# CONSUMER PRODUCT SAFETY COMMISSION

### 16 CFR Part 1700

Household Products Containing Hydrocarbons; Extension of Comment Period on Notice of Data Availability

**AGENCY:** Consumer Product Safety Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice of data availability; extension of comment period.

SUMMARY: In the Federal Register of April 11, 2001, 66 FR 18738, the Consumer Product Safety Commission ("CPSC" or "Commission") invited comment on: An analysis conducted by CPSC staff on brand name-specific data on exposure to possible hydrocarboncontaining cosmetics; and an additional staff analysis of data available when the Commission issued a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking ("NPR") proposing child-resistant packaging requirements for household chemical and cosmetic products with viscosity less than 100 Saybolt Universal Seconds ("SUS") containing 10 percent or more hydrocarbons, 65 FR 93 (January 3, 2000). In response to a request on behalf of the Cosmetic, Toiletry, and Fragrance Association to extend the comment period on these analyses for 60 days, the Commission is extending it for an additional 30 days, that is, through Monday, June 11, 2001.1 Today's document does not re-open the comment period on the NPR.

**DATES:** The Office of the Secretary must receive any comments on the staff analyses not later than June 11, 2001.

ADDRESSES: Comments should be mailed, preferably in five copies, to the Office of the Secretary, Consumer Product Safety Commission, Washington, DC 20207–0001, or delivered to the Office of the Secretary, Consumer Product Safety Commission, Room 502, 4330 East-West Highway, Bethesda, Maryland 20814; telephone (301) 504–0800. Comments also may be filed by facsimile to (301) 504–0127 or by e-mail to cpsc-os@cpsc.gov. Comments should be captioned "Notice of Additional Hydrocarbon Data."

### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Suzanne Barone, Directorate for Health Sciences, Consumer Product Safety Commission, Washington, DC 20207; telephone (301) 504–0477, ext. 1196.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Copies of the staff analyses may be obtained from

the Office of the Secretary. The analyses are also available on the CPSC world wide web site at: http://www.cpsc.gov/library/foia/foia01/brief/hydrocar.pdf

Comments on the analyses must be received by the Office of the Secretary not later than Monday, June 11, 2001.

Dated: April 30, 2001.

### Sadye E. Dunn,

Secretary, Consumer Product Safety Commission.

[FR Doc. 01–11199 Filed 5–3–01; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6355–01–P

# GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION

## 41 CFR Part 300-2 and Chapter 304

[FTR Amendment]

RIN 3090-AE19

Federal Travel Regulation; Payment of Travel Expenses From a Non-Federal Source

**AGENCY:** Office of Governmentwide Policy, GSA.

**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** This proposed rule amends the Federal Travel Regulation (FTR) for payment of travel expenses from a non-Federal source. This amendment is written in plain language using a question and answer format in continuation of the General Services Administration's (GSA's) efforts to make the FTR easier to understand and to use.

**DATES:** Comments must be received on or before July 3, 2001.

ADDRESSES: Written comments should be sent to: Mr. Michael E. Hopkins, Regulatory Secretariat (MVR), Office of Governmentwide Policy, General Services Administration, 1800 F Street, NW., Washington, DC 20405–0001. E-Mail: RIN.3090–AE19@gsa.gov

FOR FURTHER INFORMATON CONTACT: Jim Harte, Travel Team Leader, Travel Management Policy Division (MTT), telephone (202) 501–0483.

### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

### A. Background

This proposed rule revises the coverage published in the **Federal Register** as Interim Rule 3 (56 FR 9878, March 8, 1991) and Interim Rule 4 (57 FR 53283, November 9, 1992). This proposed rule is written in the "plain language" style of regulation writing as a continuation of the General Services Administration's (GSA) effort to make the FTR easier to understand and use. Questions are in the first person, and answers are in the second person. GSA

uses a "we" and "you" question when referring to an agency, and an "I", and "you" question when referring to the employee. However, the rules stated in either section apply to both the employee and agency. Another example of GSA's implementation of plain language is the use of the term "meeting" throughout this part instead of the phrase "meeting or similar function." This change is indicated in the definition of "meeting or similar function" and no substantive change is intended by this change.

### **B. Proposed Changes**

- 1. To permit after-the-fact agency acceptance of payment from a non-Federal source for travel expenses to a meeting under the following two circumstances prescribed in section 304–3.13:
- (a) When your agency has not approved acceptance of any payments from that non-Federal source prior to the trip; and
- (b) When your agency has approved acceptance of payment for some but not all travel expenses from a non-Federal source prior to the trip. In this case, your agency's prior authorization of acceptance of payment from the non-Federal source in question is deemed authorization for you to accept, on behalf of your agency, payment for additional travel, subsistence, and related expenses from the same non-Federal source, as long as the two following conditions in section 304—3.13(a) are met:
- (i) That the expenses paid by the non-Federal source be comparable in value to those offered or purchased by other similarly situated attendees; and
- (ii) That your agency has not specifically declined to accept certain payments from a non-Federal source for your trip. If the conditions are not met, you will be subject to the penalties specified in section 304–3.17 (i.e., you may be required to pay the U.S. Treasury the amount of the payment accepted without being eligible for reimbursement from your agency).
- 2. The removal of the requirement that a meeting or similar function be sponsored or co-sponsored by a Federal agency in order to fall within the scope of this part.

#### C. Executive Order 12866

GSA has determined that this proposed rule is not a significant regulatory action for the purposes of Executive Order 12866 of September 30, 1993.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Commissioners Mary Sheila Gall and Thomas H. Moore voted to extend the comment period by 30 days. Chairman Ann Brown voted to deny the request to extend the comment period.