

Filing Information

As a courtesy, we are making information related to sunset proceedings, including copies of the pertinent statute and Commerce's regulations, Commerce's schedule for Sunset Reviews, a listing of past revocations and continuations, and current service lists, available to the public on Commerce's website at the following address: <https://enforcement.trade.gov/sunset/>. All submissions in these Sunset Reviews must be filed in accordance with Commerce's regulations regarding format, translation, and service of documents. These rules, including electronic filing requirements via Enforcement and Compliance's Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS), can be found at 19 CFR 351.303.¹

Any party submitting factual information in an AD/CVD proceeding must certify to the accuracy and completeness of that information.² Parties must use the certification formats provided in 19 CFR 351.303(g).³ Commerce intends to reject factual submissions if the submitting party does not comply with applicable revised certification requirements.

On April 10, 2013, Commerce modified two regulations related to AD/CVD proceedings: The definition of factual information (19 CFR 351.102(b)(21)), and the time limits for the submission of factual information (19 CFR 351.301).⁴ Parties are advised to review the final rule, available at <https://enforcement.trade.gov/frn/2013/1304frn/2013-08227.txt>, prior to submitting factual information in these segments. To the extent that other regulations govern the submission of factual information in a segment (such as 19 CFR 351.218), these time limits will continue to be applied. Parties are also advised to review the final rule concerning the extension of time limits for submissions in AD/CVD proceedings, available at <https://enforcement.trade.gov/frn/2013/1309frn/2013-22853.txt>, prior to

submitting factual information in these segments.⁵

Letters of Appearance and Administrative Protective Orders

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.103(d), Commerce will maintain and make available a public service list for these proceedings. Parties wishing to participate in any of these five-year reviews must file letters of appearance as discussed at 19 CFR 351.103(d). To facilitate the timely preparation of the public service list, it is requested that those seeking recognition as interested parties to a proceeding submit an entry of appearance within 10 days of the publication of the Notice of Initiation. Because deadlines in Sunset Reviews can be very short, we urge interested parties who want access to proprietary information under administrative protective order (APO) to file an APO application immediately following publication in the **Federal Register** of this notice of initiation. Commerce's regulations on submission of proprietary information and eligibility to receive access to business proprietary information under APO can be found at 19 CFR 351.304–306. Note that Commerce has temporarily modified certain of its requirements for serving documents containing business proprietary information, until further notice.⁶

Information Required From Interested Parties

Domestic interested parties, as defined in section 771(9)(C), (D), (E), (F), and (G) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.102(b), wishing to participate in a Sunset Review must respond not later than 15 days after the date of publication in the **Federal Register** of this notice of initiation by filing a notice of intent to participate. The required contents of the notice of intent to participate are set forth at 19 CFR 351.218(d)(1)(ii). In accordance with Commerce's regulations, if we do not receive a notice of intent to participate from at least one domestic interested party by the 15-day deadline, Commerce will automatically revoke the order without further review.⁷

If we receive an order-specific notice of intent to participate from a domestic interested party, Commerce's regulations provide that *all parties* wishing to participate in a Sunset Review must file complete substantive

responses not later than 30 days after the date of publication in the **Federal Register** of this notice of initiation. The required contents of a substantive response, on an order-specific basis, are set forth at 19 CFR 351.218(d)(3). Note that certain information requirements differ for respondent and domestic parties. Also, note that Commerce's information requirements are distinct from the ITC's information requirements. Consult Commerce's regulations for information regarding Commerce's conduct of Sunset Reviews. Consult Commerce's regulations at 19 CFR part 351 for definitions of terms and for other general information concerning antidumping and countervailing duty proceedings at Commerce.

This notice of initiation is being published in accordance with section 751(c) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.218(c).

Dated: December 18, 2020.

James Maeder,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations.

[FR Doc. 2020–29123 Filed 12–31–20; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A–552–803]

Uncovered Innerspring Units From the Socialist Republic of Vietnam: Final Results of the Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2018–2019

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (Commerce) has completed the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on uncovered innerspring units (innersprings) from the Socialist Republic of Vietnam (Vietnam) covering the period of review (POR) December 1, 2018 through November 30, 2019. We continue to find that Angkor Spring Co., Ltd. (Angkor Spring) did not demonstrate eligibility for a separate rate; therefore, it is part of the Vietnam-wide entity.

DATES: Applicable January 4, 2021.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Brendan Quinn, AD/CVD Operations, Office III, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Ave. NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–5848.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

¹ See also *Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Proceedings: Electronic Filing Procedures; Administrative Protective Order Procedures*, 76 FR 39263 (July 6, 2011).

² See section 782(b) of the Act.

³ See also *Certification of Factual Information to Import Administration During Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Proceedings*, 78 FR 42678 (July 17, 2013) (*Final Rule*). Answers to frequently asked questions regarding the *Final Rule* are available at http://enforcement.trade.gov/tlei/notices/factual_info_final_rule_FAQ_07172013.pdf.

⁴ See *Definition of Factual Information and Time Limits for Submission of Factual Information: Final Rule*, 78 FR 21246 (April 10, 2013).

⁵ See *Extension of Time Limits*, 78 FR 57790 (September 20, 2013).

⁶ See *Temporary Rule Modifying AD/CVD Service Requirements Due to COVID–19*, 85 FR 41363 (July 10, 2020).

⁷ See 19 CFR 351.218(d)(1)(iii).

Background

On July 1, 2020, Commerce published the *Preliminary Results* of this review and gave interested parties an opportunity to comment.¹ We received no comments. These final results cover one company for which an administrative review was requested and not rescinded: Angkor Spring.² This review was conducted in accordance with section 751(a)(1) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act).³

Scope of the Order

The merchandise subject to this order is uncovered innerspring units composed of a series of individual metal springs joined together in sizes corresponding to the sizes of adult mattresses (e.g., twin, twin long, full, full long, queen, California king and king) and units used in small constructions, such as crib and youth mattresses. All uncovered innerspring units are included in the scope regardless of width and length. Including within this definition are innersprings typically ranging from 30.5 inches to 76 inches in width and 68 inches to 84 inches in length. Innerspring for crib mattresses typically range from 25 inches to 27 inches in width and 50 inches to 52 inches in length.

Uncovered innerspring units are suitable for use as the innerspring component in the manufacture of innerspring mattresses, including mattresses that incorporate a foam encasement around the innerspring.

Pocketed and non-pocketed innerspring units are included in this definition. Non-pocketed innersprings are typically joined together with helical wire and border rods. Non-pocketed innersprings are included in this definition regardless of whether they have border rods attached to the perimeter of the innerspring. Pocketed innersprings are individual coils covered by a “pocket” or “sock” of a nonwoven synthetic material or woven material and then glued together in a linear fashion.

Uncovered innersprings are classified under subheading 9404.29.9010 and have also been classified under

subheadings 9404.10.0000, 9404.29.9005, 9404.29.9011, 7326.20.0070, 7326.20.0090, 7320.20.5010, 7320.90.5010, or 7326.20.0071 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS).⁴ The HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes only; the written description of the scope of the order is dispositive.

Final Results of Review

Commerce preliminarily determined that the company subject to this review did not demonstrate eligibility for separate rate status and, thus, Commerce found it to be part of the Vietnam-wide entity.⁵ As noted above, Commerce received no comments concerning the *Preliminary Results* of this review. We find that there is no reason to modify our analysis. Accordingly, no decision memorandum accompanies this **Federal Register** notice. For further details regarding the issues addressed in this proceeding, see the *Preliminary Results*.⁶

In these final results of review, we continue to treat the sole exporter subject to this review as part of the Vietnam-wide entity.⁷ The Vietnam-wide rate is 116.31 percent.

Vietnam-Wide Entity

Commerce’s policy regarding the conditional review of the Vietnam-wide entity applies to this administrative review.⁸ Under this policy, the Vietnam-wide entity will not be under review unless a party specifically requests, or Commerce self-initiates, a review of the entity. Because no party requested a review of the Vietnam-wide entity, and Commerce did not self-initiate a review, the entity is not under review and the entity’s rate is not subject to change (i.e., 116.31 percent).

Assessment Rates

Commerce has determined, and CBP shall assess, antidumping duties on all

appropriate entries in this review, in accordance with section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1). We intend to issue assessment instructions directly to CBP 15 days after publication in the **Federal Register** or these final results of this administrative review.

Cash Deposit Requirements

The following cash deposit requirements will be effective upon publication of the final results of this administrative review for all shipments of subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date, as provided for by section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act: (1) For previously investigated or reviewed Vietnamese and non-Vietnamese exporters not under review in this segment of the proceeding, but who have separate rates, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the exporter-specific rate published for the most recent period; (2) for all Vietnamese exporters of subject merchandise that have not been found to be entitled to a separate rate, the cash deposit rate will be the Vietnam-wide entity rate (i.e., 116.31 percent); and (3) for all non-Vietnamese exporters of subject merchandise which have not received their own rate, the cash deposit rate will be the rate applicable to the Vietnamese exporter that supplied that non-Vietnamese exporter. These deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

Reimbursement of Duties

This notice also serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in Commerce’s presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

Administrative Protective Order

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3), which continues to govern business proprietary information in this segment of the proceeding. Timely written notification of the return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested.

¹ See *Uncovered Innerspring Units from the Socialist Republic of Vietnam: Preliminary Results of the Administrative Review; 2018–2019*, 85 FR 39524 (July 1, 2020) (*Preliminary Results*).

² *Id.*

³ On July 21, 2020, Commerce tolled all deadlines in administrative reviews by an additional 60 days. The deadline for the final results of this review is now December 28, 2020. See Memorandum, “Tolling of Deadlines for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews,” dated July 21, 2020.

⁴ Based on a recommendation by CBP, on September 15, 2017, Commerce added HTS 7326.20.0090 to the scope. See Memorandum, “Request from Customs and Border Protection to Update the ACE AD/CVD Case Reference File,” dated September 15, 2017 (Barcode 3622582–01).

⁵ See *Preliminary Results*.

⁶ *Id.*

⁷ In the *Preliminary Results*, we found the sole exporter subject to this review to be part of the Vietnam-wide entity, as it failed to submit a separate rate application and/or a separate rate certification to establish its eligibility for separate rate status.

⁸ See *Antidumping Proceedings: Announcement of Change in Department Practice for Respondent Selection in Antidumping Duty Proceedings and Conditional Review of the Nonmarket Economy Entity in NME Antidumping Duty Proceedings*, 78 FR 65963 (November 4, 2013).

Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation, which is subject to sanction.

Notification to Interested Parties

We are issuing and publishing this notice in accordance with section 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act, and 19 CFR 351.213(h).

Dated: December 28, 2020.

Jeffrey I. Kessler,

Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

[FR Doc. 2020–29110 Filed 12–31–20; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A–570–117]

Wood Mouldings and Millwork Products From the People's Republic of China: Final Affirmative Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (Commerce) determines that imports of wood mouldings and millwork products (millwork products) from the People's Republic of China (China) are being, or are likely to be, sold in the United States at less than fair value (LTFV). The period of investigation is July 1, 2019 through December 31, 2019.

DATES: Applicable January 4, 2021.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Brian Smith or Michael Bowen, AD/CVD Operations, Office VIII, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–1766 or (202) 482–0768, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On August 12, 2020, Commerce published the *Preliminary Determination* in the LTFV investigation of millwork products from China.¹ For a complete description of the events that followed the *Preliminary Determination*,

see the Issues and Decision Memorandum.²

Scope of the Investigation

The products covered by this investigation are millwork products from China. For a complete description of the scope of this investigation, see Appendix I.

Scope Comments

On August 5, 2020, we issued a Preliminary Scope Memorandum.³ Several interested parties submitted case and rebuttal briefs concerning the scope of this investigation. For a summary of the product coverage comments and rebuttal comments submitted to the record for this final determination, and accompanying discussion and analysis of all comments timely received, see the Final Scope Memorandum.⁴ Based on the comments received from interested parties, we are revising the scope of this investigation as it appeared in the *Preliminary Determination*. The scope in Appendix I reflects these changes.

Verification

Commerce normally verifies information relied upon in making its final determination, pursuant to section 782(i)(1) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act). However, during the course of this investigation, Commerce was unable to conduct on-site verification due to travel restrictions.⁵ Consistent with section 776(a)(2)(D) of the Act, Commerce relied on the information submitted on the record, where appropriate, which we used in making our *Preliminary Determination*, as facts available in making our final determination.

Analysis of Comments Received

All issues raised in the case and rebuttal briefs that were submitted by

² See Memorandum, “Wood Mouldings and Millwork Products from the People's Republic of China: Issues and Decision Memorandum for the Final Affirmative Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value,” dated concurrently with, and hereby adopted by, this notice (Issues and Decision Memorandum).

³ See Memorandum, “Wood Mouldings and Millwork Products from Brazil and the People's Republic of China: Preliminary Scope Decision Memorandum,” dated August 5, 2020 (Preliminary Scope Memorandum).

⁴ See Memorandum, “Wood Mouldings and Millwork Products from Brazil and the People's Republic of China: Scope Comments Decision Memorandum for the Final Determinations,” dated concurrently with, and hereby adopted by, this notice (Final Scope Memorandum).

⁵ See Memorandum, “Antidumping Duty Investigation of Wood Mouldings and Millwork Products from the People's Republic of China: Cancellation of Verification and Establishment of Briefing Schedule,” dated October 23, 2020.

parties in this investigation are addressed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum. For a list of the issues raised by interested parties and addressed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum, see Appendix II to this notice. The Issues and Decision Memorandum is a public document and is made available to the public via Enforcement and Compliance's Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS). ACCESS is available to registered users at <http://access.trade.gov>. In addition, a complete version of the Issues and Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly at <https://enforcement.trade.gov/frn/index.html>. The signed and electronic versions of the Issues and Decision Memorandum are identical in content.

China-Wide Entity and Use of Adverse Facts Available

Commerce continues to find that the use of facts available is warranted in determining the rate of the China-wide entity pursuant to sections 776(a)(1) and (a)(2)(A)–(C) of the Act. As discussed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum, Commerce finds that the use of facts available is also warranted with respect to Bel Trade Wood Industrial Co., Ltd. Youxi Fujian (Bel Trade) pursuant to sections 776(a)(1) and (a)(2)(A)–(C) of the Act. Furthermore, we find that the use of adverse facts available (AFA) is warranted because the China-wide entity, including Bel Trade, did not cooperate to the best of its ability to comply with our requests for information and, accordingly, we applied adverse inferences in selecting from the facts available, pursuant to section 776(b) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.308(a). For the final determination, as AFA, we are assigning the China-wide entity, including Bel Trade, the rate of 230.36 percent, which is the highest calculated transaction-specific margin on the record.⁶

Separate Rates

We preliminarily granted 43 companies, including the two mandatory respondents, Fujian Yinfeng Imp & Exp Trading Co., Ltd./Fujian Province Youxi City Mangrove Wood Machining Co., Ltd. (Yinfeng/Mangrove)⁷ and Bel Trade, and 41 non-

⁶ See Issues and Decision Memorandum at “Application of Facts Available and Use of Facts Available” section for a full discussion.

⁷ Commerce preliminarily determined that the exporter Yinfeng, and its affiliated producer, Mangrove, are a single entity. See *Preliminary Determination* PDM. No new facts have been presented on the record of this investigation since

Continued

¹ See *Wood Mouldings and Millwork Products from the People's Republic of China: Preliminary Affirmative Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value, Postponement of Final Determination, and Extension of Provisional Measures*, 85 FR 48669 (August 12, 2020) (*Preliminary Determination*), and accompanying Preliminary Decision Memorandum (PDM).