

green jobs and published its final definition of green jobs in the September 21, 2010, **Federal Register** (75 FR 57506). The measurement approach includes two surveys: One on jobs related to producing green goods and services, and one on jobs related to using environmentally friendly production processes and practices.

The latter approach will be accomplished through a special employer survey. This information collection request is for the Green Technologies and Practices (GTP) Survey. This survey includes collecting the current employment for the establishment; collecting information on the use of environmentally friendly production processes within the establishment; and collecting the number, occupation, and wages paid to employees of the establishment performing environmentally friendly activities.

## II. Current Action

Office of Management and Budget clearance is being sought for a reinstatement of the "BLS Green Technologies and Practices Survey." The goal of BLS and its OES program is

to produce economic statistics on employment related to the use of environmentally friendly technologies and practices across the U.S. economy. Using its business establishment register, the OES program intends to survey establishments about these green activities and the associated employment. The survey will identify employers performing green activities, determine whether they have any employees performing tasks associated with these activities, gather information to classify those employees according to the Standard Occupational Classification (SOC) system, and collect wage rate information.

## III. Desired Focus of Comments

The Bureau of Labor Statistics is particularly interested in comments that:

- Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility.
- Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information,

including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used.

- Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected.
- Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submissions of responses.

*Type of Review:* Reinstatement.

*Agency:* Bureau of Labor Statistics.

*Title:* BLS Green Technologies and Practices Survey.

*OMB Number:* 1220-0184.

*Affected Public:* Private sector businesses or other for-profits and not-for-profit institutions; small businesses or organizations; Federal, State, and local governments.

*Total Respondents:* 26,251.

*Frequency:* One time.

*Total Responses:* 26,251.

*Average Time per Response:* 30 minutes.

*Estimated Total Burden Hours:*

GTP: FISCAL YEAR 2012

	Responses	Average response time (minutes)	Total response time (hours)
Private sector establishments .....	22,103	30	11,052
Local government establishments .....	2,738	30	1,369
State government establishments .....	870	30	435
Federal government establishments .....	540	30	270
Total .....	26,251	.....	13,126

*Total Burden Cost (capital/startup):* \$0.

*Total Burden Cost (operating/maintenance):* \$0.

Comments submitted in response to this notice will be summarized and/or included in the request for Office of Management and Budget approval of the information collection request; they also will become a matter of public record.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 4th day of May 2012.

**Kimberley Hill,**

*Chief, Division of Management Systems,  
Bureau of Labor Statistics.*

[FR Doc. 2012-11348 Filed 5-10-12; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4510-24-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

### Bureau of Labor Statistics

#### Proposed Collection; Comment Request

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Labor, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent burden, conducts a pre-clearance consultation program to provide the general public and Federal agencies with an opportunity to comment on proposed and/or continuing collections of information in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (PRA95) [44 U.S.C. 3506(c)(2)(A)]. This program helps to ensure that requested data can be provided in the desired format, reporting burden (time and financial resources) is minimized,

collection instruments are clearly understood, and the impact of collection requirements on respondents can be properly assessed. The Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS) is soliciting comments concerning the proposed extension of the "International Price Program—U.S. Import and Export Product Information." A copy of the proposed information collection request (ICR) can be obtained by contacting the individual listed below in the Addresses section of this notice.

**DATES:** Written comments must be submitted to the office listed in the Addresses section of this notice on or before July 10, 2012.

**ADDRESSES:** Send comments to Nora Kincaid, BLS Clearance Officer, Division of Management Systems, Bureau of Labor Statistics, Room 4080, 2 Massachusetts Avenue NE., Washington, DC 20212. Written

comments also may be transmitted by fax to 202–691–5111 (this is not a toll free number).

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**  
Nora Kincaid, BLS Clearance Officer,  
202–691–7628 (this is not a toll free  
number). (See Addresses section.)

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

**I. Background**

The U.S. Import and Export Price Indexes, produced by the Bureau of Labor Statistics' International Price Program (IPP), measure price change over time for all categories of imported and exported products, as well as selected services. The IPP has produced the U.S. Import Price Indexes continuously since 1973 and the U.S. Export Price Indexes continuously since 1971. The Office of Management and Budget has listed the Import and Export Price Indexes as a Principal Federal Economic Indicator since 1982. The indexes are widely used in both the public and private sectors. The primary public sector use is the deflation of the U.S. monthly Trade Statistics and the quarterly estimates of U.S. Gross Domestic Product; the indexes also are used in formulating U.S. trade policy and in trade negotiations with other countries. In the private sector, uses of the Import Price Indexes include market analysis, inflation forecasting, contract escalation, and replacement cost accounting.

The IPP indexes are closely followed statistics, and are viewed as a sensitive indicator of the economic environment.

The U.S. Department of Commerce uses the monthly statistics to produce monthly and quarterly estimates of inflation-adjusted trade flows. Without continuation of data collection, it would be extremely difficult to construct accurate estimates of the U.S. Gross Domestic Product. In addition, Federal policymakers in the Department of Treasury, the Council of Economic Advisers, and the Federal Reserve Board utilize these statistics on a regular basis to improve these agencies' formulation and evaluation of monetary and fiscal policy and evaluation of the general business environment.

**II. Current Action**

Office of Management and Budget clearance is being sought for the U.S. Import and Export Price Indexes. The IPP continues to modernize data collection and processing to permit more timely release of its indexes, and to reduce reporter burden. The IPP has expanded the use of its web application, introduced in 2003 to allow respondents to update their data online and more rapidly than using a paper-based form. Through February 2012, 82 percent of IPP respondents were providing prices via the web application or had agreed to start using this repricing method. Field Economists currently offer web repricing to all new respondents and at initiation, it is the preferred method of collection offered to companies.

IPP has also implemented a better screening process for identifying out-of-scope trade, resulting in fewer out-of-

scope shipments identified during the initiation process.

**III. Desired Focus of Comments**

The Bureau of Labor Statistics is particularly interested in comments that:

- Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility.
- Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used.
- Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected.
- Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submissions of responses.

*Type of Review:* Extension without change of a currently approved collection.

*Agency:* Bureau of Labor Statistics.

*Title:* International Price Program (IPP) U.S. Import and Export Price Indexes.

*OMB Number:* 1220–0025.

*Affected Public:* Private Sector, Business or other for-profits.

Form	Total respondents	Frequency	Total responses	Average time per response (hours)	Estimated total burden (hours)
Form 3008 .....	.....	Annually .....	.....	.....	.....
Imports .....	1800		1800	1.0	1800
Exports .....	1200		1200	1.0	1200
Total .....	3000		3000		3,000
Form 3007D .....	.....	Monthly .....	.....	.....	.....
Imports .....	3300	<sup>1</sup> 8.2 .....	27060	<sup>2</sup> .4106	11111
Exports .....	2200	<sup>1</sup> 8.0 .....	17600	<sup>3</sup> .3771	6637
Total .....	5500		44660		17748
Totals .....	.....	.....	47660	.....	20748

<sup>1</sup> During initiation, the respondent determines how many months he/she will need to supply data in a given year based upon how often the company changes its pricing information. The average company is requested to supply information 8.0 months per year for exports and 8.2 months per year for imports.

<sup>2</sup> Time to reprice is based upon 5 minutes of response time per item × 4.927 items = 24.635 minutes/60 = .4106 hours.

<sup>3</sup> Time to reprice is based upon 5 minutes of response time per item × 4.525 items = 22.625 minutes/60 = .3771 hours.

*Total Burden Cost (capital/startup):* \$0.

*Total Burden Cost (operating/maintenance):* \$0.

Comments submitted in response to this notice will be summarized and/or included in the request for Office of Management and Budget approval of the information collection request; they also will become a matter of public record.

Signed at Washington, DC this 7th day of May 2012.

**Kimberley D. Hill,**

*Chief, Division of Management Systems,  
Bureau of Labor Statistics.*

[FR Doc. 2012-11400 Filed 5-10-12; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4510-24-P**

## LEGAL SERVICES CORPORATION

### Request for Comments—LSC Budget Request for FY 2014

**AGENCY:** Legal Services Corporation.

**ACTION:** Request for Comments—LSC Budget Request for FY 2014.

**SUMMARY:** The Legal Services Corporation is beginning the process of developing its FY 2014 budget request to Congress and is soliciting suggestions as to what the request should be.

**DATES:** Written comments will be accepted until 12 noon, Eastern Daylight Time, on June 7, 2012.

**ADDRESSES:** Written comments may be submitted by mail, fax or email to David L. Richardson, Treasurer, Legal Services Corporation, 3333 K Street NW., Washington DC 20007; 202-295-1510 (phone); 202-337-6834 (fax); [david.richardson@lsc.gov](mailto:david.richardson@lsc.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The mission of the Legal Services Corporation (“LSC” or “Corporation”) is to promote equal access to justice in our Nation and to provide for high-quality civil legal assistance to low-income persons. LSC submits an annual budget request directly to Congress and receives an annual direct appropriation to carry out its mission. For the current fiscal year, FY 2012, LSC received an appropriation of \$348,000,000, of which \$322,400,000 is for basic field programs and required independent audits; \$4,200,000 is for the Office of Inspector General; \$17,000,000 is for management and grants oversight; \$3,400,000 is for technology initiative grants; and \$1,000,000 is for loan repayment assistance. Public Law 112-55, 125 Stat. 629 (Nov. 18, 2011).

As part of its annual budget and appropriation process, LSC notifies the Office of Management and Budget (“OMB”) in September of each year as

to what the LSC budget request to Congress will be for the next fiscal year. Accordingly, LSC is currently in the process of formulating its FY 2014 budget request. The Finance Committee of the LSC Board of Directors will meet on June 11, 2012, to hear testimony and commence deliberations on what to recommend to the full Board for adoption as the Corporation’s FY 2014 budget request.

LSC invites public comment on what its FY 2014 budget request should be. Interested parties may submit comments to LSC by 12 noon, Eastern Daylight Time, on Thursday, June 7, 2012. More information about LSC may be found at [www.lsc.gov](http://www.lsc.gov).

Dated: May 7, 2012.

**Victor M. Fortuno,**

*Vice President & General Counsel.*

[FR Doc. 2012-11374 Filed 5-10-12; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7050-01-P**

## LEGAL SERVICES CORPORATION

### Notice of Proposed Revisions for the LSC Grant Assurances for Calendar Year 2013 Funding

**AGENCY:** Legal Services Corporation.

**ACTION:** Notice of proposed changes and request for comments.

**SUMMARY:** The Legal Services Corporation (“LSC”) intends to revise the LSC Grant Assurances for calendar year 2013 funding since the last publication of the LSC Grant Assurances for 2012 funding and is soliciting public comment on the proposed changes. The proposed revisions affect Grant Assurances 1, 5, 14(b), 14(c), 19(d), and 21. The revisions clarify existing grant assurances to make the provisions easier to understand. The proposed LSC grant assurances for calendar year 2013 funding, in redline format indicating the proposed changes to the current “LSC 2012 Grant Assurances,” are at <http://grants.lsc.gov/sites/default/files/Grants/ReferenceMaterials/2013-Grant-Assurances-Proposed.pdf>.

**DATES:** All comments and recommendations must be received on or before the close of business on June 11, 2012.

**ADDRESSES:** There are four options for sending comments to LSC: (1) Email comments to [LSCGrantAssurances@lsc.gov](mailto:LSCGrantAssurances@lsc.gov) (this is the preferred option); (2) post comments at <http://www.lsc.gov/contact-us>; (3) mail comments to: Legal Services Corporation, 3333 K Street NW., Third Floor, Washington, DC 20007, Attention: Reginald Haley; and (4) fax comments to 202.337.6813.

### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Reginald J. Haley; Office of Program Performance; Legal Services Corporation; at [haley@lsc.gov](mailto:haley@lsc.gov) or (202) 295-1545.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The purpose of the LSC grant assurances is to delineate the rights and responsibilities of LSC and the recipient pursuant to the provisions of the grant. As a grant making agency created by Congress, LSC has grant assurances that are intended to reiterate and/or clarify the responsibilities and obligations already applicable through existing law and regulations and/or obligate the recipient to comply with specific additional requirements in order to effectuate the purposes of the Legal Services Corporation Act, as amended, and other applicable law. A summary of the changes proposed follows.

Grant Assurance #1 notifies LSC recipients of applicable laws, rules, regulations, policies, guidelines, instructions, and other directives of LSC related to the grant. The proposed change to the grant assurance incorporates the full title of the LSC CSR Handbook.

Grant Assurance #5 informs LSC recipients of financial audit requirements and sanctions that may be imposed for failure to have an audit performed in accordance with LSC’s Office of Inspector General audit guidance. The proposed change is the replacement of the word “required” with the word “specified,” to make the language more accurate.

Grant Assurance #14(b) requires LSC recipients to inform LSC of a change in the recipient’s board chairperson. The proposed change specifies that LSC recipients must provide the name and contact information of the new board chairperson.

Grant Assurance #14(c) requires LSC recipients to inform LSC of a change in the recipient’s chief executive officer (CEO). The proposed change specifies that LSC recipients must provide the name and contact information of the new CEO.

Grant Assurance #19(d) notifies LSC recipients of guidelines for planning the orderly conclusion of its role and responsibility as an LSC grantee. The proposed change updates the Web site address used to access the LSC guidelines.

Grant Assurance #21 notifies LSC recipients about the use of the LSC logo. The proposed change updates the Web site address used to access the LSC logo.

The proposed LSC grant assurances for calendar year 2013 funding, in redline format indicating the proposed