

shipper reviews of fresh garlic from the PRC covering the period November 1, 2004, through October 31, 2005. *See Fresh Garlic from the People's Republic of China: Initiation of New Shipper Reviews*, 70 FR 76765 (December 28, 2005).

On April 28, 2006, the Department aligned the statutory time lines of the 11th administrative review and all but one of the new shipper reviews.<sup>1</sup> Qingdao Xintianfeng Foods Company Ltd. ("QXF"), a respondent in one of the new shipper reviews, did not agree to waive the new shipper time limits.

#### Extension of Time Limit of Preliminary Results

The Department determines that completion of the preliminary results of these reviews within the statutory time period is not practicable. The 11th administrative review covers nine companies, and to conduct the sales and factor analyses for each requires the Department to gather and analyze a significant amount of information pertaining to each company's sales practices and manufacturing methods. The five new shipper reviews, including that of QXF, involve extraordinarily complicated methodological issues such as the use of intermediate input methodology, potential affiliation issues and the examination of importer information. The Department requires additional time to analyze these issues.

Therefore, given the number and complexity of issues in this case, and in accordance with section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act, we are extending the time period for issuing the preliminary results of review by 61 days until October 2, 2006. The final results continue to be due 120 days after the publication of the preliminary results. Regarding QXF, in accordance with section 351.214(h)(i)(1) of the Department's regulations and section 751(a)(2)(B)(iv) of the Act, we are extending the time period for issuing the preliminary results of review by 106 days until October 2, 2006. The final results continue to be due 90 days after the publication of the preliminary results.

This notice is published pursuant to sections 751(c)(3)(A) and 751(a)(2)(B)(iv) of the Act, and 19 CFR 351.214(h)(i)(1).

June 2, 2006.

**Stephen J. Claeys,**

*Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.*

[FR Doc. E6-9223 Filed 6-13-06; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A-427-820]

#### Stainless Steel Bar from France: Notice of Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** June 14, 2006.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** David Goldberger or Terre Keaton, AD/CVD Operations, Office 2, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-4136 or (202) 482-1280, respectively.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### Background

On March 2, 2006, the Department published in the *Federal Register* (71 FR 10642) a notice of "Opportunity To Request Administrative Review" of the antidumping duty order on stainless steel bar from France for the period March 1, 2005, through February 28, 2006. On March 31, 2006, Ugitech S.A. (Ugitech) requested an administrative review of its U.S. sales that were subject to the antidumping duty order on stainless steel bar from France for this period. On April 28, 2006, the Department published a notice of initiation of an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on stainless steel bar from France with respect to this company. *See Notice of Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews*, 71 FR 25145 (April 28, 2006).

##### Rescission of Review

On May 2, 2006, Ugitech timely withdrew its request for an administrative review of its sales during the above-referenced period. Section 351.213(d)(1) of the Department's regulations stipulates that the Secretary will rescind an administrative review if the party that requests a review withdraws the request within 90 days of the date of publication of notice of initiation of the requested review. In this case, Ugitech has withdrawn its request for review within the 90-day period. Ugitech was the sole party to request the initiation of the review. Therefore, we are rescinding this review of the antidumping duty order on stainless steel bar from France.

This notice is published in accordance with section 751(a)(1) of the

Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, and 19 CFR 351.213(d)(4).

Dated: June 7, 2006.

**Stephen J. Claeys,**

*Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.*

[FR Doc. E6-9222 Filed 6-13-06; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A-570-890]

#### Notice of Amended Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value/Pursuant to Court Decision: Wooden Bedroom Furniture from the People's Republic of China

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** On December 20, 2005, the United States Court of International Trade ("CIT") issued an order sustaining the Department of Commerce's ("the Department") Final Results of Redetermination pursuant to court remand filed by the Department on November 7, 2005. *Decca Hospitality Furnishings, LLC v. United States*, Ct. No. 05-00002, Slip Op. 05-161 (Ct. Int'l Trade, December 20, 2005) ("*Decca Order*"). The remand redetermination arose out of the Department's final determination and amended final determination and order. *See Notice of Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value: Wooden Bedroom Furniture from the People's Republic of China*, 69 FR 67313 (November 17, 2004) ("*Final Determination*"), and *Notice of Amended Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value and Antidumping Duty Order: Wooden Bedroom Furniture from the People's Republic of China*, 70 FR 329 (January 4, 2005) ("*Amended Final Determination*"). On May 16, 2006, the United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit ("CAFC") granted Petitioners' (i.e., American Furniture Manufacturer's Committee for Legal Trade ("AFMC")) motion for a voluntary dismissal of this case. Because the litigation in this matter is concluded, the Department is issuing an amended final determination in accordance with the CIT's decision.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** June 14, 2006.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Eugene Degnan, AD/CVD Operations, Office 8, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW,

<sup>1</sup> See the Department's letter dated April 28, 2006.