note contact information provided here is solely for questions regarding this notice. It is not for individual case status inquiries. Applicants seeking information about the status of their individual cases can check Case Status Online, available at the USCIS website at <a href="http://www.uscis.gov">http://www.uscis.gov</a>, or call the USCIS Contact Center at (800) 375–5283; TTY (800) 767–1833.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### Comments

The information collection notice was previously published in the **Federal Register** on December 23, 2022, at 87 FR 78989, allowing for a 60-day public comment period. USCIS did not receive any comments in connection with the 60-day notice.

You may access the information collection instrument with instructions, or additional information by visiting the Federal eRulemaking Portal site at: http://www.regulations.gov and enter USCIS-2007-0041 in the search box. The comments submitted to USCIS via this method are visible to the Office of Management and Budget and comply with the requirements of 5 CFR 1320.12(c). All submissions will be posted, without change, to the Federal eRulemaking Portal at http:// www.regulations.gov, and will include any personal information you provide. Therefore, submitting this information makes it public. You may wish to consider limiting the amount of personal information that you provide in any voluntary submission you make to DHS. DHS may withhold information provided in comments from public viewing that it determines may impact the privacy of an individual or is offensive. For additional information, please read the Privacy Act notice that is available via the link in the footer of http://www.regulations.gov.

Written comments and suggestions from the public and affected agencies should address one or more of the

following four points:

(1) Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;

(2) Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;

(3) Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and

(4) Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the

use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, *e.g.*, permitting electronic submission of responses.

# Overview of This Information Collection

- (1) Type of Information Collection Request: Revision of a Currently Approved Collection.
- (2) Title of the Form/Collection: Interagency Record of Request—A, G, or NATO Dependent Employment Authorization or Change/Adjustment To/From A, G, or NATO Status.
- (3) Agency form number, if any, and the applicable component of the DHS sponsoring the collection: I–566; USCIS.
- (4) Affected public who will be asked or required to respond, as well as a brief abstract: Primary: Individuals or households. The data on this form is used by Department of State (DOS) to certify to USCIS the eligibility of dependents of A or G principals requesting employment authorization, as well as for NATO/Headquarters, Supreme Allied Commander Transformation (NATO/HQ SACT) to certify to USCIS similar eligibility for dependents of NATO principals. DOS also uses this form to certify to USCIS that certain A, G, or NATO nonimmigrants may change their status to another nonimmigrant status. USCIS uses data collected on this form in the adjudication of change or adjustment of status applications from aliens in A, G, or NATO classifications. USCIS also uses Form I-566 to notify DOS of the results of these adjudications.

The information provided on this form continues to ensure effective interagency communication among the three governmental departments—the Department of Homeland Security (DHS), DOS, and the Department of Defense (DOD)—as well as with NATO/ HQ SACT. These departments and organizations utilize this form to facilitate the uniform collection and review of information necessary to determine an alien's eligibility for the requested immigration benefit. This form also ensures that the information regarding findings or actions is communicated among DHS, DOS, DOD, and NATO/HQ SACT.

(5) An estimate of the total number of respondents and the amount of time estimated for an average respondent to respond: The estimated total number of respondents for the information collection I–566 is 5,800 and the estimated hour burden per response is 1 hour and 17 minutes.

(6) An estimate of the total public burden (in hours) associated with the collection: The total estimated annual hour burden associated with this collection is 7,441 hours.

(7) An estimate of the total public burden (in cost) associated with the collection: The estimated total annual cost burden associated with this collection of information is \$746,750.

Dated: March 16, 2023.

### Jerry L. Rigdon,

Deputy Chief, Regulatory Coordination Division, Office of Policy and Strategy, U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services, Department of Homeland Security.

[FR Doc. 2023-05956 Filed 3-22-23; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 9111-97-P

# DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT

[Docket No. FR-6379-N-01]

# Mortgagee Review Board: Administrative Actions

**AGENCY:** Office of the Assistant Secretary for Housing–Federal Housing Commissioner, Department of Housing and Urban Development, HUD.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** In compliance with the National Housing Act, this notice advises of the cause and description of administrative actions taken by HUD's Mortgagee Review Board against FHA-approved mortgagees in fiscal year 2022.

# FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Nancy A. Murray, Secretary to the Mortgagee Review Board, 451 Seventh Street SW, Room B–133, Washington, DC 20410–8000; telephone (202) 402–2701 (this is not a toll-free number). HUD welcomes and is prepared to receive calls from individuals who are deaf or hard of hearing, as well as individuals with speech or communication disabilities. To learn more about how to make an accessible telephone call, please visit https://www.fcc.gov/consumers/guides/telecommunications-relay-service-trs.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Section 202(c)(5) of the National Housing Act (12 U.S.C. 1708(c)(5)) requires that HUD "publish in the Federal Register a description of and the cause for administrative action against a[n FHA-approved] mortgagee" by HUD's Mortgagee Review Board ("Board"). In compliance with the requirements of section 202(c)(5), this Notice advises of actions that have been taken by the Board in its meetings from the beginning of fiscal year 2022, October 1, 2021, through September 30, 2022,

where settlement agreements have been reached, civil money penalties were imposed, or FHA participation was terminated as of February 21, 2023.

## I. Civil Money Penalties, Withdrawals of FHA Approval, Suspensions, Probations, and Reprimands

1. AlaskaUSA Mortgage Company L.L.C., Anchorage, AK [Docket No. 22– 2007–MR]

Action: On February 24, 2022, the Board voted to enter into a settlement agreement with AlaskaUSA Mortgage Company L.L.C. ("AlaskaUSA") that included a civil money penalty of \$5,000. The settlement did not constitute an admission of liability or fault.

Cause: The Board took this action based on the following alleged violation of FHA requirements: AlaskaUSA failed to timely notify FHA of a state sanction in its fiscal year 2021.

2. American Lending, Inc., Costa Mesa, CA [Docket No. 21–2185–MR]

Action: On February 24, 2022, the Board voted to withdraw American Lending, Inc. ("American Lending") for a period of three years.

Cause: The Board took this action based on the following alleged violations of FHA requirements: American Lending (a) failed to timely notify FHA of a state sanction in its fiscal year 2020; (b) submitted to FHA a false certification concerning its fiscal year 2020; (c) failed to maintain in its fiscal year 2020 the minimum required adjusted net worth; (d) failed to timely notify FHA of its minimum adjusted net worth deficiency in its fiscal year 2020; (e) failed to maintain the minimum required liquid assets in its fiscal year 2020; (f) failed to timely notify FHA of a required liquid assets deficiency in its fiscal year 2020; (g) failed to maintain a warehouse line of credit or other acceptable mortgage-funding program in its fiscal years 2020 and 2021; (h) failed to timely notify FHA of a funding program deficiency in its fiscal year 2020; (i) failed to timely notify FHA of a change in principal ownership in its fiscal year 2021; and (j) failed to comply with FHA underwriting requirements concerning one FHA-insured mortgage

3. Ark-La-Tex Financial Services L.L.C. d/b/a Benchmark, L.L.C., Plano, TX [Docket No. 21–2191–MR]

Action: On December 7, 2021, the Board voted to enter into a settlement agreement with Ark-La-Tex Financial Services L.L.C. d/b/a Benchmark ("Benchmark") that included a civil money penalty of \$5,000. The settlement did not constitute an admission of liability or fault.

Cause: The Board took this action based on the following alleged violations of FHA requirements: Benchmark (a) failed to timely notify FHA of an unresolved finding in its fiscal year 2020; and (b) failed to timely notify FHA of a state sanction in its fiscal year 2020.

4. Aurora Financial Group, Wall Township, NJ [Docket No. 21–2235–MR]

Action: On February 24, 2022, the Board voted to enter into a settlement agreement with Aurora Financial Group ("Aurora") that included a civil money penalty of \$15,000. The settlement did not constitute an admission of liability or fault.

Cause: The Board took this action based on the following alleged violations of FHA requirements: Aurora: (a) failed to timely notify FHA of a state sanction in its fiscal year 2020; (b) failed to timely notify FHA of a state sanction in its fiscal year 2021; and (c) failed to timely notify FHA of a second state sanction in its fiscal year 2021.

5. Bay to Bay Lending, L.L.C., Tampa, FL [Docket No. 21–2234–MR]

Action: On June 16, 2022, the Board voted to enter into a settlement agreement with Bay to Bay Lending, L.L.C. ("Bay to Bay") that included a civil money penalty of \$40,490. The settlement did not constitute an admission of liability or fault.

Cause: The Board took this action based on the following alleged violations of FHA requirements: Bay to Bay: (a) failed to timely submit acceptable annual audited financial statements and supplemental reports for its fiscal year 2020; (b) failed to maintain the minimum required adjusted net worth throughout its fiscal year 2020; (c) failed to timely notify FHA of its minimum adjusted net worth deficiency for its fiscal year 2020; (d) failed to timely notify FHA of an operating loss in a fiscal quarter that exceeded 20 percent of its net worth in its fiscal year 2020; (e) failed to file the required quarterly financial statements subsequent to an operating loss exceeding 20 percent of its quarter-end net worth in its fiscal year 2020; and (f) failed to timely notify FHA of a change in principal ownership in its fiscal year 2020.

6. Beeline Loans, Inc., Providence, RI [Docket No. 21–2136–MR]

Action: On December 7, 2021, the Board voted to enter into a settlement agreement with Beeline Loans, Inc.

("Beeline") that included a civil money penalty of \$15,000. The settlement did not constitute an admission of liability or fault.

Cause: The Board took this action based on the following alleged violations of FHA requirements: Beeline: (a) failed to timely notify FHA of an operating loss in a fiscal quarter that exceeded 20 percent of its net worth in its fiscal year 2020; (b) failed to file the required quarterly financial statements subsequent to an operating loss exceeding 20 percent of its quarterend net worth in its fiscal year 2021; and (c) failed to file the required quarterly financial statements subsequent to an operating loss exceeding 20 percent of its quarter-end net worth in its fiscal year 2021.

7. Bellwether Enterprise Real Estate Capital, Cleveland, OH [Docket No. 21– 2229–MR]

Action: On December 7, 2021, the Board voted to enter into a settlement agreement with Bellwether Enterprise Real Estate Capital ("Bellwether") that included an administrative payment of \$341,500. The settlement did not constitute an admission of liability or fault.

Cause: The Board took this action based on the following alleged violations of FHA's requirements: Bellwether obtained loan fees in excess of five percent for five loans that received reduced Mortgage Insurance Premium ("MIP") rates under the Affordable and Green MIP Programs.

8. BNB Financial, Inc., Glendale, CA [Docket No. 21–2252–MR]

Action: On June 16, 2022, the Board voted to enter into a settlement agreement with BNB Financial, Inc. ("BNB") that included a civil money penalty of \$15,245. The settlement did not constitute an admission of liability or fault.

Cause: The Board took this action based on the following alleged violations of FHA requirements: BNB (a) failed to timely notify FHA of a state sanction in its fiscal year 2021; and (b) submitted to FHA a false certification concerning its fiscal year 2021.

9. Broker Solutions, Inc., Tustin, CA

Action: On February 24, 2022, the Board voted to concur on a settlement of a False Claims Act lawsuit initiated by a realtor against Broker Solutions, Inc. d/b/a New American Funding ("Broker Solutions") and that included a payment of \$702,000 to FHA. The settlement did not constitute an admission of liability or fault.

Cause: The Board took this action based on the following alleged violations of FHA requirements: Broker Solutions (a) improperly compensated employees performing underwriting activities on a commission basis; (b) authorized certain managers or salespersons to override FHA and other government underwriting requirements; (c) took steps to improperly increase the appraised value of properties; (d) manipulated borrower income and debt information to improperly approve loans through TOTAL Mortgage Scorecard; and (e) withheld underwriting deficiencies identified by quality control auditors from FHA and other government entities.

10. Chu & Associates, Inc., d/b/a Fidelity Funding Bancorp, Pasadena, CA [Docket No. 21–2245–MR]

Action: On December 7, 2021, the Board voted to enter into a settlement agreement with Chu & Associates, Inc. ("Chu") that included a civil money penalty of \$30,000. The settlement did not constitute an admission of liability or fault.

Cause: The Board took this action based on the following alleged violations of FHA requirements: Chu (a) failed to maintain the minimum required adjusted net worth in its fiscal years 2020 and 2021; (b) failed to timely notify FHA of its adjusted net worth deficiency in its fiscal years 2020 and 2021; (c) failed to maintain the minimum required liquid assets in its fiscal year 2020; and (d) failed to timely notify FHA of a liquid asset deficiency in its fiscal year 2020.

11. Cliffco Inc., Uniondale, NY [Docket No. 22–2205–MR]

Action: On June 16, 2022, the Board voted to enter into a settlement agreement with Cliffco Inc. ("Cliffco") that included a civil money penalty of \$5,000. The settlement did not constitute an admission of liability or fault.

Cause: The Board took this action based on the following alleged violation of FHA requirements: Cliffco failed to timely notify FHA of a state sanction in its fiscal year 2021.

12. Coastal States Mortgage, Inc., Hilton Head Island, SC [Docket No. 21–2202– MR]

Action: On December 7, 2021, the Board voted to enter into a settlement agreement with Coastal States Mortgage, Inc. ("Coastal States") that included a civil money penalty of \$5,000. The settlement did not constitute an admission of liability or fault.

Cause: The Board took this action based on the following alleged violation of FHA requirement. Coastal States (a) failed to report an unresolved finding in its fiscal year 2019; and (b) failed to timely report a state sanction in its fiscal year 2019.

13. Columbus Capital Lending, L.L.C., d/b/a Zoom Loans, Miami, FL [Docket No. 22–2006–MR]

Action: On June 16, 2022, the Board voted to enter into a settlement agreement with Columbus Capital Lending, L.L.C. d/b/a Zoom Loans ("Zoom Loans") that included a civil money penalty of \$15,245. The settlement did not constitute an admission of liability or fault.

Cause: The Board took this action based on the following alleged violations of FHA requirements. Zoom Loans (a) failed to timely notify FHA of a state sanction in its fiscal year 2020; and (b) submitted to FHA a false certification concerning its fiscal year 2020.

14. Contour Mortgage Corporation, Garden City, NY [Docket No. 21–2195– MR]

Action: On December 7, 2021, the Board voted to enter into a settlement agreement with Contour Mortgage Corporation ("Contour") that included a civil money penalty of \$25,000. The settlement did not constitute an admission of liability or fault.

Cause: The Board took this action based on the following alleged violations of FHA requirements.
Contour (a) failed to timely notify FHA of a state sanction in its fiscal years 2018 and 2020; (b) failed to timely notify FHA of a state sanction against its employee in its fiscal year 2018; and (c) submitted to FHA a false certification concerning its fiscal year 2018.

15. Credence Funding Corporation, Aberdeen, MD [Docket No. 22–2002– MR]

Action: On February 24, 2022, the Board voted to enter into a settlement agreement with Credence Funding Corporation ("Credence") that included a civil money penalty of \$15,245. The settlement did not constitute an admission of liability or fault.

Cause: The Board took this action based on the following alleged violations of FHA requirements: Credence (a) failed to timely notify FHA of a state sanction in its fiscal year 2019; and (b) submitted to FHA a false certification concerning its fiscal year 2019.

16. Del Sur Corporation, San Fernando, CA [Docket No. 20–2145–MR]

Action: On December 7, 2021, the Board voted to enter into a settlement agreement with Del Sur Corporation ("Del Sur") that included a civil money penalty of \$38,977. The settlement did not constitute an admission of liability or fault.

Cause: The Board took this action based on the following alleged violations of FHA requirements: Del Sur failed to maintain an escrow account to segregate escrow commitment deposits, work completion deposits, and all periodic payments received for loans or insured mortgages on account of ground rents, taxes, assessments and insurance charges or premiums in its fiscal years 2017, 2018, 2019, and 2020.

17. Dwight Capital LLC, New York, NY [Docket No. 21–2166–MR]

Action: On September 15, 2022, the Board voted to enter into a settlement agreement with Dwight Capital LLC ("Dwight") that included a civil money penalty of \$16,000,000, execution of 24 life-of-loan indemnifications, and a corrective action plan. The settlement did not constitute an admission of liability or fault.

Cause: The Board took this action based on the following alleged violations of FHA requirements: Dwight (a) obtained loan fees in excess of five percent for five loans that received reduced MIP rates under FHA's Green MIP Program; (b) engaged in prohibited business practices, (c) failed to adopt a Quality Control ("QC") Program that fully complied with HUD requirements; (d) failed to comply with its QC Program, (e) engaged in business practices that do not conform to generally accepted practices of prudent mortgagees; (f) failed to disclose identity of interest ("IOI") relationships; (g) failed to properly disclose and review IOI borrowers; (h) submitted to FHA false statements and false certifications; (i) submitted false information to the Mortgagee Review Board; and (j) violated use and disclosure requirements regarding brokers.

18. Evesham Mortgage L.L.C., Marlton, NJ [Docket No. 21–2246–MR]

Action: On February 24, 2022, the Board voted to enter into a settlement agreement with Evesham Mortgage L.L.C. ("Evesham") that included a civil money penalty of \$59,567, and execution of five life-of loan indemnifications. The settlement did not constitute an admission of liability or fault.

Cause: The Board took this action based on the following alleged

violations of FHA requirements: Evesham (a) failed to properly verify and document effective income on two loans; (b) failed to properly document gift funds for nine loans; (c) failed to properly document borrowers' funds to close for two loans; (d) failed to document that a borrower whose underwriting approval relied on the use of retirement account assets was both eligible to make withdrawals and did, in fact, make the withdrawals; (e) failed to include all required documentation in the case binders for two loans; (f) failed to timely notify FHA of a state sanction in its fiscal year 2020; and (g) submitted to FHA a false certification concerning its fiscal year 2020.

19. Fairway Independent Mortgage Corporation, Madison, WI [Docket No. 21–2192–MR]

Action: On February 24, 2022, the Board voted to enter into a settlement agreement with Fairway Independent Mortgage Corporation ("Fairway") that included a civil money penalty of \$96,960. The settlement did not constitute an admission of liability or fault.

Cause: The Board took this action based on the following alleged violations of FHA requirements: Fairway (a) failed to adopt and implement a QC Plan in compliance with FHA requirements; and (b) failed to comply with FHA's self-reporting requirements to ensure it reported to FHA all fraud, misrepresentation, and other findings.

20. Finco Mortgage L.L.C., Scottsdale, AZ [Docket No. 22–2004–MR]

Action: On February 24, 2022, the Board voted to enter into a settlement agreement with Finco Mortgage L.L.C. d/b/a Minute Mortgage ("Minute Mortgage") that included a civil money penalty of \$10,000. The settlement did not constitute an admission of liability or fault.

Cause: The Board took this action based on the following alleged violations of FHA requirements: Minute Mortgage (a) failed to maintain the minimum required adjusted net worth in its fiscal year 2021; and (b) failed to timely notify FHA of its minimum adjusted net worth deficiency in its fiscal year 2021.

21. GoodLeap, LLC, Roseville, CA [Docket No. 21–2250–MR]

Action: On September 15, 2022, the Board voted to enter into a settlement agreement with GoodLeap, LLC ("GoodLeap") that included a civil money penalty of \$35,245. The

settlement did not constitute an admission of liability or fault.

Cause: The Board took this action based on the following alleged violations of FHA requirements: GoodLeap (a) failed to timely notify FHA of an operating loss in a fiscal quarter that exceeded 20 percent of its net worth in its fiscal year 2020 and fiscal year 2021; (b) failed to file the required quarterly financial statements subsequent to an operating loss exceeding 20 percent of its quarter-end net worth in its fiscal year 2020 and fiscal year 2021; (c) failed to timely notify FHA of a state sanction in its fiscal year 2020; and (d) submitted to FHA a false certification concerning its fiscal year 2020.

22. Grande Homes, Inc., National City, CA [Docket No. 21–2249–MR]

Action: On June 16, 2022, the Board voted to enter into a settlement agreement with Grande Homes, Inc. ("Grande Homes") that included a civil money penalty of \$5,000. The settlement did not constitute an admission of liability or fault.

Cause: The Board took this action based on the following alleged violation of FHA requirements: Grande Homes violated FHA requirements by failing to timely notify FHA of a change of its principal ownership in its fiscal year 2020.

23. Greystone Funding Company L.L.C., Atlanta, GA [Docket No. 22–2019–MR]

Action: On February 24, 2022, the Board voted to enter into a settlement agreement with Greystone Funding Company L.L.C. ("Greystone") that included a civil money penalty of \$4,801,340 and required Greystone to update its training materials; improve its underwriting processes; institute review by senior staff and, if necessary, its legal department; instruct its team to err on the side of disclosure; expand its IOI screening; and amend its QC plan to clarify it reporting obligations. The settlement did not constitute an admission of liability or fault.

Cause: The Board took this action based on the following alleged violations of FHA requirements: Greystone: (a) falsely certified in three instances in each of twenty Section 223(a)(7) refinance applications submitted by Greystone in 2020 and 2021; (b) failed to disclose an ongoing Department of Justice investigation into the borrower's projects and companies; and (c) failed to disclose an IOI with the borrower.

24. Heartland Bank and Trust Company, Bloomington, IL [Docket No. 21–2209–MR]

Action: On December 7, 2021, the Board voted to enter into a settlement agreement with Heartland Bank and Trust Company ("Heartland") that included a civil money penalty of \$5,000. The settlement did not constitute an admission of liability or fault.

Cause: The Board took this action based on the following alleged violation of FHA requirements: Heartland violated FHA requirements by failing to timely notify FHA of change in its business structure in fiscal year 2020.

25. Jet Direct Funding Corp. d/b/a Jet Direct Mortgage, Bay Shore, NY [Docket No. 20–2019–MR]

Action: On May 18, 2021, the Board voted to enter into a settlement agreement with Jet Direct Funding Corp. d/b/a Jet Direct Mortgage ("Jet Direct") that included a civil money penalty of \$19,819. The settlement did not constitute an admission of liability or fault.

Cause: The Board took this action based on the following alleged violations of FHA requirements: Jet Direct (a) failed to timely notify FHA of a state sanction in its fiscal year 2018; (b) failed to timely notify FHA of a state sanction in its fiscal year 2019; and (c) submitted to FHA a false certification concerning its fiscal year 2018.

26. JSB Mortgage Corporation, La Mirada, CA [Docket No. 20–2067–MR]

Action: On September 21, 2021, the Board voted to impose a civil money penalty of \$25,134 against JSB Mortgage Corporation ("JSB").

Cause: The Board took this action based on the following alleged violations of FHA requirements: JSB (a) failed to timely notify FHA of a state sanction in its fiscal year 2019; (b) submitted to FHA a false certification concerning its fiscal year 2019; and (c) permitted its Officer in Charge to engage in dual employment.

27. Manhattan Financial Group, Inc., Escondido, CA [Docket No. 21–2206– MR]

Action: On February 24, 2022, the Board voted to enter into a settlement agreement with Manhattan Financial Group, Inc. ("Manhattan Financial") that included a civil money penalty of \$10,067. The settlement did not constitute an admission of liability or fault.

Cause: The Board took this action based on the following alleged violation of FHA requirements: Manhattan Financial failed to timely notify FHA of a state sanction in its fiscal year 2019.

28. Mortgage Clearing Corporation, Tulsa, OK [Docket No. 21–2253–MR]

Action: On February 24, 2022, the Board voted to enter into a settlement agreement with Mortgage Clearing Corporation ("Mortgage Clearing") that included a civil money penalty of \$5,000. The settlement did not constitute an admission of liability or fault

Cause: The Board took this action based on the following alleged violation of FHA requirements: Mortgage Clearing failed to timely notify FHA of a state sanction in its fiscal year 2021.

29. Mortgage Solutions of Colorado L.L.C., Colorado Springs, CO [Docket No. 22–2013–MR]

Action: On June 16, 2022, the Board voted to enter into a settlement agreement with Mortgage Solutions of Colorado L.L.C. ("Mortgage Solutions") that included a civil money penalty of \$15,366. The settlement did not constitute an admission of liability or fault.

Cause: The Board took this action based on the following alleged violation of FHA requirements: Mortgage Solutions (a) failed to timely notify FHA of a state sanction in its fiscal year 2020; and (b) submitted to FHA a false certification concerning its fiscal year 2020.

30. New England Regional Mortgage Corporation, Salem, NH [Docket No. 22– 2009–MR]

Action: On February 24, 2022, the Board voted to enter into a settlement agreement with New England Regional Mortgage Corp ("New England Regional") that included a civil money penalty of \$5,000. The settlement did not constitute an admission of liability or fault.

Cause: The Board took this action based on the following alleged violation of FHA requirements: New England Regional failed to timely notify FHA of a state sanction in its fiscal year 2021.

31. North American Financial Corporation, Henderson, NV [Docket No. 21–2214–MR]

Action: On June 16, 2022, the Board voted to enter into a settlement agreement with North American Financial Corporation ("North American") that included a civil money penalty of \$25,500. The settlement did not constitute an admission of liability or fault.

Cause: The Board took this action based on the following alleged

violations of FHA requirements: North American (a) originated 33 FHA loans between February 8, 2017 and August 27, 2018 without the appropriate state license; and (b) failed to timely notify FHA of a state sanction in its fiscal year 2020.

32. Pacific Horizon Bancorp, La Crescenta, CA [Docket No. 22–2018–MR]

Action: On February 24, 2022, the Board voted to enter into a settlement agreement with Pacific Horizon Bancorp ("Pacific Horizon") that included a civil money penalty of \$15,245. The settlement did not constitute an admission of liability or fault.

Cause: The Board took this action based on the following alleged violations of FHA requirements: Pacific Horizon (a) failed to timely notify FHA of a state sanction in its fiscal year 2020; and (b) submitted to FHA a false certification concerning its fiscal year 2020.

33. Poli Mortgage Group, Norwood, MA [Docket No. 22–2012–MR]

Action: On September 15, 2022, the Board voted to enter into a settlement agreement with Poli Mortgage Group ("Poli") that included a civil money penalty of \$15,245. The settlement did not constitute an admission of liability or fault.

Cause: The Board took this action based on the following alleged violations of FHA requirements: Poli (a) failed to timely notify FHA of a state sanction in its fiscal year 2020; and (b) submitted to FHA a false certification concerning its fiscal year 2020.

34. ReNew Lending, Inc., Reno, NV [Docket No. 21–2254–MR]

Action: On June 16, 2022, the Board voted to enter into a settlement agreement with Renew Lending, Inc. ("ReNew") that included a civil money penalty of \$10,000. The settlement did not constitute an admission of liability or fault.

Cause: The Board took this action based on the following alleged violations of FHA requirements: ReNew (a) failed to maintain the minimum required liquid assets in its fiscal year 2020; and (b) failed to timely notify FHA of a liquid assets deficiency in its fiscal year 2020.

35. Residential Acceptance Corporation, L.L.C., Tampa, FL [Docket No. 21–2198– MR]

Action: On June 16, 2022, the Board voted to enter into a settlement agreement with Residential Acceptance Corporation, L.L.C. ("Residential Acceptance") that included a civil

money penalty of \$30,490 and indemnification of one loan. The settlement did not constitute an admission of liability or fault.

Cause: The Board took this action based on the following alleged violations of FHA requirements: Residential Acceptance (a) failed to properly validate assets and resolve conflicting information during underwriting; (b) failed to meet FHA requirements in documenting gift funds; and (c) failed to timely notify FHA of two state sanctions in its fiscal year 2021.

36. Residential Mortgage Funding Inc., Orange, CA [Docket No. 22–2003–MR]

Action: On February 24, 2022, the Board voted to enter into a settlement agreement with Residential Mortgage Funding, Inc. ("Residential Mortgage") that included a civil money penalty of \$15,245. The settlement did not constitute an admission of liability or fault.

Cause: The Board took this action based on the following alleged violations of FHA requirements: Residential Mortgage (a) failed to timely notify FHA of a state sanction in its fiscal year 2020; and (b) submitted to FHA a false certification concerning its fiscal year 2020.

37. Ruoff Mortgage Company Inc., Fort Wayne, IN [Docket No. 21–2183–MR]

Action: On December 7, 2021, the Board voted to enter into a settlement agreement with Ruoff Mortgage Company Inc. ("Ruoff") that included a civil money penalty of \$5,000. The settlement did not constitute an admission of liability or fault.

Cause: The Board took this action based on the following alleged violation of FHA requirements: Ruoff failed to timely notify FHA of a state sanction in its fiscal year 2020.

38. Rushmore Loan Management Services, L.L.C., Dallas, TX [Docket No. 22–2010–MR]

Action: On June 16, 2022, the Board voted to enter into a settlement agreement with Rushmore Loan Management Services ("Rushmore") that included a civil money penalty of \$5,000. The settlement did not constitute an admission of liability or fault.

Cause: The Board took this action based on the following alleged violation of FHA requirements: Rushmore failed to timely notify FHA of a state sanction in its fiscal year 2020. 39. Sente Mortgage Inc., Austin, TX [Docket No. 22–2022–MR]

Action: On June 16, 2022, the Board voted to enter into a settlement agreement with Sente Mortgage, Inc. ("Sente") that included a civil money penalty of \$15,366. The settlement did not constitute an admission of liability or fault.

Cause: The Board took this action based on the following alleged violation of FHA requirements: Sente (a) failed to timely notify FHA in its fiscal year 2021 of a state sanction; and (b) submitted to FHA a false certification concerning its fiscal year 2021.

40. ServiceMac L.L.C., Fort Mill, SC [Docket No. 21–2203–MR]

Action: On December 7, 2021, the Board voted to enter into a settlement agreement with ServiceMac, L.L.C. ("ServiceMac") that included a civil money penalty of \$20,000. The settlement did not constitute an admission of liability or fault.

Cause: The Board took this action based on the following alleged violations of FHA requirements:
ServiceMac (a) failed on four occasions to timely notify FHA of an operating loss in a fiscal quarter that exceeded 20 percent of its net worth in its fiscal year 2019; and (b) failed to file the required quarterly financial statements subsequent to an operating loss exceeding 20 percent of its quarter-end net worth in its fiscal year.

41. SouthPoint Financial Services, Inc., Alpharetta, GA [Docket No. 22–2021– MRI

Action: On June 16, 2022, the Board voted to enter into a settlement agreement with SouthPoint Financial Services, Inc. ("SouthPoint") that included a civil money penalty of \$10,067 and execution of one 5-year indemnification agreement. The settlement did not constitute an admission of liability or fault.

Cause: The Board took this action based on the following alleged violation of FHA requirements: Southpoint failed to adequately document the transfer of gift funds for an FHA insured loan.

42. Statewide Funding Inc., Ontario, CA [Docket No. 21–2215–MR]

Action: On September 15, 2022, the Board voted to enter into a settlement agreement with Statewide Funding, Inc. ("Statewide") that included a civil money penalty of \$25,366 and Statewide's submission of quarterly financial statements to FHA for one year. The settlement did not constitute an admission of liability or fault.

Cause: The Board took this action based on the following alleged violations of FHA requirements: Statewide (a) failed to maintain in its fiscal years 2019, 2020, and 2021 the minimum required adjusted net worth; and (b) failed to timely notify FHA of its adjusted net worth deficiency in its fiscal year 2020.

43. Sunmark Credit Union, Latham, NY [Docket No.21–2200–MR]

Action: On December 7, 2021, the Board voted to enter into a settlement agreement with Sunmark Credit Union ("Sunmark") that included a civil money penalty of \$10,000. The settlement did not constitute an admission of liability or fault.

Cause: The Board took this action based on the following alleged violation of FHA requirements: Sunmark failed to timely notify FHA of two changes in its business structure (in, respectively, December 2018 and May 2019) involving Sunmark and two non-FHA approved credit unions.

44. Sutherland Mortgage Services Inc., Sugar Land, TX [Docket No. 21–2247– MRI

Action: On June 16, 2022, the Board voted to enter into a settlement agreement with Sutherland Mortgage Services Inc. ("Sutherland") that included a civil money penalty of \$10,000. The settlement did not constitute an admission of liability or fault.

Cause: The Board took this action based on the following alleged violation of FHA requirements: Sutherland (a) failed to maintain the minimum required adjusted net worth for its fiscal year 2020; and (b) failed to timely notify FHA of an adjusted net worth deficiency in its fiscal year 2020.

45. United Security Financial Corp., Murray, UT [Docket No. 21–2207–MR]

Action: On December 7, 2021, the Board voted to enter into a settlement agreement with United Security Financial Corp. ("United Security") that included a civil money penalty of \$5,000. The settlement did not constitute an admission of liability or fault.

Cause: The Board took this action based on the following alleged violation of FHA requirements: United Security failed to timely notify FHA of a state sanction in its fiscal year 2020.

46. US Direct Lender, La Canada Flintridge, CA [Docket No. 21–2143–MR]

Action: On June 16, 2022, the Board voted to enter into a settlement agreement with US Direct Lender ("US

Direct'') that included a civil money penalty of \$25,490. The settlement did not constitute an admission of liability or fault.

Cause: The Board took this action based on the following alleged violations of FHA requirements: US Direct (a) falsely certified in its application for FHA approval that it had not been subject to any regulatory actions; (b) failed to timely notify FHA of a state sanction in its fiscal year 2020; and (c) submitted to FHA a false certification concerning its fiscal year 2020.

47. Watermark Capital, Inc., Irvine, CA [Docket No. 22–2034–MR]

Action: On September 15, 2022, the Board voted to enter into a settlement agreement with Watermark Capital, Inc. ("Watermark") that included a civil money penalty of \$5,000. The settlement did not constitute an admission of liability or fault.

Cause: The Board took this action based on the following alleged violation of FHA requirements: Watermark failed to timely notify FHA of a state sanction in its fiscal year 2021.

48. Western Ohio Mortgage Corporation, Sidney, OH [Docket No. 21–2248–MR]

Action: On February 24, 2022, the Board voted to enter into a settlement agreement with Western Ohio Mortgage Corporation ("Western Ohio") that included a civil money penalty of \$15,245. The settlement did not constitute an admission of liability or fault.

Cause: The Board took this action based on the following alleged violation of FHA requirements: Western Ohio (a) failed to timely notify FHA of a state sanction in its fiscal year 2020; and (b) submitted to FHA a false certification concerning its fiscal year 2020.

49. Wyndham Capital Mortgage, Inc., Charlotte, NC [Docket No. 21–2204–MR]

Action: On December 7, 2021, the Board voted to enter into a settlement agreement with Wyndham Capital Mortgage, Inc. ("Wyndham") that included a civil money penalty of \$5,000. The settlement did not constitute an admission of liability or fault.

Cause: The Board took this action based on the following alleged violation of FHA requirements: Wyndham failed to timely notify FHA of a state sanction in its fiscal year 2020.

# II. Lenders That Failed To Timely Meet Requirements for Annual Recertification of FHA Approval but Came Into Compliance.

Action: The Board entered into settlement agreements with the following lenders, which required the lender to pay a civil money penalty without admitting fault or liability.

Cause: The Board took these actions based upon allegations that the listed lenders failed to comply with FHA's annual recertification requirements in a timely manner.

The following lenders paid civil money penalties of \$10,366:

- 1. Home Financing Center, Inc., Coral Gables, FL [Docket No. 22–2057– MRT]
- 2. Magnolia Bank, Magnolia, KY [Docket No. 22–2032–MRT]
- 3. Obsidian Financial Services, Inc., Melbourne, FL [Docket No. 22– 2043–MRT]
- 4. Republic First Bank d/b/a Republic Bank, Philadelphia, PA [Docket No. 22–2063–MRT]

The following lender paid civil money penalties of \$10,245:

Industrial Bank NA, Washington, DC [Docket No. 21–2230–MRT]

The following lenders paid civil money penalties of \$5,000.

- A Plus Mortgage Services Inc., Muskego, WI [Docket No. 22–2044– MRT]
- 2. Accunet Mortgage L.L.C., Waukesha, WI [Docket No. 22–2046–MRT]
- 3. Advantis Credit Union, Milwaukie, OR [Docket No. 22–2031–MRT]
- 4. Augusta Financial Inc., Santa Clarita, CA [Docket No. 22–2053–MRT]
- 5. Bank, Wapello, IA [Docket No. 22– 2033–MRT]
- GenHome Mortgage Corporation f/k/a Beckam Funding Corp., Irvine, CA [Docket No. 21–2237–MRT]
- 7. Devon Bank, Chicago, Il [Docket No. 22–2016–MRT]
- 8. First Service Credit Union, Houston, TX [Docket No. 22–2030–MRT]
- 9. Forbright Bank, Chevy Chase, MD [Docket No. 22–2039–MRT]
- 10. Statebridge Company, L.L.C., Greenwood Village, CO [Docket No. 22–2050–MRT]
- 11. Verve, a Credit Union, Oshkosh, WI [Docket No. 22–2042–MRT]

# Julia R. Gordon,

Assistant Secretary for Housing—Federal Housing Administration, Mortgagee Review Board, Chairperson.

[FR Doc. 2023–05978 Filed 3–22–23; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4210-67-P

### **DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR**

### Fish and Wildlife Service

[Docket No. FWS-R4-ES-2023-0028; FXES11130400000-223-FF04EF4000]

Receipt of Incidental Take Permit Application and Proposed Habitat Conservation Plan for the Sand Skink and Blue-Tailed Mole Skink; Polk County, FL; Categorical Exclusion

**AGENCY:** Fish and Wildlife Service, Interior.

**ACTION:** Notice of availability; request for comments and information.

SUMMARY: We, the Fish and Wildlife Service (Service), announce receipt of an application from Luxer Development, LLC (applicant) for an incidental take permit (ITP) under the Endangered Species Act. The applicant requests the ITP to take the federally threatened sand skink (Plestiodon reynoldsi) and the federally threatened blue-tailed moleskink (Eumeces egregius lividus) incidental to the construction of a residential development in Polk County, Florida. We request public comment on the application, which includes the applicant's proposed habitat conservation plan (HCP), and on the Service's preliminary determination that the proposed permitting action may be eligible for a categorical exclusion pursuant to the Council on Environmental Quality's National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) regulations, the Department of the Interior's (DOI) NEPA regulations, and the DOI Departmental Manual. To make this preliminary determination, we prepared a draft environmental action statement and low-effect screening form, both of which are also available for public review. We invite comment from the public and local, State, Tribal, and Federal agencies.

**DATES:** We must receive your written comments on or before April 24, 2023. **ADDRESSES:** 

Obtaining Documents: You may obtain copies of the documents online in Docket No. FWS-R4-ES-2023-0028 at https://www.regulations.gov.

Submitting Comments: If you wish to submit comments on any of the documents, you may do so in writing by one of the following methods:

• Online: https:// www.regulations.gov. Follow the instructions for submitting comments on Docket No. FWS-R4-ES-2023-0028.

• *U.S. mail:* Public Comments Processing, Attn: Docket No. FWS–R4– ES–2023–0028; U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, MS: PRB/3W, 5275 Leesburg Pike, Falls Church, VA 22041–3803.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Alfredo Begazo, by U.S. mail (see ADDRESSES), via telephone at 772–469–4234 or by email at alfredo begazo@ fws.gov. Individuals in the United States who are deaf, deafblind, hard of hearing, or have a speech disability may dial 711 (TTY, TDD, or TeleBraille) to access telecommunications relay services. Individuals outside the United States should use the relay services offered within their country to make international calls to the point-of-contact in the United States.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: We, the Fish and Wildlife Service (Service), announce receipt of an application from Luxer Development, LLC (applicant) for an incidental take permit (ITP) under the Endangered Species Act of 1973, as amended (ESA; 16 U.S.C. 1531 et seq.). The applicant requests the ITP to take the federally listed sand skink (Plestiodon reynoldsi) and blue-tailed mole-skink (Eumeces egregius lividus) (skinks) incidental to the construction and use of a residential development in Polk County, Florida. We request public comment on the application, which includes the applicant's habitat conservation plan (HCP), and on the Service's preliminary determination that this proposed ITP qualifies as "low effect," and may qualify for a categorical exclusion pursuant to the Council on Environmental Quality's National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) regulations (40 CFR 1501.4), the Department of the Interior's (DOI) NEPA regulations (43 CFR 46), and the DOI's Departmental Manual (516 DM 8.5(C)(2)). To make this preliminary determination, we prepared a draft environmental action statement and low-effect screening form, both of which are also available for public review.

### **Proposed Project**

The applicant requests a 5-year ITP to take the two skink species via the conversion of approximately 13.69 acres (ac) of occupied nesting, foraging, and sheltering skink habitat incidental to the construction and use of a residential development on a 114.35-ac parcel in Sections 32 and 33, Township 28 South, Range 28 East in Polk County, Florida. The applicant proposes to mitigate for take of the skinks by purchasing credits equivalent to 27.38 ac of skink-occupied habitat from a Service-approved conservation bank. The Service would require the applicant to purchase the credits prior to engaging in any construction of the project.

## **Public Availability of Comments**

Before including your address, phone number, email address, or other