

superimposed layers) and of a width of 0.5 inch or greater, or in straight lengths which, if of a thickness less than 4.75 millimeters, are of a width of 0.5 inch or greater and which measures at least 10 times the thickness or if of a thickness of 4.75 millimeters or more are of a width which exceeds 150 millimeters and measures at least twice the thickness, as currently classifiable in the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS) under item numbers: 7210.30.0030, 7210.30.0060, 7210.41.0000, 7210.49.0030, 7210.49.0090, 7210.61.0000, 7210.69.0000, 7210.70.6030, 7210.70.6060, 7210.70.6090, 7210.90.1000, 7210.90.6000, 7210.90.9000, 7212.20.0000, 7212.30.1030, 7212.30.1090, 7212.30.3000, 7212.30.5000, 7212.40.1000, 7212.40.5000, 7212.50.0000, 7212.60.0000, 7215.90.1000, 7215.90.3000, 7215.90.5000, 7217.20.1500, 7217.30.1530, 7217.30.1560, 7217.90.1000, 7217.90.5030, 7217.90.5060, 7217.90.5090. Included in these orders are flat-rolled products of non-rectangular cross-section where such cross-section is achieved subsequent to the rolling process (*i.e.*, products which have been “worked after rolling”) for example, products which have been beveled or rounded at the edges. Excluded from these orders are flat-rolled steel products either plated or coated with tin, lead, chromium, chromium oxides, both tin and lead (“terne plate”), or both chromium and chromium oxides (“tin-free steel”), whether or not painted, varnished or coated with plastics or other nonmetallic substances in addition to the metallic coating. Also excluded from these orders are clad products in straight lengths of 0.1875 inch or more in composite thickness and of a width which exceeds 150 millimeters and measures at least twice the thickness. Also excluded from these orders are certain clad stainless flat-rolled products, which are three-layered corrosion-resistant carbon steel flat-rolled products less than 4.75 millimeters in composite thickness that consist of a carbon steel flat-rolled product clad on both sides with stainless steel in a 20%-60%-20% ratio.

On September 22, 1999, the Department issued the final results of a changed circumstances review partially revoking the order with respect to certain corrosion-resistant steel from Germany.<sup>2</sup> This partial revocation

applies to certain corrosion-resistant deep-drawing carbon steel strip, roll-clad on both sides with aluminum (AlSi) foils in accordance with St3 LG as to EN 10139/10140. The merchandise's chemical composition encompasses a core material of U St 23 (continuous casting) in which carbon is less than 0.08; manganese is less than 0.30; phosphorous is less than 0.20; sulfur is less than 0.015; aluminum is less than 0.01; and the cladding material is a minimum of 99% aluminum with silicon/copper/iron of less than 1%. The products are in strips with thicknesses of 0.07mm to 4.0mm (inclusive) and widths of 5mm to 800mm (inclusive). The thickness ratio of aluminum on either side of steel may range from 3%/94%/3% to 10%/80%/10%.

The HTSUS item numbers are provided for convenience and Customs purposes. The written description remains dispositive.

#### Initiation of Changed Circumstances Reviews

Pursuant to section 751(b)(1) of the Act, the Department will conduct a changed circumstances review upon receipt of information concerning, or a request from an interested party for a review of, an AD duty order which shows changed circumstances sufficient to warrant a review of the order. As noted above, on November 7, 2005, Eutectic requested a ruling from the Department in accordance with 19 CFR 351.216(b) to exclude the “wear plate” product described above from these AD orders. Therefore, pursuant to section 751(b)(1) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.216(b), we are initiating changed circumstances reviews. Although petitioners and domestic interested parties have expressed a lack of interest in the orders with respect to the “wear plate” product in question, they did not claim that they represent substantially all of the production of the domestic like product, nor has the Department made such a determination. Therefore, the Department is not, at this time, preliminarily revoking the AD orders with respect to the product in question pursuant to 19 CFR 351.222(g)(1)(i). Interested parties are invited to comment on this initiation, or to demonstrate that the petitioners and domestic interested parties account for substantially all of the production of the domestic like product.

*Corrosion-Resistant Carbon Steel Flat Products from Germany*, 64 FR 51292 (September 22, 1999). The Department noted that the affirmative statement of no interest by petitioners, combined with the lack of comments from interested parties, is sufficient to warrant partial revocation.

#### Public Comment

Interested parties may submit comments which the Department will take into account in the preliminary results of these reviews. The due date for filing any such comments is no later than 15 days after publication of this notice. Responses to those comments may be submitted not later than 7 days following submission of the comments. All written comments must be submitted in accordance with 19 CFR 351.303.

The Department will publish in the **Federal Register** a notice of preliminary results of changed circumstances reviews in accordance with 19 CFR 351.221(b)(4) and 351.221(c)(3)(i), which will set forth the Department's preliminary factual and legal conclusions. Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.221(b)(4)(ii), interested parties will have an opportunity to comment on the preliminary results. The Department will issue its final results of review in accordance with the time limits set forth in 19 CFR 351.216(e).

This notice is published in accordance with sections 751(b)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act and section 351.221(b) of the Department's regulations.

Dated: December 21, 2005.

**Joseph A. Spetrini,**

*Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.*

[FR Doc. E5-7983 Filed 12-27-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A-485-803]

#### Notice of Extension of Final Results of the 2003-2004 Antidumping Duty Administrative Review of Certain Cut-to-Length Carbon Steel Plate from Romania

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** December 28, 2005.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Patrick Edwards, AD/CVD Operations, Office 7, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-8029.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### Background

On September 8, 2005, the Department of Commerce (“the

<sup>2</sup> See *Final Results of Changed Circumstances Antidumping Duty and Countervailing Duty Reviews and Revocation of Orders in Part: Certain*

Department”) published the preliminary results of this administrative review of certain cut-to-length carbon steel plate (“cut-to-length plate”) from Romania. *See Certain Cut-to-Length Carbon Steel Plate from Romania: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and Partial Rescission*, 70 FR 53333 (September 8, 2005) (“*Preliminary Results*”). In the *Preliminary Results* we stated that we would make our final determination for the antidumping duty review no later than 120 days after the date of publication of the preliminary results (*i.e.*, January 6, 2006).

#### Extension of Time Limit for Final Results

The Department is extending the time limit for the final results of the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on cut-to-length plate from Romania. This review covers the period August 1, 2003, through July 31, 2004.

Section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (“the Act”), states that if it is not practicable to complete the review within the time specified, the administering authority may extend the 120-day period, following the date of publication of the preliminary results, to issue its final results by an additional 60 days. Due to the complexity of issues raised in this review segment, including the respondent’s notification of unreported sales following the Department’s preliminary results, and the respondent’s withdrawal of its business proprietary versions of all information submitted on the record of this review, the completion of the final results within the 120-day period is not practicable.

Therefore, in accordance with section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act, the Department is extending the time period for issuing the final results of review by an additional 31 days until no later than February 6, 2006.

Dated: December 21, 2005.

**Stephen J. Claeys,**

*Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.*

[FR Doc. E5-7985 Filed 12-27-05; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

**A-570-831**

#### Fresh Garlic From the People’s Republic of China; Initiation of New Shipper Reviews

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** December 28, 2005.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Commerce (the “Department”) has determined that requests for new shipper reviews of the antidumping duty order on fresh garlic from the People’s Republic of China (“PRC”), received in September and November 2005, meet the statutory and regulatory requirements for initiation. The period of review (“POR”) of these new shipper reviews is November 1, 2004, through October 31, 2005.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Ryan A. Douglas or Jim Nunno, AD/CVD Operations, Office 8, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-1277 and (202) 482-0783, respectively.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### Background

The notice announcing the antidumping duty order on fresh garlic from the PRC was published on November 16, 1994. *See Antidumping Duty Order: Fresh Garlic From the People’s Republic of China*, 59 FR 59209 (November 16, 1994). The Department received five timely requests for a new shipper review in accordance with 19 CFR 351.214(d)(1), dated as follows:

| Date                     | Requester                                                       |
|--------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------|
| September 30, 2005 ..... | Qingdao Camel Trading Co., Ltd. (“Qingdao Camel”)               |
| November 2, 2005 .....   | Qingdao Xiantianfeng Foods Co., Ltd. (“Qingdao Xiantianfeng”)   |
| November 15, 2005 .....  | XuZhou Simple Garlic Industry Co., Ltd. (“XuZhou Simple”)       |
| November 29, 2005 .....  | Qingdao Saturn International Trade Co., Ltd. (“Qingdao Saturn”) |
| November 30, 2005 .....  | Shandong Longtai Fruits and Vegetables Co., Ltd. (“Longtai”)    |

Qingdao Xiantianfeng, XuZhou Simple, and Longtai certified that they grew and exported the garlic on which they based their requests for a new shipper review. Qingdao Camel certified that Jinxiang County Lufeng Agricultural Production Material Co., Ltd. (“Lufeng”) grew the subject merchandise it exported. Qingdao Saturn certified that Changshan County Taifeng Agricultural By-Products Processing Co., Ltd. (“Taifeng”) grew the subject merchandise that it exported.

#### Initiation of New Shipper Reviews

Pursuant to section 751(a)(2)(B)(i)(I) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (“the Act”) and 19 CFR 351.214(b)(2), Qingdao Camel, Qingdao Saturn, Qingdao Xiantianfeng, Longtai, and XuZhou Simple certified that they did not export fresh garlic to the United States during the period of investigation (“POI”). In addition, Lufeng and Taifeng, producers of the subject merchandise, exported by Qingdao Camel and Qingdao Saturn, respectively, provided certifications that they did not export the subject merchandise to the United States during the POI, pursuant to section 751(a)(2)(B)(i)(I) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.214(b)(2)(ii)(B). Pursuant to section 751(a)(2)(B)(i)(II) and 19 CFR 351.214(b)(2)(iii)(A), all companies discussed above certified that, since the initiation of the investigation, they have never been affiliated with any exporter or grower who exported fresh garlic to the United States during the POI, including those not individually examined during the investigation. As required by 19 CFR 351.214(b)(2)(iii)(B), these companies also certified that their export activities are not controlled by the central government of the PRC.

In addition to the certifications described above, each exporter submitted documentation establishing the following: (1) the date on which it first shipped fresh garlic for export to the United States and the date on which the fresh garlic was first entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption; (2) the volume of its first shipment and the volume of subsequent shipments; and (3) the date of its first sale to an unaffiliated customer in the United States.

Pursuant to section 751(a)(2)(B) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.214(d)(1), we are initiating five new shipper reviews for shipments of fresh garlic from the PRC:

- (1) grown by Lufeng and exported by Qingdao Camel,
- (2) grown by Taifeng and exported by Qingdao Saturn,
- (3) grown and exported by Qingdao Xiantianfeng,