DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

Notice of Intent To Rule on Application To Impose and To Use the Revenue From a Passenger Facility Charge (PFC) at Hartsfield-Jackson Atlanta International Airport, Atlanta, GA

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT. **ACTION:** Notice of intent to rule on application.

SUMMARY: The FAA proposes to rule and invites public comment on the application to use the revenue from a PFC at Hartsfield-Jackson Atlanta International Airport under the provisions of the Aviation Safety and Capacity Expansion Act of 1990) (Title IX of the Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1990) (Pub. L. 101–508) and part 158 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 158). DATES: Comments must be received on or before December 20, 2004.

ADDRESSES: Comments on this application may be mailed or delivered in triplicate to the FAA at the following address: Atlanta Airports District Office, 1701 Columbia Ave., Suite 2–260, College Park, Georgia 30337–2747.

In addition, one copy of any comments submitted to the FAA must be mailed or delivered to Arthur L. Bacon, Director of Finance of the City of Atlanta, Department of Aviation at the following address: City of Atlanta, Department of Aviation, PO Box 20509, Atlanta, Georgia 30320–2509.

Air carriers and foreign air carriers may submit copies of written comments previously provided to the City of Atlanta, Department of Aviation under section 158.23 of part 158.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Philip R. Cannon, Program Manager, Atlanta Airports District Office, 1701 Columbia Ave., Suite 2–260, College Park, Georgia, 30337–2747, Telephone Number 404–305–7152. The application may be reviewed in person at this same location.

supplementary information: The FAA proposes to rule and invites public comment on the application to use the review from a PFC at Hartsfield-Jackson Atlanta International Airport under the provisions of the Aviation Safety and Capacity Expansion Act of 1990 (Title IX of the Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1990) (Pub. L. 101–508) and part 158 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 158).

On November 9, 2004, the FAA determined that the application to use the revenue from a PFC submitted by

The City of Atlanta was substantially complete within the requirements of section 158.25 of Part 158. The FAA will approve or disapprove the application, in whole or in part, no later than March 1, 2005.

The following is a brief overview of the application.

PFC Application No.: 05–07–U–00– ATL.

Level of the Proposed PFC: \$4.50. Proposed Charge Effective Date: August 2018.

Proposed Charge Expiration Date: January 2019.

Total Estimated Net PFC Revenue: \$30,721,000.

Brief Description of Proposed Project(s):

Runway 8R End Around Taxiway (Use)

Class or classes of air carriers which the public agency has requested not be required to collect PFCs and was previously approved as part of the impose and use PFC application 02–03–C–00–ATL: Air Taxi/Commercial Operators (ATCO) when enplaning revenue passengers in limited, irregular, special service air taxi/commercial operations such as air ambulance services, student instruction, non-stop sightseeing flights that begin and end at the airport and are concluded within a 25 mile radius of the airport.

Any person may inspect the application in person at the FAA office listed above under **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT.**

In addition, any person may, upon request, inspect the application, notice and other documents germane to the application in person at the City of Atlanta's Department of Aviation.

Issued in College Park, Georgia on November 9, 2004.

Kelvin L. Solco,

Acting Manager, Atlanta Airports District Office Southern Region.

[FR Doc. 04–25702 Filed 11–18–04; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–13–M

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Highway Administration

Supplemental Draft Environmental Impact Statement: Clackamas County, OR

AGENCY: Federal Highway Administration (FHWA), DOT.

SUMMARY: The FHWA is issuing this notice to advise the public that a supplement to a draft environmental impact statement will be prepared for a proposed project in Clackamas County, Oregon.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Michelle Eraut, Environmental Specialist, Federal Highway Administration, 530 Center Street, NE., Suite 100, Salem, Oregon 97301, Telephone (503) 587–4716.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The FHWA, in cooperation with the Oregon Department of Transportation and the Clackamas County Department of Transportation and Development, will prepare a supplement to the draft environmental impact statement (EIS) on a proposed transportation improvement project to the ORE 212 Corridor between I–205 and the junction of ORE 212 and ORE 224 at Rock Creek (4 miles). The U.S. Army Corps of Engineers has declined to serve as a cooperating agency on this supplemental draft EIS. The proposed transportation improvement will improve capacity and safety within the ORE 212 Corridor are based on needs identified in the Regional Transportation Plan.

The original Draft Sunrise Corridor EIS was approved in 1993 and covered the corridor between I-205 and US 26. Due to lack of funding and uncertainty on the planned urbanization of rural lands in the corridor, a final EIS was not prepared which would have documented the selection of a new limited access expressway as the regionally preferred alternative for the corridor. A recent reevaluation of the draft EIS concluded that the section from I-205 to Rock Creek Junction has an existing transportation need, has independent utility, and does not preclude any alternatives proposed for the section from Rock Creek Junction to US 26. The reevaluation also concludes that the project planning and regulatory context has changed sufficiently to warrant the preparation of a supplemental draft EIS. A Major Investment Study conducted in 1997 supported the build alternative concept of a new limited-access expressway on a new alignment between I-205 and the Rock Creek Junction. Public involvement and agency coordination activities will be used to confirm that the no-build and design variations of an expressway are an appropriate range of alternatives for consideration in the supplemental draft EIS. The adopted regional transportation plan has demonstrated that the capacity problems are not appropriately solved with transportation systems management or transit-only solutions.

Letters describing the proposed action and soliciting comments will be sent to appropriate Federal, State and local agencies, and to provide organizations