sup>1</sup> See *Pre-Stressed Concrete Steel Wire Strand from the People’s Republic of China: Notice of Amended Final Affirmative Countervailing Duty Determination and Notice of Countervailing Duty Order*, 75 FR 38977 (July 7, 2010) (*Order*).

<sup>2</sup> See *Initiation of Five-Year “Sunset” Review*, 85 FR 54343 (September 1, 2020).

<sup>3</sup> See Domestic Parties’ Letter, “Prestressed Concrete Steel Wire Strand from the People’s

hereby adopted by this notice.<sup>6</sup> The Issues and Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically via Enforcement and Compliance's Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS). ACCESS is available to registered users at <https://access.trade.gov>. A list of

topics discussed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum is included as an appendix to this notice. In addition, a complete version of the Issues and Decision Memorandum can be accessed at <http://enforcement.trade.gov/frn/>. The signed and the electronic versions of the Issues and Decision Memorandum are identical in content.

## Final Results of Review

Pursuant to sections 752(b)(1) and (3) of the Act, Commerce finds that revocation of the *Order* would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of countervailable subsidies, at the following rates:

Producer/exporter	Net subsidy rate
Fasten Group Corporation (Fasten Corp.), Fasten Group Import & Export Co., Ltd. (Fasten I&E), Jiangyin Hongsheng Co. Ltd. (Hongsheng), Jiangyin Fasten Steel Products Co., Ltd. (Fasten Steel), Jiangyin Hongyu Metal Products Co., Ltd. (Hongyu Metal), and Jiangyin Walsin Steel Cable Co., Ltd. (Walsin) (Collectively, the Fasten Companies).	9.42 percent <i>ad valorem</i> .
Xinhua Metal Products Company Ltd. (Xinhua), Xinyu Iron and Steel Joint Stock Limited Company (Xinyu), and Xingang Iron and Steel Joint Stock Limited Liability Company (Xingang) (Collectively the Xinhua Companies).	45.85 percent <i>ad valorem</i> .
All Others .....	27.64 percent <i>ad valorem</i> .

## Notification Regarding Administrative Protective Order

This notice serves as the only reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305. Timely notification of return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

## Notification to Interested Parties

We are issuing and publishing the results and notice in accordance with sections 751(c), 752, and 777(i)(1) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.218.

Dated: December 28, 2020.

**Jeffrey I. Kessler,**

*Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.*

## Appendix

### List of Topics Discussed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum

- I. Summary
- II. Background
- III. History of the Order
- IV. Scope of the Order
- V. Legal Framework
- VI. Discussion of the Issues
  1. Likelihood of Continuation or Recurrence of a Countervailable Subsidy
  2. Net Countervailable Subsidy Likely to Prevail
  3. Nature of the Subsidy
- VII. Final Results of Review

<sup>6</sup> See Memorandum, "Issues and Decision Memorandum for the Final Results of the Expedited Second Sunset Review of the Countervailing Duty Order on Prestressed Concrete Steel Wire Strand from the People's Republic of China," dated

## VIII. Recommendation

[FR Doc. 2020-28984 Filed 12-30-20; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration [A-570-900]

#### Diamond Sawblades and Parts Thereof From the People's Republic of China: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Changed Circumstances Review

**AGENCY:** Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** On November 20, 2020, the Department of Commerce (Commerce) published the initiation and preliminary results of a changed circumstances review (CCR) of the antidumping duty order on diamond sawblades and parts thereof (diamond sawblades) from the People's Republic of China (China). For these final results, Commerce continues to find that Protech Diamond Tools Inc. (Protech) and Gogo International Inc. (Gogo) are affiliated. Additionally, Commerce continues to find that Protech is eligible to participate in a certification process because Protech has demonstrated that it can identify diamond sawblades that it produced in Canada using non-Chinese cores and Chinese segments.

**DATES:** Applicable December 31, 2020.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Michael A. Romani, AD/CVD Operations, Office I, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade

concurrently with, and hereby adopted by, this notice.

<sup>1</sup> See *Diamond Sawblades and Parts Thereof from the People's Republic of China: Final Determination of Anti-Circumvention Inquiry*, 85 FR 9737, 9738 (February 20, 2020) (*Final Determination*); see also

Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-9180.

## SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

### Background

On February 20, 2020, Commerce found "that diamond sawblades made with Chinese cores and Chinese segments joined in Canada by Protech and then subsequently exported from Canada to the United States are circumventing the antidumping duty order on diamond sawblades from China." <sup>1</sup> In the *Final Determination*, Commerce found that diamond sawblades "assembled or completed in Canada using non-Chinese origin cores and/or non-Chinese origin segments are not subject to this anti-circumvention inquiry." However, because Protech was unable "to identify diamond sawblades produced with non-Chinese origin cores and/or non-Chinese origin segments," Commerce decided not to "implement a certification process for diamond sawblades already suspended," and required "cash deposits on all entries of diamond sawblades produced and exported by Protech in Canada." <sup>2</sup> We indicated that Protech could, at some future point request reconsideration of Commerce's denial of the certification process in, e.g., a CCR. <sup>3</sup>

On August 19, 2020, Protech submitted a request for a CCR, in which Protech claimed that it is able to identify and segregate diamond sawblades made with non-Chinese cores and Chinese segments joined in Canada by Protech and then subsequently

*Diamond Sawblades and Parts Thereof from the People's Republic of China and the Republic of Korea: Antidumping Duty Orders*, 74 FR 57145 (November 4, 2009).

<sup>2</sup> See *Final Determination*, 85 FR at 9739.

<sup>3</sup> *Id.*