regardless of width and length. Included within this definition are innersprings typically ranging from 30.5 inches to 76 inches in width, and 68 inches to 84 inches in length. Innersprings for crib mattresses typically range from 25 inches to 27 inches in width, and 50 inches to 52 inches in length.

Uncovered innerspring units are suitable for use as the innerspring component in the manufacture of innerspring mattresses, including mattresses that incorporate a foam encasement around the innerspring.

Pocketed and non-pocketed innerspring units are included in this definition. Non-pocketed innersprings are typically joined together with helical wire and border rods. Non-pocketed innersprings are included in this definition regardless of whether they have border rods attached to the perimeter of the innerspring. Pocketed innersprings are individual coils covered by a "pocket" or "sock" of a nonwoven synthetic material or woven material and then glued together in a linear fashion.

Uncovered innersprings are classified under subheading 9404.29.9010 and have also been classified under subheadings 9404.10.0000, 7326.20.0070, 7320.20.5010 or 7320.90.5010 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States ("HTSUS"). The HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes only; the written description of the scope of the order is dispositive.

Final Results of the Review

As explained in the *Preliminary Results*, the Department finds that the following margins exist for the exporters under review for the period August 6, 2008, through January 31, 2010:

INNERSPRINGS FROM THE PRC

Manufacturer/exporter	Margin (percent)
PRC-wide Entity ²	234.51

Assessment of Antidumping Duties

Upon issuance of the final results, the Department will determine, and U.S. Customs and Border Protection ("CBP") shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries. The Department intends to issue assessment instructions

to CBP 15 days after the date of publication of the final results of review. Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1), we will calculate importer-specific (or customer) ad valorem duty assessment rates based on the ratio of the total amount of the dumping margins calculated for the examined sales to the total entered value of those same sales. In accordance with 19 CFR 351.106(c)(2), we will instruct CBP to liquidate, without regard to antidumping duties, all entries of subject merchandise during the POR for which the importer-specific assessment rate is zero or de minimis.

Cash Deposit Requirements

The following cash deposit requirements will be effective upon publication of the final results for all shipments of the subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date, as provided for by section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act: (1) For previously investigated or reviewed PRC and non-PRC exporters not listed above that have separate rates, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the exporter-specific rate published for the most recent period; (2) for all PRC exporters of subject merchandise which have not been found to be entitled to a separate rate, the cash deposit rate will be the PRC-wide rate of 234.51 percent; and (3) for all non-PRC exporters of subject merchandise which have not received their own rate, the cash deposit rate will be the rate applicable to the PRC exporters that supplied that non-PRC exporter. These deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

Reimbursement of Duties

This notice also serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this POR. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Department's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties has occurred and the subsequent assessment of doubled antidumping duties.

Administrative Protective Orders

This notice also serves as a final reminder to parties subject to the administrative protective order ("APO") of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under the APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305. Timely written notification of the return

or destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

This administrative review and notice is in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: January 11, 2011.

Ronald K. Lorentzen,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 2011–1395 Filed 1–24–11; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration [C-580-818]

Corrosion-Resistant Carbon Steel Flat Products From the Republic of Korea: Partial Rescission of Countervailing Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: In response to a request for administrative review received on August 31, 2010, the Department of Commerce (the Department) initiated an administrative review of the countervailing duty order on corrosionresistant carbon steel flat products from the Republic of Korea covering the period January 1, 2009, through December 31, 2009. See Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews and Requests for Revocation in Part, 75 FR 60078 (September 29, 2010) (Initiation). As a result of withdrawals of request for review, we are rescinding this review, in part, with respect to Dongbu Steel (Dongbu) and Pohang Iron & Steel Co., Ltd. (POSCO).

DATES: Effective Date: January 25, 2011. FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Gayle Longest, AD/CVD Operations, Office 3, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Ave, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone (202) 482–3338.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On August 31, 2010, Dongbu and POSCO requested that the Department conduct an administrative review of their companies. On September 29, 2010, the Department initiated the review. See Initiation. On September 27, 2010, and October, 1, 2010, Dongbu and POSCO, respectively, withdrew their

² The PRC-wide entity includes mandatory respondents Foshan Jingxin Steel Wire & Spring Co., Ltd. and Top One Manufacturing Factory, whom the Department found withheld requested information, failed to provide the information in a timely manner and in the form requested, and significantly impeded the proceeding.

requests for administrative review and partial revocation of the countervailing duty order on corrosion-resistant carbon steel flat products from the Republic of Korea.

Partial Rescission of Review

Under 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1), the Department will rescind an administrative review, in whole or in part, if a party that requested a review withdraws the request within 90 days of the date of publication of the notice of initiation of the requested review.

The *Initiation* was published on September 29, 2010. The respondent companies submitted a timely request for withdrawal on September 27, 2010, and October 1, 2010. No other party requested administrative reviews of Dongbu or POSCO. Therefore, we are rescinding, in part, this review of the countervailing duty order of corrosion-resistant carbon steel flat products from the Republic of Korea with regard to Dongbu and POSCO. This review will continue with respect to Hyundai HYSCO Ltd. (HYSCO).

The Department will issue appropriate assessment instructions directly to U.S. Customs and Border Patrol (CBP) 15 days after publication of this notice. The Department will direct CBP to assess countervailing duties at the cash deposit rate in effect on the date of entry for entries during the period January 1, 2009, through December 31, 2009.

This notice is in accordance with section 777(i) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, and 19 CFR 351.213(d)(4).

Dated: January 14, 2011.

Gary Taverman,

Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations.

[FR Doc. 2011–1393 Filed 1–24–11; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-552-801]

Certain Frozen Fish Fillets From the Socialist Republic of Vietnam: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty New Shipper Reviews

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: On February 1, 2005, the Department of Commerce ("Department") published in the Federal Register the antidumping duty order on certain frozen fish fillets from the

Socialist Republic of Vietnam ("Vietnam"). See Notice of Antidumping Duty Order: Certain Frozen Fish Fillets from the Socialist Republic of Vietnam, 68 FR 47909 (August 12, 2003) ("Order"). The Department is conducting two new shipper reviews ("NSR") of the Order, covering the period of review ("POR") of August 1, 2009, through February 15, 2010. If these preliminary results are adopted in our final results of review, we will instruct U.S. Customs and Border Protection ("CBP") to assess antidumping duties on entries of subject merchandise during the POR for which the importer-specific assessment rates are above de minimis.

DATES: Effective Date: January 25, 2011 **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Alan Ray, AD/CVD Operations, Office 9, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–5403.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

General Background

On March 17, 2010, and March 19, 2010, pursuant to section 751(a)(2)(B)(i) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the "Act"), and 19 CFR 351.214(c), the Department received NSR requests from Thien Ma Seafood Company, Ltd. ("THIMACO") and International Development & Investment Corporation ("IDI") (collectively, "Respondents"), respectively. THIMACO and IDI certified that they were the producers and exporters of the subject merchandise upon which the request was based.

On March 29, 2010, the Department published the initiation NSR on frozen fish fillets from Vietnam covering IDI and THIMACO. See Certain Frozen Fish Fillets From the Socialist Republic of Vietnam: Initiation of Antidumping Duty New Shipper Reviews, 75 FR 15416 (March 29, 2010).

On March 25, 2010, the Department issued its original antidumping duty questionnaire to THIMACO and IDI. Between April 15, 2010, and September 29, 2010, THIMACO and IDI submitted responses to the original and supplemental sections A, C, and D antidumping duty questionnaires.

Extension of Time Limits

On August 9, 2010, the Department extended the deadline for the preliminary results of these reviews by 120 days, to January 17, 2011. However, the notice incorrectly listed the deadline for the preliminary results of the reviews as January 17, 2010, rather than January 17, 2011. See Gertain Frozen

Fish Fillets from the Socialist Republic of Vietnam: Extension of Time Limit for Preliminary Results of the Seventh Antidumping Duty New Shipper Reviews, 74 FR 47771 (August 9, 2010). The Department therefore published a correction, noting the proper deadline as January 17, 2011. See Certain Frozen Fish Fillets From the Socialist Republic of Vietnam: Correction of Date for the Extension of Time Limit for Preliminary Results of the Seventh Antidumping Duty New Shipper Reviews, 75 FR 57261(September 20, 2010).

Surrogate Country and Surrogate Values

On July 28, 2010, the Department sent interested parties a letter requesting comments on surrogate country selection and information pertaining to valuing factors of production ("FOP"). On September 10, 2010, IDI, THIMACO, and Petitioners ¹ submitted surrogate country comments and surrogate value ("SV") data. On September 20, 2010, IDI, THIMACO, and Petitioners submitted rebuttal comments to the September 10, 2010, submissions.

Verification

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.307(b)(iv), we conducted verification of the farming FOPs for THIMACO between November 2, 2010, and November 5, 2010. See Memorandum to the File, From Alan Ray, Case Analyst, Office 9, through Alex Villanueva, Program Manager, Office 9: Verification of Factors of Production Responses of Thien Ma Seafood Company Ltd., in the Antidumping Duty New Shipper Reviews of Certain Frozen Fish Fillets from the Socialist Republic of Vietnam ("Verification Report"), issued concurrently with these preliminary results.

Scope of the Order

The product covered by the order is frozen fish fillets, including regular, shank, and strip fillets and portions thereof, whether or not breaded or marinated, of the species Pangasius Bocourti, Pangasius Hypophthalmus (also known as Pangasius Pangasius), and Pangasius Micronemus. Frozen fish fillets are lengthwise cuts of whole fish. The fillet products covered by the scope include boneless fillets with the belly flap intact ("regular" fillets), boneless

¹ The Catfish Farmers of America and individual U.S. Catfish Processors: America's Catch, Consolidated Catfish Companies, LLC dba Country Select Catfish, Delta Pride Catfish, Inc., Harvest Select Catfish, Inc., Heartland Catfish Company, Pride of the Pond, Simmons Farm Raised Catfish, Inc., and Southern Pride Catfish Company LLC (collectively, "Petitioners").