

Pub. L. 106–36; 80 Stat. 897; 15 CFR part 301). Related records can be viewed between 8:30 a.m. and 5:00 p.m. in Room 3720, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th and Constitution Ave., NW., Washington, D.C.

Docket Number: 12–024. **Applicant:** Department of Mechanical Engineering, Texas A&M University, College Station, TX 77843–3123. **Instrument:** Arc melting system. **Manufacturer:** Edmund Beuhler GmbH, Germany. **Intended Use:** See notice at 77 FR 32942, June 4, 2012. **Comments:** None received. **Decision:** Approved. We know of no instruments of equivalent scientific value to the foreign instruments described below, for such purposes as this is intended to be used, that was being manufactured in the United States at the time of its order. **Reasons:** The unique features of this instrument include the capability of suction casting and ceramic powder feed-through for the addition of oxide nanoparticles during the melting of metals. Suction casting is required to achieve nanocrystalline grains, and ceramic powder feed-through will be used to mix ceramic powders with melted metals to achieve metal based nanocomposites.

Dated: June 29, 2012.

Gregory W. Campbell,
Director, Subsidies Enforcement Office,
Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 2012–16582 Filed 7–5–12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

University of Connecticut, et al.; Notice of Consolidated Decision on Applications for Duty-Free Entry of Electron Microscope

This is a decision consolidated pursuant to Section 6(c) of the Educational, Scientific, and Cultural Materials Importation Act of 1966 (Pub. L. 89–651, as amended by Pub. L. 106–36; 80 Stat. 897; 15 CFR part 301). Related records can be viewed between 8:30 a.m. and 5:00 p.m. in Room 3720, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC.

Docket Number: 12–022. **Applicant:** University of Connecticut, Storrs, CT 06269. **Instrument:** Electron Microscope. **Manufacturer:** FEI Company, Czech Republic. **Intended Use:** See notice at 77 FR 32943, June 4, 2012.

Docket Number: 12–023. **Applicant:** Howard Hughes Medical Institute, Chevy Chase, MD 20815. **Instrument:** Electron Microscope. **Manufacturer:** FEI

Company, the Netherlands. **Intended Use:** See notice at 77 FR 32943, June 4, 2012. **Comments:** None received. **Decision:** Approved. No instrument of equivalent scientific value to the foreign instrument, for such purposes as this instrument is intended to be used, is being manufactured in the United States at the time the instrument was ordered. **Reasons:** Each foreign instrument is an electron microscope and is intended for research or scientific educational uses requiring an electron microscope. We know of no electron microscope, or any other instrument suited to these purposes, which was being manufactured in the United States at the time of order of each instrument.

Dated: June 29, 2012.

Gregory W. Campbell,
Director, Subsidies Enforcement Office,
Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 2012–16585 Filed 7–5–12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A–570–803]

Heavy Forged Hand Tools, Finished or Unfinished, With or Without Handles From the People's Republic of China: Notice of Court Decision Not in Harmony and Notice of Amended Final Results

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: On June 14, 2012, the United States Court of International Trade (the Court) issued final judgment in *Tianjin Machinery Imp. & Exp. Corp. and Shandong Huarong Machinery Co., Ltd., v. United States*, sustaining the Department of Commerce's (the Department) *Second Remand Results*.¹ Consistent with the decision of the United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit (Federal Circuit) in *Timken Co., v. United States*, 893 F.2d 337 (Fed. Cir. 1990) (*Timken*), as clarified by *Diamond Sawblades Mfrs. Coalition v. United States*, 626 F.3d 1374 (Fed. Cir. 2010) (*Diamond Sawblades*), the Department is notifying the public that the final judgment in this case is not in harmony with the

Department's final results and is amending the final results of the antidumping duty review on heavy forged hand tools, finished or unfinished, with or without handles from the People's Republic of China (PRC) with respect to the margins assigned to Shandong Huarong Machinery Co., Ltd. (Huarong) and Tianjin Machinery Import & Export Co.'s (TMC) covering the period February 1, 2003 through January 30, 2004.²

DATES: *Effective Date:* June 25, 2012.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Matthew Renkey, AD/CVD Operations, Office 9, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–2312.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Department published the *Final Results* on September 19, 2005. On August 28, 2007, the Court remanded the *Final Results*, and instructed the Department to either explain or reconsider its determination of the adverse facts available (AFA) rate applied to TMC's and Huarong's sales of bars/wedges, and the AFA rate applied to TMC's sales of picks/mattocks.³ On March 11, 2008, the Department filed its *First Remand Results* pursuant to the Court's August 28, 2007 order.⁴ On January 4, 2011, the Court sustained in part, and remanded, in part, the Department's *First Remand Results*. Specifically, the Court remanded the AFA rates applied to Huarong's bars/wedges, and to TMC's pick/mattocks. On May 4, 2011, the Department filed the *Second Remand Results*, in which the Department recalculated the AFA rates applied to Huarong and TMC. As a result, the Department revised the antidumping margin for Huarong's sales of bars/wedges to 47.88 percent, and revised the antidumping margin for TMC's sales of picks/mattocks to 32.15 percent. On

² See *Heavy Forged Hand Tools, Finished or Unfinished, With or Without Handles, From the People's Republic of China: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Reviews and Final Rescission and Partial Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Reviews*, 70 FR 54897 (September 19, 2005) ("Final Results").

³ See *Tianjin Machinery Import & Export Corp and Shandong Huarong Machinery Co., Ltd. v. United States*, Court No. 05–00522, Slip Op. 07–131 (August 28, 2007).

⁴ *Final Results of Redetermination Pursuant to Tianjin Machinery Import & Export Corp. ("TMC") and Shandong Huarong Machinery Co., Ltd. ("Huarong") v. United States and Ames True Temper*, Consol. Court No. 05–00522, Slip Op. 07–131 (August 28, 2007), March 11, 2008 ("First Remand Results").

¹ See *Final Results of Redetermination Pursuant to Tianjin Machinery Imp. & Exp. Corp. and Shandong Huarong Machinery Co., Ltd., v. United States*, Consol. Court No. 05–00522, (January 4, 2011), May 4, 2011. (*Second Remand Results*) see also *Tianjin Machinery Imp. & Exp. Corp. and Shandong Huarong Machinery Co., Ltd., v. United States*, Consol. Court No. 05–00522, Slip Op. 12–83 (June 14, 2012) (*Tianjin v. United States*).