By the Commission.

Lvnn M. Powalski,

Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2014-11839 Filed 5-19-14; 11:15 am]

BILLING CODE 8011-01-P

#### **DEPARTMENT OF STATE**

[Public Notice: 8742]

#### Renewal of Defense Trade Advisory Group Charter

**AGENCY:** Department of State.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of State announces the renewal of the Charter for the Defense Trade Advisory Group (DTAG). The DTAG advises the Department on its support for and regulation of defense trade to help ensure the foreign policy and national security of the United States continue to be protected and advanced, while helping to reduce unnecessary impediments to legitimate exports in order to support the defense requirements of U.S. friends and allies. It is the only Department of State advisory committee that addresses defense trade related topics. The DTAG will remain in existence for two years after the filing date of the Charter unless terminated or renewed sooner. The DTAG is authorized by 22 U.S.C. §§ 2651a and 2656 and the Federal Advisory Committee Act, 5 U.S.C. Appendix.

For more information, contact Lisa V. Aguirre, Alternate Designated Federal Officer, Defense Trade Advisory Group, Department of State, Washington, DC 20520, telephone: (202) 663–2830.

Date: May 14, 2014.

## Lisa V. Aguirre,

Alternate Designated Federal Officer, Defense Trade Advisory Group, U.S. Department of State.

[FR Doc. 2014–11776 Filed 5–20–14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4710-25-P

## DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

#### **Federal Aviation Administration**

Office of Commercial Space
Transportation; Notice of Availability
and Request for Comment on the Draft
Environmental Assessment (EA) for
Issuing an Experimental Permit to
Space Exploration Technologies Corp.
(SpaceX) for Operation of the
DragonFly Vehicle at the McGregor
Test Site, McGregor, Texas.

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

**ACTION:** Notice of Availability, Notice of Public Comment Period, and Request for Comment.

SUMMARY: In accordance with the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969, as amended (NEPA; 42 U.S.C. 4321, et seq.), Council on Environmental Quality NEPA implementing regulations (40 CFR Parts 1500–1508), and FAA Order 1050.1E, Environmental Impacts: Policies and Procedures, Change 1, the FAA is announcing the availability of and requesting comments on the Draft EA for Issuing an Experimental Permit to SpaceX for Operation of the DragonFly Vehicle at the McGregor Test Site, McGregor, Texas.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Daniel Czelusniak, Office of Commercial Space Transportation, Federal Aviation Administration, 800 Independence Avenue SW., Suite 325, Washington, DC 20591; telephone (202) 267–5924; email Daniel.Czelusniak@faa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Draft EA was prepared to analyze the potential environmental impacts of SpaceX's proposal to conduct suborbital launches and landings of the DragonFly reusable launch vehicle (RLV) at the McGregor, Texas test site located in McLennan and Corvell Counties. To conduct this experimental testing, SpaceX must obtain an experimental permit from the FAA. Under the Proposed Action addressed in the EA, the FAA would issue an experimental permit to SpaceX, which would authorize SpaceX to conduct suborbital launches and landings of the DragonFly RLV from the McGregor test site. To support the DragonFly RLV activities under the experimental permit, SpaceX would construct a 40 foot (ft) by 40 ft launch pad. Therefore, the Proposed Action analyzed in the Draft EA includes the activities that would be authorized by the experimental permit (i.e., the operation of the launch vehicle) as well as the construction of the launch pad. SpaceX anticipates the DragonFly RLV program would require up to two years to complete (2014-2015). Therefore, the Proposed Action considers one new permit and one potential permit renewal. A maximum of 30 annual operations are proposed in each year of operation.

The Draft EA addresses the potential environmental impacts of implementing the Proposed Action and the No Action Alternative. Under the No Action Alternative, the FAA would not issue an experimental permit to SpaceX for the operation of the DragonFly RLV at the McGregor test site. Existing SpaceX activities would continue at the

McGregor test site, which include engine testing for the Falcon 9 launch vehicle.

The impact categories considered in the Draft EA include air quality; noise and compatible land use; Department of Transportation Act: Section 4(f); historical, architectural, archaeological, and cultural resources; fish, wildlife, and plants; water quality (surface waters, groundwater, wetlands, and floodplains); natural resources and energy supply; hazardous materials, pollution prevention, and solid waste; light emissions and visual impacts; and socioeconomics, environmental justice. and children's environmental health risks and safety risks. The Draft EA also considers the potential cumulative environmental impacts.

The FAA has posted the Draft EA on the FAA Web site at http://www.faa.gov/about/office\_org/headquarters\_offices/ast/environmental/review/permits/.

A paper copy of the Draft EA may be reviewed during regular business hours at the following library:

 McGinley Memorial Library, 317 Main Street, McGregor, TX 76657

**DATES:** The FAA encourages all interested parties to provide comments concerning the scope and content of the Draft EA. To ensure that all comments can be addressed in the Final EA, comments on the draft must be received by the FAA, preferably in writing, on or before June 16, 2014, or 30 days from the date of publication of this Notice of Availability, whichever is later. Comments should be as specific as possible and address the analysis of potential environmental impacts and the adequacy of the proposed action or merits of alternatives being considered. Reviewers should organize their comments to be meaningful and inform the FAA of their interests and concerns by quoting or providing specific references to the text of the Draft EA. Matters that could have been raised with specificity during the comment period on the Draft EA may not be considered if they are raised for the first time later in the decision process. This commenting procedure is intended to ensure that substantive comments and concerns are made available to the FAA in a timely manner so that the FAA has an opportunity to address them.

ADDRESSES: Please submit comments in writing to Mr. Daniel Czelusniak, Office of Commercial Space Transportation, Federal Aviation Administration, 800 Independence Avenue SW., Suite 325, Washington, DC 20591; or by email at Daniel.Czelusniak@faa.gov.

Issued in Washington, DC on: May 14, 2014.

#### Daniel Murray,

Manager, Space Transportation Development Division.

[FR Doc. 2014–11780 Filed 5–20–14; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4310–13–P

#### **DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**

#### **Federal Aviation Administration**

# Twenty Fourth Meeting: RTCA Special Committee 216, Aeronautical Systems Security

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), U.S. Department of Transportation (DOT).

**ACTION:** Meeting Notice of RTCA Special Committee 216, Aeronautical Systems Security.

SUMMARY: The FAA is issuing this notice to advise the public of the twenty fourth meeting of RTCA Special Committee 216, Aeronautical Systems Security.

DATES: The meeting will be held June 13, 2014 from 9:00 a.m.–12:00 p.m.

ADDRESSES: The meeting will be held at RTCA Headquarters, 1150 18th Street NW., Suite 450, Washington, DC 20036.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: You

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: You may contact the RTCA Secretariat, 1150 18th Street NW., Suite 910, Washington, DC 20036, or by telephone at (202) 833–9339, fax at (202) 833–9434, or Web site http://www.rtca.org for directions.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Pursuant to section 10(a)(2) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Pub. L. 92–463, 5 U.S.C., App.), notice is hereby given for a meeting of Special Committee 216.

#### June 13

- Open Meeting and Introductions
- Approve Summary—Meeting #23, RTCA Paper No. 107–14/SC216–051
- Summarize FAA 5 Year Plan Meeting Discussion
- Status of Revised DO-326A— Airworthiness Security Process Specification and new document— Information Security Guidance for Continuing Airworthiness, and upcoming PMC Review
- Summary of DO-yy3—Security
   Assurance and Assessment Methods
   for Safety-related Aircraft Systems
   Comment Disposition
- Discussion of Consensus to Move DOyy3—Security Assurance and Assessment Methods for Safetyrelated Aircraft Systems to Formal Review And Comment (FRAC) Period.
- 12:00pm Close Meeting
  Attendance is open to the interested
  public but limited to space availability.

With the approval of the chairman, members of the public may present oral statements at the meeting. Persons wishing to present statements or obtain information should contact the person listed in the FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT section. Members of the public may present a written statement to the committee at any time.

Issued in Washington, DC, on May 15, 2014.

#### Mohannad Dawoud,

Management Analyst, NextGen, Business Operations Group, Federal Aviation Administration.

[FR Doc. 2014–11774 Filed 5–20–14; 8:45 am]  ${\tt BILLING}$  CODE 4910–13–P

#### **DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**

#### **Federal Highway Administration**

Environmental Impact Statement: Alexander, Johnson, Massac, Pulaski, and Union Counties, Illinois; Ballard and McCracken Counties, Kentucky; and Cape Girardeau, Scott and Mississippi Counties, Missouri

**AGENCY:** Federal Highway Administration (FHWA), DOT.

**ACTION:** Notice of Intent.

SUMMARY: The FHWA is issuing this notice to advise the public that a Tier 1 Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) will be prepared for the 66 Corridor Project in Alexander, Johnson, Massac, Pulaski, and Union Counties, Illinois; Ballard, and McCracken Counties, Kentucky; and Cape Girardeau, Mississippi and Scott Counties, Missouri.

### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Catherine A. Batey, Division Administrator, Federal Highway Administration, 3250 Executive Park Drive, Springfield, Illinois 62703, Phone: (217) 492–4600. Jeffrey L. Keirn, P.E., Deputy Director of Highways, Region Five Engineer, Illinois Department of Transportation, State Transportation Building, 2801 W. Murphyboro, P.O. Box 100, Carbondale, Illinois 62903, (618) 549–2171.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The FHWA, in cooperation with Illinois Department of Transportation, will prepare a Tier 1 EIS for the 66 Corridor Project. The anticipated project termini are Interstate 55 in Missouri and Paducah, Kentucky. The project study area includes portions of the following counties: Alexander, Union, Pulaski, Johnson and Massac Counties in Illinois; Ballard and McCracken Counties in Kentucky; and Cape Girardeau, Mississippi and Scott

Counties in Missouri. The study area covers approximately 2,500 square miles.

The Tier 1 EIS for the 66 Corridor Project is being conducted to evaluate the need for an improved transportation system between Paducah, Kentucky and I–55 in Missouri. The Tier One EIS will complete a broad analysis of transportation system alternative(s) in the study area and evaluate environmental impacts at a planning level of detail using a Geographic Information System (GIS) supplemented as needed by field investigations, transportation studies, economic impact studies, and cost analysis.

The primary environmental resources that may be affected by this project are: national forests; conservation areas; wildlife refuges; agricultural, residential, commercial, and industrial properties; cultural resources; Section 4(f) resources; threatened and endangered species; streams and floodplains; and wetlands. It is anticipated that a U.S. Army Corp of Engineers Section 404 permit and a U.S. Coast Guard Section 10 permit will be required for this project.

Alternatives assessed will seek to avoid and minimize impacts to these resources. In accordance with IDOT policies, the project is being developed using Context Sensitive Solutions (CSS) as the basis for an extensive stakeholder outreach program.

As part of early project coordination, scoping activities have been completed within the study area. A scoping summary will be prepared and distributed to the resource agencies.

A range of Alternatives will be developed and evaluated, including but not limited to: taking no action, existing roadway improvements, and new roadways on new location.

The Stakeholder Involvement Plan (SIP), which will satisfy the 23 U.S.C. Section 139 requirements for a Coordination Plan, will be developed to ensure that a full range of issues related to this proposed project are identified and addressed. The SIP provides meaningful opportunities for all stakeholders to participate in defining transportation issues and solutions for the study area.

Comments or questions concerning this proposed action and the Tier 1 EIS are invited from all interested parties and should be directed to the FHWA at the address provided above or the following Web site: www.66corridor.org

A public hearing will be held after the Tier 1 Draft EIS is published and made available for public and agency review. Public notice will be given of the time