finding indicates that based on the testimony of Dr. Dunkman and his demeanor during testimony, the Administrative Judge (AJ) was persuaded that he was extremely upset with the appellant for having his study temporarily suspended. During the PEC the staff also observed that Dr. Dunkman still appeared upset with the complainant for this action and did not seem to have an understanding that telling her she should not give an FDA inspector information was wrong. The testimony and the June 9, 1997 memo that Dr. Dunkman authored made it clear to the AJ that he found her disloyal and tried to get rid of her. Accordingly, the AJ found that the protected disclosures did contribute significant changes to her working conditions, i.e., her working conditions became intolerable.

The Licensee contends the specific areas cited did not constitute a hostile work environment. Specifically, that (1) the supervisor denied threatening to dismiss the research nurse, (2) the research nurse was not isolated by her supervisor but isolated herself, (3) it was the research nurse's own decision to not attend routine meetings, (4) no criminal charges were filed against the research nurse regarding the missing files, and (5) no action (intimidation, threats, or impedance from making future disclosures) was taken against the research nurse after the FDA audit wherein she volunteered information to the FDA.

The NRC has determined, based on the MSPB finding and information gathered at the PEC, that the protected disclosures resulted in the complainant's supervisor becoming increasingly angry at her and did contribute to significant changes to her working conditions, i.e., her working conditions became intolerable. The NRC recognizes that the research nurse may have isolated herself from her supervisor and the other nurse in the laboratory. Nonetheless, it was clear that the supervisor failed to address that isolation or include her in work related discussions with the other nurse. In addition, he made statements that could reasonably be construed as a threat of dismissal, he labeled the nurse as "insubordinate" for volunteering information to a regulatory agency, and he tried to terminate her after she raised safety concerns.

The Licensee's response also provided a number of reasons for its disagreement with the MSPB conclusion that the termination of the research nurse was also discriminatory. Since the termination was not part of the violation cited by the NRC in the Notice, dated July 20, 2000, there is no need for the NRC to respond to those Licensee's contentions.

The Licensee also stated that there was an error on page 2 of the NOV in the following statement; "Specifically, after the individual raised (to the FDA in April 1997 and to the NRC in June 1997) issues regarding the inadequacy of the consent forms used by the participants in a research study, there were significant negative changes to her working conditions." The Licensee contends that neither the supervisor nor the management at PVAMC knew about the FDA audit until June 1997. The NRC acknowledges that the Licensee may not have known about issues

raised to the FDA until June 1997, but the nurse first made protected disclosures to the Licensee in February 1997. Therefore, this information does not change the NRC's conclusion that the Licensee created a hostile work environment between April 1997 and May 1998, which was based, in part, on the nurse's engagement in protected activities.

2. NRC Conclusion

The NRC has concluded that this violation occurred as stated in the Notice and the Licensee did not provide a sufficient basis for withdrawing the violation or for rescinding the civil penalty. Accordingly, the proposed civil penalty in the amount of \$5,500 should be imposed.

[FR Doc. 00–33011 Filed 12–26–00; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7590–01–P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Licensing Support System Advisory Review Panel

AGENCY: Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

ACTION: Notice of Renewal of the Charter of the Licensing Support Network Advisory Review Panel (LSNARP).

SUMMARY: The Licensing Support System Advisory Review Panel was established by the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission as a Federal Advisory Committee in 1989. Its purpose was to provide advice on the fundamental issues of design and development of an electronic information management system to be used to store and retrieve documents relating to the licensing of a geologic repository for the disposal of high-level radioactive waste, and on the operation and maintenance of the system. This electronic information management system was known as the Licensing Support System (LSS). In November, 1998 the Commission approved amendments to 10 CFR part 2 that renamed the Licensing Support System Advisory Review Panel as the Licensing Support Network Advisory Review Panel.

Membership on the Panel continues to be drawn from those interests that will be affected by the use of the LSN, including the Department of Energy, the NRC, the State of Nevada, the National Congress of American Indians, affected units of local governments in Nevada, the Nevada Nuclear Waste Task Force, and a coalition of nuclear industry groups. Federal agencies with expertise and experience in electronic information management systems may also participate on the Panel.

The Nuclear Regulatory Commission has determined that renewal of the

charter for the LSNARP until December 14, 2002 is in the public interest in connection with duties imposed on the Commission by law. This action is being taken in accordance with the Federal Advisory Committee Act after consultation with the Committee Management Secretariat, General Services Administration.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Andrew L. Bates, Office of the Secretary, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555: Telephone 301–504–1963.

Dated: December 20, 2000.

Andrew L. Bates,

Advisory Committee Management Officer. [FR Doc. 00–33009 Filed 12–26–00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[7590-01P]

Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards; Renewal

AGENCY: Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

ACTION: Notice of renewal of the Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards (ACRS).

SUMMARY: The Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards was established by Section 29 of the Atomic Energy Act (AEA) in 1954. Its purpose is to provide advice to the Commission with regard to the hazards of proposed or existing reactor facilities, to review each application for a construction permit or operating license for certain facilities specified in the AEA, and such other duties as the Commission may request. The AEA as amended by PL 100–456 also specifies that the Defense Nuclear Safety Board may obtain the advice and recommendations of the ACRS.

Membership on the Committee includes individuals experienced in reactor operations, management; probabilistic risk assessment; analysis of reactor accident phenomena; design of nuclear power plant structures, systems and components; materials science; and mechanical, civil, and electrical engineering.

The Nuclear Regulatory Commission has determined that renewal of the charter for the ACRS until December 22, 2002 is in the public interest in connection with the statutory responsibilities assigned to the ACRS. This action is being taken in accordance with the Federal Advisory Committee Act.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Andrew L. Bates, Office of the Secretary, NRC, Washington, DC 20555; telephone: (301) 415–1963.

Dated: December 20, 2000.

Andrew L. Bates,

Advisory Committee Management Officer. [FR Doc. 00–33008 Filed 12–26–00; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7590–01–P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards; Meeting Notice

In accordance with the purposes of sections 29 and 182b. of the Atomic Energy Act (42 U.S.C. 2039, 2232b), the Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards will hold a meeting on February 1–3, 2001, in Conference Room T–2B3, 11545 Rockville Pike, Rockville, Maryland. The date of this meeting was previously published in the **Federal Register** on Friday, November 17, 2000 (65 FR 69578).

Thursday, February 1, 2001

8:30 A.M.–8:35 A.M.: Opening Remarks by the ACRS Chairman (Open)—The ACRS Chairman will make opening remarks regarding the conduct of the meeting.

8:35 A.M.-10:15 A.M.: Treatment of Uncertainties in the Elements of the PTS Technical Basis Reevaluation Project (Open)—The Committee will hear presentations by and hold discussions with representatives of the NRC staff regarding treatment of uncertainties in the elements of the Pressurized Thermal Shock (PTS) Reevaluation Project.

10:30 A.M.–12 Noon: Siemens S–
RELAP5 Appendix K Small-Break LOCA
Code (Open/Closed)—The Committee
will hear presentations by and hold
discussions with representatives of the
NRC staff and Siemens Power
Corporation regarding the Siemens S–
RELAP5 Appendix K Small-Break Lossof-Coolant Accident (LOCA) Code and
the associated NRC staff Safety
Evaluation Report. [Note: A portion of
this session may be closed to discuss
Siemens Power Corporation proprietary
information applicable to this matter.]
1 P.M.–2:30 P.M.: Proposed ANS

1 P.M.–2:30 P.M.: Proposed ANS Standard on External-Events PRA (Open)—The Committee will hear presentations by and hold discussions with representatives of the American Nuclear Society (ANS) regarding the proposed ANS Standard on external-events PRA.

2:45 P.M.-4 P.M.: Reprioritization of Generic Safety Issue-152, "Design Basis for Valves that Might be Subjected to Significant Blowdown Loads" (Open)— The Committee will hear presentations by and hold discussions with representatives of the NRC staff regarding reprioritization of Generic Safety Issue-152 and the reasons therefor, and related matters.

4 P.M.-5 P.M.: Break and Preparation of Draft ACRS Reports (Open)—
Cognizant ACRS members will prepare draft reports, as needed, for consideration by the full Committee.

5 P.M.-7 P.M.: Discussion of Proposed ACRS Reports (Open)—The Committee will discuss proposed ACRS reports on matters considered during this meeting.

Friday, February 2, 2001

8:30 A.M.-8:35 A.M.: Opening Remarks by the ACRS Chairman (Open)—The ACRS Chairman will make opening remarks regarding the conduct of the meeting.

8:35 A.M.-10 A.M.: Regulatory Effectiveness of the ATWS Rule (Open)—The Committee will hear presentations by and hold discussions with representatives of the NRC staff regarding the staff's assessment of the regulatory effectiveness of the Anticipated Transients Without Scram (ATWS) Rule.

10:15 A.M.-11:45 A.M.: Overview of Mixed Oxide Fuel Fabrication Facility (Open)—The Committee will hear presentations by and hold discussions with representatives of the Department of Energy (DOE) and the NRC staff regarding the proposed Mixed Oxide Fuel Fabrication Facility to be constructed at the DOE's Savannah River Plant site.

1 P.M.-2 P.M.: Meeting with the NRC Chairman (Open)—The Committee will meet with the NRC Chairman Meserve to discuss items of mutual interest.

2:15 P.M.-3:15 P.M.: NRC Safety Research Program (Open)—The Committee will discuss the annual ACRS report to the Commission on the NRC Safety Research Program.

3:15 P.M.-3:45P.M.: Future ACRS Activities/Report of the Planning and Procedures Subcommittee (Open)—The Committee will discuss the recommendations of the Planning and Procedures Subcommittee regarding items proposed for consideration by the full Committee during future meetings. Also, it will hear a report of the Planning and Procedures Subcommittee on matters related to the conduct of ACRS business, and organizational and personnel matters relating to the ACRS.

3:45 P.M.-4 P.M.: Reconciliation of ACRS Comments and Recommendations (Open)—The Committee will discuss the responses from the NRC Executive Director for Operations (EDO) to comments and recommendations included in recent ACRS reports and letters. The EDO responses are expected to be made available to the Committee prior to the meeting.

4 P.M.–5 P.M.: Break and Preparation of Draft ACRS Reports (Open)— Cognizant ACRS members will prepare draft reports, as needed, for consideration by the full Committee.

5 P.M.–7 P.M.: Discussion of Proposed ACRS Reports (Open)—The Committee will discuss proposed ACRS reports.

Saturday, February 3, 2001

8:30 A.M.-12:30 P.M.: Proposed ACRS Reports (Open)—The Committee will continue its discussion of proposed ACRS reports.

12:30 P.M.-1 P.M.: Miscellaneous (Open)—The Committee will discuss matters related to the conduct of Committee activities and matters and specific issues that were not completed during previous meetings, as time and availability of information permit.

Procedures for the conduct of and participation in ACRS meetings were published in the Federal Register on October 11, 2000 (65 FR 60476). In accordance with these procedures, oral or written views may be presented by members of the public, including representatives of the nuclear industry. Electronic recordings will be permitted only during the open portions of the meeting and questions may be asked only by members of the Committee, its consultants, and staff. Persons desiring to make oral statements should notify Mr. James E. Lyons, ACRS, five days before the meeting, if possible, so that appropriate arrangements can be made to allow necessary time during the meeting for such statements. Use of still, motion picture, and television cameras during the meeting may be limited to selected portions of the meeting as determined by the Chairman. Information regarding the time to be set aside for this purpose may be obtained by contacting Mr. James E. Lyons prior to the meeting. In view of the possibility that the schedule for ACRS meetings may be adjusted by the Chairman as necessary to facilitate the conduct of the meeting, persons planning to attend should check with Mr. James E. Lyons if such rescheduling would result in major inconvenience.

In accordance with Subsection 10(d) P.L. 92–463, I have determined that it is necessary to close a portion of this meeting noted above to discuss proprietary information per 5 U.S.C. 552b(c)(4).