implying that workers should be eligible for NAFTA—TAA.

An investigation into this matter revealed that production has not been shifted from the subject firm to Canada in the relevant period. Further, as has been noted in detail above, there is no evidence of products like or directly competitive with those produced at the subject firm.

Finally, throughout the reconsideration request, the petitioner alleges that several non-manufacturing functions have been or may be shifted to domestic and Canadian facilities, including the "Training Center", "Customization Engineering", the "Technical Publication Department" and the "Spare Orders Department." The petitioner appears to assert that these shifts should somehow qualify workers for NAFTA-TAA assistance.

None of the above-mentioned departments involve production in context with worker eligibility for NAFTA Transitional Adjustment Assistance, and thus have no relevance in this investigation. Only in very limited instances are service workers certified for NAFTA-TAA, namely the worker separations must be caused by a reduced demand for their services from a parent or controlling firm or subdivision whose workers produce an article and who are currently under certification for NAFTA-TAA.

In conclusion, the workers at the subject firm did not meet the "contributed importantly" group eligibility requirement of Section 250(a) of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended.

## Conclusion

After review of the application and investigative findings, I conclude that there has been no error or misinterpretation of the law or of the facts which would justify reconsideration of the Department of Labor's prior decision. Accordingly, the application is denied.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 4th day of April 2003.

# Edward A. Tomchick,

Director, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 03–10750 Filed 4–30–03; 8:45 am]  $\tt BILLING\ CODE\ 4510–30-P$ 

# NATIONAL FOUNDATION ON THE ARTS AND THE HUMANITIES

## **Meetings of Humanities Panel**

**AGENCY:** The National Endowment for the Humanities.

**ACTION:** Additional notice of meetings.

**SUMMARY:** Pursuant to the provisions of the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Pub. L. 92–463, as amended), notice is hereby given that the following meetings of the Humanities Panel will be held at the Old Post Office, 1100 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20506.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Daniel Schneider, Advisory Committee Management Officer, National Endowment for the Humanities, Washington, DC 20506; telephone (202) 606–8322. Hearing-impaired individuals are advised that information on this matter may be obtained by contacting the Endowment's TDD terminal on (202) 606–8282.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The proposed meetings are for the purpose of panel review, discussion, evaluation and recommendation on applications for financial assistance under the National Foundation on the Arts and the Humanities Act of 1965, as amended, including discussion of information given in confidence to the agency by the grant applicants. Because the proposed meetings will consider information that is likely to disclose trade secrets and commercial or financial information obtained from a person and privileged or confidential and/or information of a personal nature the disclosure of which would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy, pursuant to authority granted me by the Chairman's Delegation of Authority to Close Advisory Committee meetings, dated July 19, 1993, I have determined that these meetings will be closed to the public pursuant to subsections (c)(4), and (6) of section 552b of Title 5, United States Code.

1. Date: May 20, 2003. Time: 8:30 a.m. to 5 p.m. Room: 415.

Program: This meeting will review applications for Humanities Focus Grants, submitted to the Division of Education Programs at the April 15, 2003 deadline.

2. Date: May 21, 2003. Time: 8:30 a.m. to 5 p.m. Room: 415.

Program: This meeting will review applications for Humanities Focus Grants, submitted to the Division of Education Programs at the April 15, 2003 deadline.

3. Date: May 22, 2003. Time: 8:30 a.m. to 5 p.m. Room: 415.

Program: This meeting will review applications for Humanities Focus Grants, submitted to the Division of Education Programs at the April 15, 2003 deadline.

4. *Date*: May 23, 2003. *Time*: 8:30 a.m. to 5 p.m. *Room*: 415.

Program: This meeting will review applications for Humanities Focus Grants, submitted to the Division of Education Programs at the April 15, 2003 deadline.

5. *Date*: May 28, 2003. *Time*: 8:30 a.m. to 5 p.m. *Room*: 415.

Program: This meeting will review applications for Humanities Focus Grants, submitted to the Division of Education Programs at the April 15, 2003 deadline.

6. *Date:* May 30, 2003. *Time:* 8:30 a.m. to 5 p.m. *Room:* 415.

Program: This meeting will review applications for Humanities Focus Grants, submitted to the Division of Education Programs at the April 15, 2003 deadline.

## Daniel Schneider,

Advisory Committee Management Officer. [FR Doc. 03–10794 Filed 4–30–03; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7536–01–P

## NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION

# Agency Information Collection Activities: Proposed Collection; Comment Request

**AGENCY:** National Science Foundation. **ACTION:** Submission for OMB review; comment request.

**SUMMARY:** Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Public Law 104-13 (44 U.S.C. 3501 et seq.), and as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent burden, the National Science Foundation (NSF) is inviting the general public and other Federal agencies to comment on this proposed continuing information collection. This is the second notice for public comment; the first was published in the Federal Register at 67 FR 69573 on December 24, 2002 and no comments were received. NSF is forwarding the proposed submission to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for clearance simultaneously with the publication of this second notice.

**DATES:** Comments regarding these information collections are best assured of having their full effect if received by OMB within 30 days of publication in the **Federal Register**.

ADDRESSES: Written comments regarding (a) whether the collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of NSF, including whether the information will