

## INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337-TA-942]

### Certain Wireless Devices, Including Mobile Phones and Tablets III; Commission Determination To Affirm an Initial Determination Terminating the Investigation Based on a Settlement Agreement

**AGENCY:** U.S. International Trade Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined to affirm the presiding administrative law judge's initial determination ("ID") (Order No. 9) terminating the above-captioned investigation based on a settlement agreement.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Cathy Chen, Esq., Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205-2392. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server at <http://www.usitc.gov>. The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at <http://edis.usitc.gov>. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on (202) 205-1810.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The Commission instituted this investigation on December 30, 2014, based on a complaint filed by Pragmatix Mobile, LLC of Alexandria, Virginia ("Pragmatix"). 79 FR 78478 (Dec. 30, 2014). The complaint alleged violations of Section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337, in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain wireless devices, including mobile phones and tablets, by reason of infringement of certain claims of U.S. Patent No. 8,466,795. The notice of investigation named ASUSTek Computer, Inc. of Taipei, Taiwan; ASUS Computer International, Inc. of Fremont, California; and ASUS Technology Pte.

Ltd. of Singapore (collectively "ASUS") as respondents. The Office of Unfair Import Investigations is not a party to this investigation.

On May 13, 2015, Pragmatix and ASUS jointly filed a motion to terminate the investigation based on a settlement agreement. Pragmatix and ASUS filed public and confidential versions of the motion to terminate and the settlement agreement.

On May 14, 2015, the ALJ issued the subject ID granting the motion to terminate. The ID stated that the settlement agreement fully resolves all claims that Pragmatix has asserted against ASUS in this investigation. The ALJ found that termination of this investigation is in the public interest.

On June 15, 2015, the Commission determined to review the ID because the public version of the settlement agreement did not comply with Commission Rules 210.21(b)(1) and 201.6. The Commission requested the parties to file a revised public version of their settlement agreement.

On June 29, 2015, the parties submitted a revised public version of their settlement agreement that complies with the Commission rules. Accordingly, the Commission has determined to terminate the investigation in its entirety.

The authority for the Commission's determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in Part 210 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR part 210).

By order of the Commission.

Issued: July 13, 2015.

**Lisa R. Barton,**

*Secretary to the Commission.*

[FR Doc. 2015-17539 Filed 7-16-15; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7020-02-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

### Antitrust Division

#### Notice Pursuant to the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993—UHD Alliance, Inc.

Notice is hereby given that, on June 17, 2015, pursuant to section 6(a) of the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993, 15 U.S.C. 4301 *et seq.* ("the Act"), UHD Alliance, Inc. ("UHD Alliance") has filed written notifications simultaneously with the Attorney General and the Federal Trade Commission disclosing (1) the identities of the parties to the venture and (2) the nature and objectives of the venture.

The notifications were filed for the purpose of invoking the Act's provisions limiting the recovery of antitrust plaintiffs to actual damages under specified circumstances.

Pursuant to section 6(b) of the Act, the identities of the parties to the venture are: The DIRECTV Group, Inc., El Segundo, CA; Walt Disney Pictures, Burbank, CA; Dolby Laboratories Inc., San Francisco, CA; Twentieth Century Fox Film Corporation, Beverly Hills, CA; Netflix, Inc., Los Gatos, CA; Panasonic Corporation, Osaka, JAPAN; Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd., Gyeonggi-do, REPUBLIC OF KOREA; Sony Corporation, Tokyo, JAPAN; Technicolor SA, Cedex, FRANCE; Warner Bros. Entertainment Inc., Burbank, CA; LG Electronics Inc., Seoul, REPUBLIC OF KOREA; DTS, Inc., Calabasas, CA; Universal Pictures, a division of Universal City Studios LLC, Universal City, CA; Mstar Semiconductor, Inc., Chupei, Hsinchu Hsein, TAIWAN; NVIDIA Corporation, Santa Clara, CA; ARRI, Inc., Burbank, CA; Nanosys Inc., Milpitas, CA; MediaTek Inc., Hsinchu, TAIWAN; TP Vision Europe B.V., Amsterdam, NETHERLANDS; Amazon.com, Seattle, WA; Toshiba Lifestyle Products & Services Corporation, Tokyo, JAPAN; Realtek Semiconductor Corp., Hsinchu Hsein, TAIWAN; and Intel Corporation, Folsom, CA. The general area of UHD Alliance's planned activity is to create a framework to enable the global industries interested in premium next generation content related technologies, such as Ultra High Definition, High Dynamic Range, Wide Color Gamut, High Frame Rate and Next Gen Audio ("Premium Next Gen Content") to (a) specify and develop requirements for the premium quality Premium Next Gen Content, related devices, distribution and other elements of a UHD Alliance-based ecosystem ("Specifications"); (b) promote the global development and adoption of Specifications and Specification-compliant products (*i.e.*, content, devices and services); (c) provide clear definitions, industry guidelines and best practices on emerging technologies and collaborate with other standards development organizations; (d) develop and administer Premium Next Gen Content testing methodologies and certification programs based on the Specifications; (e) establish a logo program for Specification certified products (*i.e.*, content devices and services); and (f)

promote the UHD Alliance brand and ecosystem to consumers.

**Patricia A. Brink,**

*Director of Civil Enforcement, Antitrust Division.*

[FR Doc. 2015–17544 Filed 7–16–15; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE P**

## DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

### Antitrust Division

#### Notice Pursuant to the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993—Advanced Media Workflow Association, Inc.

Notice is hereby given that, on June 19, 2015, pursuant to section 6(a) of the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993, 15 U.S.C. 4301 *et seq.* (“the Act”), Advanced Media Workflow Association, Inc. has filed written notifications simultaneously with the Attorney General and the Federal Trade Commission disclosing changes in its membership. The notifications were filed for the purpose of extending the Act’s provisions limiting the recovery of antitrust plaintiffs to actual damages under specified circumstances. Specifically, KMH Audio Visual Integration, Brooklyn, NY; Univision, Miami, FL; and Brooks Harris (individual member), New York, NY, have been added as parties to this venture.

Also, Levels Beyond, Inc., Denver, CO, has withdrawn as a party to this venture.

No other changes have been made in either the membership or planned activity of the group research project. Membership in this group research project remains open, and Advanced Media Workflow Association, Inc. intends to file additional written notifications disclosing all changes in membership.

On March 28, 2000, Advanced Media Workflow Association, Inc. filed its original notification pursuant to section 6(a) of the Act. The Department of Justice published a notice in the **Federal Register** pursuant to section 6(b) of the Act on June 29, 2000 (65 FR 40127).

The last notification was filed with the Department on March 31, 2015. A notice was published in the **Federal Register** pursuant to section 6(b) of the Act on May 7, 2015 (80 FR 26298).

**Patricia A. Brink,**

*Director of Civil Enforcement, Antitrust Division.*

[FR Doc. 2015–17546 Filed 7–16–15; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE P**

## DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

### Antitrust Division

#### Notice Pursuant to the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993—Open Platform for NFV Project, Inc.

Notice is hereby given that, on June 22, 2015, pursuant to section 6(a) of the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993, 15 U.S.C. 4301 *et seq.* (“the Act”), Open Platform for NFV Project, Inc. (“Open Platform for NFV Project”) has filed written notifications simultaneously with the Attorney General and the Federal Trade Commission disclosing changes in its membership. The notifications were filed for the purpose of extending the Act’s provisions limiting the recovery of antitrust plaintiffs to actual damages under specified circumstances. Specifically, Altera Corporation, San Jose, CA; Brain4Net, Inc., Cambridge, MA; EMC Corporation, Santa Clara, CA; and VMware, Inc., Palo Alto, CA, have been added as parties to this venture.

No other changes have been made in either the membership or planned activity of the group research project. Membership in this group research project remains open, and Open Platform for NFV Project intends to file additional written notifications disclosing all changes in membership.

On October 17, 2014, Open Platform for NFV Project filed its original notification pursuant to section 6(a) of the Act. The Department of Justice published a notice in the **Federal Register** pursuant to section 6(b) of the Act on November 14, 2014 (79 FR 68301).

The last notification was filed with the Department on April 2, 2015. A notice was published in the **Federal Register** pursuant to section 6(b) of the Act on April 30, 2015 (80 FR 24279).

**Patricia A. Brink,**

*Director of Civil Enforcement, Antitrust Division.*

[FR Doc. 2015–17547 Filed 7–16–15; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4410–11–P**

## DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

### Antitrust Division

#### Notice Pursuant to the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993—Sematech, Inc. d/b/a International Sematech

Notice is hereby given that, on June 23, 2015, pursuant to section 6(a) of the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993, 15 U.S.C. 4301

*et seq.* (“the Act”), Sematech, Inc. d/b/a International Sematech (“SEMATECH”) has filed written notifications simultaneously with the Attorney General and the Federal Trade Commission disclosing changes in its membership. The notifications were filed for the purpose of extending the Act’s provisions limiting the recovery of antitrust plaintiffs to actual damages under specified circumstances. Specifically, Ebara Corporation, Tokyo, JAPAN; Freescale Semiconductor, Inc., Austin, TX; and Exogenesis Corporation, Bellerica, MA, have been added as parties to this venture.

Also, United Microelectronics Corporation (UMC), Hsinchu, TAIWAN; Renesas Electronics Corporation, Santa Clara, CA; Qualcomm Technologies, Inc., San Diego, CA; Particle Measuring Systems, Boulder, CO; JSR Corporation, Tokyo, JAPAN; Seagate Technologies, LLC, Cupertino, CA; Invensas, San Jose, CA; ON Semiconductor, Phoenix, AZ; LSI Corporation, Milpitas, CA; and Silvaco, Inc., Santa Clara, CA, have withdrawn as parties to this venture.

No other changes have been made in either the membership or planned activity of the group research project. Membership in this group research project remains open, and SEMATECH intends to file additional written notifications disclosing all changes in membership.

On April 22, 1988, SEMATECH filed its original notification pursuant to section 6(a) of the Act. The Department of Justice published a notice in the **Federal Register** pursuant to section 6(b) of the Act on May 19, 1988 (53 FR 17987).

The last notification was filed with the Department on March 31, 2015. A notice was published in the **Federal Register** pursuant to section 6(b) of the Act on April 30, 2015 (80 FR 24277).

**Patricia A. Brink,**

*Director of Civil Enforcement, Antitrust Division.*

[FR Doc. 2015–17548 Filed 7–16–15; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE P**

## DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

### Antitrust Division

#### Notice Pursuant to the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993; Network Centric Operations Industry Consortium, Inc.

Notice is hereby given that, on June 17, 2015, pursuant to section 6(a) of the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993, 15 U.S.C. 4301 *et seq.* (“the Act”), Network Centric