(DEIS) for the request for Department of the Army authorization, pursuant to Section 404 of the Clean Water Act and Section 10 of the Rivers and Harbor Act, from Potash Corporation of Saskatchewan Phosphate Division (PCS) for the continuation of its phosphate mining operation near Aurora, Beaufort County, NC published in the **Federal Register** on Friday, October 20, 2006 (71 FR 61962), required comments be submitted by January 22, 2007. The comment period has been extended until February 9, 2007.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Tom Walker, Telephone (828) 271–7980 ext. 222.

#### Brenda S. Bowen.

Army Federal Register Liaison Officer. [FR Doc. 07–282 Filed 1–23–07; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3710–GN–M

## **DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE**

# Department of the Army, Corps of Engineers

Notice of Availability of the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Dam Safety Assurance Evaluation Report, Dover Dam, City of Dover, Tuscarawas County, OH

**AGENCY:** Department of the Army, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, DOD. **ACTION:** Notice of availability.

**SUMMARY:** This notice replaces the previously published Federal Register notice dated January 9, 2007 (72 FR 958). Pursuant to the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA), the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (Corps), Huntington District has prepared an Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) to disclose potential impacts to the natural, physical, and human environment resulting from modifications to Dover Dam. This high hazard dam does not conform to current design standards related to stability and sliding during a probably maximum flood. Modifications are proposed so the Dam will meet these standards.

DATES: Written comments on the Draft Environmental Impact Statement will be accepted for 45 days following publication of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency's Notice of Availability for the Draft Environmental Impact State (DEIS) in the Federal Register anticipated to occur on or before January 26, 2007.

**ADDRESSES:** Send all written comments and suggestions concerning this proposed project to Rodney G. Cremeans, Project Manager PM-PP-P,

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Huntington District, 502 Eighth Street, Huntington, WV 25701–2070. E-mail: Rodney.G.Cremeans@Irh01. usace.army.mil.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Rodney Cremeans, Telephone: (304) 399–5170.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Section** 1203 of the Water Resources Development Act of 1986 (Pub. L. 99-662) provides for modification of completed Corps dams and related facilities for safety purposes due to new hydrologic or seismic data or changes in state-of-the-art design or construction criteria. The National Weather Service generalized estimates of Probable Maximum Precipitation (PMP) were used to develop flood scenarios and guide design criteria for structures such as Dover Dam. These rainfall estimates are considered extreme, with a very low probability of occurrence. However, the worst-case storms associated with the PMP events retain some probability of occurrence. The Corps has determined the dam cannot safely accommodate flooding from theoretical Probable Maximum Flood (PMF) events. The dam is also believed to be unstable against sliding under conditions below the PMF due to known faulting and uncertain foundation bedrock quality. The objectives of the project are to develop the most cost effective, environmentally sound plan to upgrade Dover Dam to meet current hydrologic design standards and to address stability issues associated with inadequate bedrock foundation. The objectives also include protecting project facilities including the adjacent park area and Ohio Route

Three alternatives: (1) Raise Dam, (2) Dam Overtop and (3) No Federal Action are evaluated in detail in the EIS. The Raise Dam alternative would allow the dam to safely pass 100% of the PMF through raising the existing nonoverflow sections with concrete parapet walls constructed on the existing dam. To address inadequate bedrock foundation and potential for sliding under PMF conditions, the Raise Dam alternative also includes installation of anchors in the spillway and stilling basin. The Dam Overtop alternative would modify the existing non-overflow section of the dam to withstand overtopping, and also includes installation of anchors in the spillway and stilling basin to address inadequate bedrock foundation. Under the No Federal Action alternative no modifications would be done. The Raise Dam alternative was chosen as the recommended plan because it more

reliably meets project objectives, minimizes costs, and has the least adverse environmental effects.

The Corps invites full public participation to promote open communication and better decision-making. All persons and organizations that have an interest in the Dover Dam Project are urged to participate in this NEPA evaluation process. Assistance will be provided upon request to anyone having difficulty with learning how to participate.

A public meeting will be held on January 18th at 7 p.m. at the McDonald Marlite Conference Center in New Philadelphia, OH. The public hearing will be announced in advance through notices, media news releases, and/or mailings.

Copies of the Draft EIS may be reviewed at the following locations:

- 1. Dover Public Library, 525 N. Walnut Street, Dover, OH 44622.
- 2. Tuscarawas County Public Library, 121 Fair Avenue NW., New Philadelphia, OH 44663.
- 3. US Army Corps of Engineers Muskingum Area Office, 5336 State Route 800 NE, Dover, OH 44662–6910.
- 4. US Army Corps of Engineers, Huntington District, Room 3100, 502 Eighth Street, Huntington, WV 25701.
- 5. http://www.Irh.usace.army.mil/projects/review.

### Brenda S. Bowen,

Army Federal Register Liaison Officer. [FR Doc. 07–281 Filed 1–23–07; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3710–GM–M

## **DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE**

# Department of the Army; Corps of Engineers

Intent To Prepare a Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Combined Structural and Operational Plan, Broward and Miami-Dade Counties, FL

**AGENCY:** Department of the Army, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, DOD. **ACTION:** Notice of intent.

SUMMARY: The Jacksonville District, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (Corps), intends to prepare a Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the Combined Structural and Operational Plan (CSOP) for the Central and Southern Florida Project, WCA—3A and B and the South Dade Conveyance System. The study is a cooperative effort between the Corps, Everglades National Park (ENP), U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS), and South Florida Water Management District (SFWMD).

CSOP is an integrated structural and operational plan for two modifications of the Central and South Florida (C&SF) Project: the Modified Water Deliveries to ENP (MWD) Project and the Canal—111 (C—111) Project. The objective of CSOP is to define the operations for these projects in a manner consistent with their respective project purposes.

ADDRESSES: U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Planning Division, Environmental Branch, P.O. Box 4970.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Ernest Clarke at (904) 232–1199 or email at

ernest.clarke@saj02.usace.army.mil.

### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: a.

Jacksonville, FL 32232-0019.

Authorization: The MWD General Design Memorandum (GDM) and EIS was completed 1992, in response to the ENP Protection and Expansion Act of 1989. The C–111 General Reevaluation Report (GRR) with integrated EIS was approved in 1994 and the C–111 project was authorized in the Water Resources Development Act of 1996.

b. Study Area: The study area is located in Broward and Miami-Dade Counties, FL, and includes Water Conservation Area 3, ENP and other areas designated in previous Corps' reports: 1992 MWD GDM and 1994 C–111 GRR.

c. Project Scope: The objective of CSOP is to define the operations for the MWD and C-111 projects in a manner consistent with their respective purposes. The primary goal of the MWD project is to construct structural modifications to the original C&SF project and define their operations to allow for ecosystem restoration through improved water deliveries to Shark River Slough in ENP. The primary goal of the C–111 project modifications is to allow the restoration of habitat in Taylor Slough and the eastern panhandle of ENP through new water management operations. Refinements to the authorized structural improvements for the C-111 project are being addressed in a separate engineering report. The scope of the current effort includes developing the evaluating alternative plans for achieving MWD and C-111 project

d. Alternatives: Alternatives to be discussed involve various ways to convey water through the C&SF system. Alternatives will involve alteration of the management of existing C&SF features as well of structural modifications to MWD features that have been congressionally authorized but not built. The evaluation of the alternatives and selection of a recommended plan will be documented

in the DEIS. The alternative plans will be reviewed under provisions of appropriate laws and regulations, including the Endangered Species Act, Fish and Wildlife Coordination Act, Clean Water Act, and Farmland Protection Policy Act.

e. Issues: The DEIS will address the following issues: the relation between this project and related projects including MWD, C–111, IOP, and 8.5 SMA; impacts to aquatic and wetland habitats; water flows; hazardous and toxic waste; water quality; flood protection; aesthetics and recreation; fish and wildlife resources, including protected species; cultural resources; and other impacts identified through scoping, public involvement and interagency coordination.

f. *Public Involvement*: A scoping meeting is not anticipated. A Public meeting will be held after release of the Draft RGRR/SEIS; the exact location, date, and times will be announced in a public notice and local newspapers.

g. *DSEIS Preparation:* The DEIS is expected to be available for public review in the 3rd quarter of CY 2007.

## Branda S. Bowen,

Army Federal Register Liaison Officer. [FR Doc. 07–280 Filed 1–23–07; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3710–AJ–M

## **DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION**

# Notice of Proposed Information Collection Requests

**AGENCY:** Department of Education. **SUMMARY:** The IC Clearance Official, Regulatory Information Management Services, Office of Management, invites comments on the proposed information collection requests as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995.

**DATES:** Interested persons are invited to submit comments on or before March 26, 2007.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Section** 3506 of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35) requires that the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) provide interested Federal agencies and the public an early opportunity to comment on information collection requests. OMB may amend or waive the requirement for public consultation to the extent that public participation in the approval process would defeat the purpose of the information collection, violate State or Federal law, or substantially interfere with any agency's ability to perform its statutory obligations. The IC Clearance Official, Regulatory Information Management Services, Office of

Management, publishes that notice containing proposed information collection requests prior to submission of these requests to OMB. Each proposed information collection, grouped by office, contains the following: (1) Type of review requested, e.g. new, revision, extension, existing or reinstatement; (2) Title; (3) Summary of the collection; (4) Description of the need for, and proposed use of, the information; (5) Respondents and frequency of collection; and (6) Reporting and/or Recordkeeping burden. OMB invites public comment.

The Department of Education is especially interested in public comment addressing the following issues: (1) is this collection necessary to the proper functions of the Department; (2) will this information be processed and used in a timely manner; (3) is the estimate of burden accurate; (4) how might the Department enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (5) how might the Department minimize the burden of this collection on the respondents, including through the use of information technology.

Dated: January 18, 2007.

## Angela C. Arrington,

IC Clearance Official, Regulatory Information Management Services, Office of Management.

### **Institute of Education Sciences**

Type of Review: Revision. Title: National Assessment of Educational Progress 2008–2010 Operational and Pilot Surveys System Clearance.

Frequency: One time.
Affected Public: Individuals or
household; State, Local, or Tribal Gov't,
SEAs or LEAs.

Reporting and Recordkeeping Hour Burden:

Responses: 540,000. Burden Hours: 141.236.

Abstract: This clearance package contains descriptions, supporting statements, and burden information for the 2008–2010 NAEP assessments. This is a System Clearance request for which a three-year clearance is requested for background materials for students, teachers, and schools.

Requests for copies of the proposed information collection request may be accessed from http://edicsweb.ed.gov, by selecting the "Browse Pending Collections" link and by clicking on link number 3254. When you access the information collection, click on "Download Attachments" to view. Written requests for information should be addressed to U.S. Department of Education, 400 Maryland Avenue, SW.,