

Public Comment

Interested parties may submit case briefs no later than 30 days after the date of publication of this notice in the **Federal Register**.¹² Rebuttals to case briefs, which must be limited to issues raised in the case briefs, must be filed within five days after the date for filing case briefs.¹³ Parties who submit arguments are requested to submit with each argument (a) a statement of the issue, (b) a brief summary of the argument, and (c) a table of authorities.¹⁴ Parties submitting briefs should do so pursuant to the Department's electronic filing system: Enforcement and Compliance's Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System ("ACCESS").¹⁵ ACCESS is available to registered users at <https://access.trade.gov>, and is available to all parties in the Central Records Unit, Room B8024 of the main Department of Commerce building.

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.310(c), interested parties who wish to request a hearing must submit a written request to the Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance, U.S. Department of Commerce within 30 days of the date of publication of this notice. Hearing requests should contain the following information: (1) The party's name, address, and telephone number; (2) the number of participants; and (3) a list of the issues parties intend to discuss. Issues raised in the hearing will be limited to those raised in the respective case and rebuttal briefs. If a request for a hearing is made, parties will be notified of the time and date of the hearing which will be held at the U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230.

Unless extended, we intend to issue the final results of this administrative review, including our analysis of all issues raised in any written brief, within 120 days of publication of this notice in the **Federal Register**, pursuant to section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act.

Assessment Rates

Upon issuance of the final results, the Department will determine, and CBP shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries covered by this review.¹⁶ We intend to issue assessment instructions to CBP 15 days after the publication date of the final results of

this review. Pursuant to the Department's practice in NME cases, if we continue to determine in the final results that TMI and TMM had no shipments of subject merchandise, any suspended entries of subject merchandise during the POR from these companies will be liquidated at the PRC-wide rate.¹⁷

Cash Deposit Requirements

The following cash deposit requirements will be effective upon publication of the final results of this administrative review for all shipments of the subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date of the final results of review, as provided for by section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act: (1) For TMI, which claimed no shipments, the cash deposit rate will remain unchanged from the rate assigned to TMI in the most recently completed review of the company; (2) for previously investigated or reviewed PRC and non-PRC exporters who are not under review in this segment of the proceeding but who have separate rates, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the exporter-specific rate published for the most recent period; (3) for all PRC exporters of subject merchandise that have not been found to be entitled to a separate rate (including TMM, which claimed no shipments, but has not been found to be separate from the PRC-wide entity), the cash deposit rate will be the PRC-wide rate of 141.49 percent; and (4) for all non-PRC exporters of subject merchandise which have not received their own rate, the cash deposit rate will be the rate applicable to the PRC exporter(s) that supplied that non-PRC exporter. These deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

Notification to Importers

This notice also serves as a preliminary reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this period. Failure to comply with this requirement may result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

This notice is issued in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act, and 19 CFR 351.221(b)(4).

¹⁷ For a full discussion of this practice, see *Non-Market Economy Antidumping Proceedings: Assessment of Antidumping Duties*, 76 FR 65694 (October 24, 2011).

Dated: December 29, 2016.

Paul Piquado,

Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

[FR Doc. 2017-00036 Filed 1-5-17; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-570-932]

Certain Steel Threaded Rod From the People's Republic of China: Amended Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2014-2015

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (the "Department") published the *Final Results* of the sixth administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain steel threaded rod from the People's Republic of China ("PRC") on November 22, 2016. The period of review ("POR") is April 1, 2014, through March 31, 2015. This review covers two PRC exporters of subject merchandise, RMB Fasteners Ltd., IFI & Morgan Ltd., and Jiaying Brother Standard Part Co., Ltd. (collectively "the RMB/IFI Group"), and Zhejiang New Oriental Fastener Co., Ltd. ("New Oriental"). The amended final dumping margins are listed below in the "Final Results of Administrative Review" section of this notice.

DATES: Effective January 6, 2017.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Paul Walker, AD/CVD Operations, Office V, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-0413.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

The Department published in the **Federal Register** the *Final Results* of this administrative review on November 22, 2016.¹ On December 2, 2016, New Oriental filed a timely allegation that the Department made two ministerial errors in the *Final Results* and requested, pursuant to 19 CFR 351.224, that the Department correct the alleged ministerial errors. No other party

¹ See *Certain Steel Threaded Rod from the People's Republic of China: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2014-2015*, 81 FR 8300 (November 22, 2016) ("Final Results"), and accompanying Issues and Decision Memorandum ("IDM").

¹² See 19 CFR 351.309(c)(1)(ii).

¹³ See 19 CFR 351.309(d)(1)(2).

¹⁴ See 19 CFR 351.309(c)(2), (d)(2).

¹⁵ See 19 CFR 351.303 (for general filing requirements).

¹⁶ See 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1).

submitted ministerial error allegations or rebuttal comments.

Scope of the Order

The merchandise covered by the order is steel threaded rod.² Steel threaded rod is certain threaded rod, bar, or studs, of carbon quality steel, having a solid, circular cross section, of any diameter, in any straight length, that have been forged, turned, cold-drawn, cold-rolled, machine straightened, or otherwise cold-finished, and into which threaded grooves have been applied. Certain steel threaded rod subject to the order is currently classifiable in the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (“HTSUS”) at subheadings 7318.15.5051, 7318.15.5056, 7318.15.5090, and 7318.15.2095. Although the HTSUS subheading is provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description of the merchandise is dispositive.³

Amended Final Results

Section 751(h) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (“the Act”), defines “ministerial error” as including “errors in addition, subtraction, or other arithmetic function, clerical errors resulting from inaccurate copying, duplication, or the like, and any other type of unintentional error which the administering authority considers ministerial.” After analyzing New Oriental’s comments, we have determined, in accordance with section 751(h) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.224(e), that we made certain ministerial errors in the final results with respect to our calculation of surrogate financial ratios.⁴

For a detailed discussion of these ministerial errors, as well as the Department’s analysis of these errors, see Ministerial Errors Memo. In accordance with section 751(h) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.224(e), we are amending the *Final Results* of this administrative review of certain steel threaded rod from the PRC. The dumping margins for the period of review for these amended final results are as follows:

² See *Certain Steel Threaded Rod from the People’s Republic of China: Notice of Antidumping Duty Order*, 74 FR 17154 (April 14, 2009).

³ See Memorandum to Paul Piquado, from Christian Marsh, regarding “Sixth Antidumping Administrative Review of Certain Steel Threaded Rod from the People’s Republic of China: Ministerial Error Memorandum,” dated concurrently with this notice (“Ministerial Errors Memo”).

⁴ See Ministerial Errors Memo.

Exporter	Weighted-average margin (percent)
RMB Fasteners Ltd., and IFI & Morgan Ltd. (“RMB/IFI Group”)	0.00
Zhejiang New Oriental Fasteners Co., Ltd. (“New Oriental”)	5.40

These amended final results and notice are issued and published in accordance with sections 751(h), and 777(i)(1) of the Act, and 19 CFR 351.224(e).

Dated: December 23, 2016.

Paul Piquado,

Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

[FR Doc. 2017–00026 Filed 1–5–17; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A–122–503, A–351–503, A–570–502, C–351–504]

Iron Construction Castings From Brazil, Canada, and the People’s Republic of China: Continuation of Antidumping Duty Orders and Countervailing Duty Order

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (the Department) and the International Trade Commission (the ITC) have determined that revocation of the antidumping duty (AD) orders on certain iron construction castings (iron castings) from Brazil, Canada, and the People’s Republic of China (PRC) would likely lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping and material injury to an industry in the United States. The Department and the ITC have also determined that revocation of the countervailing duty (CVD) order on heavy iron construction castings (heavy iron castings) from Brazil would likely lead to continuation or recurrence of net countervailable subsidies and material injury to an industry in the United States. Therefore, the Department is publishing a notice of continuation of the AD orders and the CVD order.

DATES: Effective January 6, 2017.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Shanah Lee or Patricia Tran, AD/CVD Operations, Office III, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue

NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–6386 or (202) 482–1503, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On October 1, 2015, the Department initiated¹ and the ITC instituted² five-year (sunset) reviews of the *AD Orders*³ on iron castings from Brazil, Canada, and the PRC, and the *CVD Order*⁴ on heavy iron castings from Brazil pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act). The Department conducted expedited sunset reviews of these orders. As a result of its reviews, the Department determined that revocation of the *AD Orders* on iron castings would likely lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping and that revocation of the *CVD Order* on heavy iron castings would likely lead to continuation or recurrence of net countervailable subsidies.⁵ Therefore, the Department notified the ITC of the magnitude of the margins and the net countervailable subsidy rate likely to prevail should the orders be revoked, pursuant to sections 751(c)(1) and 752(b) and (c) of the Act.⁶

On December 28, 2016, the ITC published its determination, pursuant to sections 751(c) and 752 of the Act, that revocation of the AD orders on iron castings from Brazil, Canada, and the PRC, and the CVD order on heavy iron castings from Brazil, would likely lead to continuation or recurrence of material

¹ See *Initiation of Five-year (“Sunset”) Reviews*, 80 FR 59133 (October 1, 2015).

² See *Iron Construction Castings From Brazil, Canada, and China: Institution of Five-Year Reviews*, 80 FR 59192 (October 1, 2015).

³ See *Antidumping Duty Order; Iron Construction Castings From Brazil*, 51 FR 17220 (May 9, 1986); *Antidumping Duty Order; Certain Iron Construction Castings From Canada*, 51 FR 7600 (March 5, 1986), as amended by *Iron Construction Castings From Canada: Amendment to Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value and Amendment to Antidumping Duty Order*, 51 FR 34110 (September 25, 1986); *Antidumping Duty Order; Iron Construction Castings From the People’s Republic of China*, 51 FR 17222 (May 9, 1986) (collectively *AD Orders*).

⁴ See *Countervailing Duty Order; Certain Heavy Iron Construction Casting From Brazil*, 51 FR 17786 (May 15, 1986) (*CVD Order*).

⁵ See *Iron Construction Castings From Brazil, Canada, and the People’s Republic of China: Final Results of Expedited Sunset Reviews of the Antidumping Duty Orders*, 81 FR 7083 (February 10, 2016), and *Heavy Iron Construction Castings From Brazil: Final Results of Expedited Fourth Sunset Review of the Countervailing Duty Order*, 81 FR 6237 (February 5, 2016).

⁶ See *Iron Construction Castings From Brazil, Canada, and the People’s Republic of China: Final Results of Expedited Sunset Reviews of the Antidumping Duty Orders*, 81 FR 7083 (February 10, 2016), and *Heavy Iron Construction Castings From Brazil: Final Results of Expedited Fourth Sunset Review of the Countervailing Duty Order*, 81 FR 6237 (February 5, 2016).