market for the Subject Merchandise in the Subject Country after 2001, and significant changes, if any, that are likely to occur within a reasonably foreseeable time. Supply conditions to consider include technology; production methods; development efforts; ability to increase production (including the shift of production facilities used for other products and the use, cost, or availability of major inputs into production); and factors related to the ability to shift supply among different national markets (including barriers to importation in foreign markets or changes in market demand abroad). Demand conditions to consider include end uses and applications; the existence and availability of substitute products; and the level of competition among the Domestic Like Product produced in the United States, Subject Merchandise produced in the Subject Country, and such merchandise from other countries.

(11) (OPTIONAL) A statement of whether you agree with the above definitions of the Domestic Like Product and Domestic Industry; if you disagree with either or both of these definitions, please explain why and provide alternative definitions.

Authority: This review is being conducted under authority of title VII of the Tariff Act of 1930; this notice is published pursuant to section 207.61 of the Commission's rules.

By order of the Commission. Issued: June 25, 2007.

#### Marilyn R. Abbott,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. E7-12668 Filed 6-29-07; 8:45 am]

### INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337-TA-581]

In the Matter of Certain Inkjet Ink Supplies and Components Thereof: Notice of a Commission Determination Not To Review an Initial Determination Granting the Joint Motion of Complainant Hewlett-Packard Company and Respondent All Media Outlet Corporation To Terminate the Investigation With Respect to That Respondent; Termination of the Investigation

**AGENCY:** U.S. International Trade Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined not to review the presiding administrative law

judge's ("ALJ") initial determination ("ID") (Order No. 9) granting the joint motion of complainant Hewlett-Packard Company ("H–P") and respondent All Media Outlet Corporation d/b/a Inkandbeyond.com ("All Media") to terminate the investigation with respect to All Media, and terminating the investigation in its entirety.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Michelle Walters, Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 708-5468. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server at http://www.usitc.gov. The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at http:// edis.usitc.gov. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on (202) 205-1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Commission instituted this investigation on September 6, 2006, based on a complaint filed by H-P of California, subsequently amended, alleging violations of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1337) in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, or the sale within the United States after importation of certain inkjet ink supplies and components thereof by reason of infringement of one or more of claims 1-4, 7-9, 22, 24, and 25 of U.S. Patent No. 5.825.387: claims 1-9 and 12 of U.S. Patent No. 6,793,329; claims 8-10, 14, and 15 of U.S. Patent No. 6,074,042; claims 1-6 and 19-29 of U.S. Patent No. 6.588.880; claims 1-7 and 11-18 of U.S. Patent No. 6,364,472; claims 6, 7, 9, and 10 of U.S. Patent No. 6,089,687; and claims 1-3 and 5 of U.S. Patent No. 6,264,301. The complaint named six respondents: Ninestar Technology Co. Ltd. of China, Ninestar Technology Co. Ltd. of California, Aurora Eshop, Inc. d/ b/a butterflyinkjet.com of California, Iowaink, LLC d/b/a iowaink.com of Iowa, L2 Commerce Inc. d/b/a Printmicro.com of California, and All Media Outlet Corp. d/b/a Inkandbevond.com of California.

On March 19, 2007, H–P and All Media jointly moved to terminate the

investigation with respect to All Media, based on a settlement agreement. The Commission investigative attorney supported the motion.

On June 6, 2007, the ALJ issued an ID (Order No. 9) granting the joint motion to terminate the investigation with regard to All Media. The ALJ found that the joint motion complied with the requirements of Commission Rule 210.21 (19 CFR 210.21). The ALJ also concluded that, pursuant to Commission Rule 210.50(b)(2) (19 CFR 210.50(b)(2)), there is no evidence that termination of this investigation will prejudice the public interest. In addition, the ALJ noted that the termination of litigation under these circumstances as an alternative method of dispute resolution is generally in the public interest. Accordingly, the ALJ terminated the investigation as to All Media. In addition, the ALJ terminated the investigation in its entirety. No petitions for review of this ID were filed. The Commission has determined not to review the ID

The authority for the Commission's determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in section 210.42 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 210.42).

By order of the Commission. Issued: June 27, 2007.

### Marilyn R. Abbott,

Secretary to the Commission. [FR Doc. E7–12752 Filed 6–29–07; 8:45 am]

### BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

# INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[USITC SE-07-012]

### Government in the Sunshine Act Meeting Notice

**AGENCY HOLDING THE MEETING:** United States International Trade Commission.

TIME AND DATE: July 10, 2007 at 11 a.m. PLACE: Room 101, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436, Telephone:

(202) 205–2000.

**STATUS:** Open to the public.

## MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED: 1. Agenda for future meetings: none.

- 2. Minutes.
- 3. Ratification List.
- 4. Inv. Nos. 731–TA–873–875, 877–880, and 882 (Review) (Steel Concrete Reinforcing Bar from Belarus, China, Indonesia, Korea, Latvia, Moldova, Poland, and Ukraine)—briefing and vote. (The Commission is currently scheduled to transmit its determination

and Commissioners' opinions to the Secretary of Commerce on or before July 25, 2007.)

5. Outstanding action jackets: none. In accordance with Commission policy, subject matter listed above, not disposed of at the scheduled meeting, may be carried over to the agenda of the following meeting.

By order of the Commission. Issued: June 26, 2007.

### William R. Bishop,

Hearings and Meetings Coordinator. [FR Doc. E7–12639 Filed 6–29–07; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

#### **DEPARTMENT OF LABOR**

### Office of the Secretary

# Submission for OMB Review: Comment Request

June 25, 2007

The Department of Labor (DOL) has submitted the following public information collection requests (ICR) to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104–13, 44 U.S.C. chapter 35). A copy of each ICR, with applicable supporting documentation, may be obtained from RegInfo.gov at <a href="http://www.reginfo.gov/public/do/PRAMain">http://www.reginfo.gov/public/do/PRAMain</a> or by contacting Darrin King on 202–693–4129 (this is not a toll-free number) / email: <a href="mailto:king.darrin@dol.gov">king.darrin@dol.gov</a>.

Comments should be sent to Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Attn: OMB Desk Officer for the Employee Benefits Security Administration (EBSA), Office of Management and Budget, Room 10235, Washington, DC 20503, Telephone: 202–395–7316 / Fax: 202–395–6974 (these are not a toll-free numbers), within 30 days from the date of this publication in the **Federal Register**.

The OMB is particularly interested in comments which:

- Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;
- Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
- Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and
- Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who

are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

*Agency:* Employee Benefits Security Administration.

*Type of Review:* Extension without change of currently approved collection.

Title: Prohibited Transaction Class Exemption 92–6: Sale of Individual Life Insurance or Annuity Contracts By a Plan.

OMB Number: 1210–0063. Type of Response: Third party disclosure.

Affected Public: Private Sector: Business or other for-profit.

Estimated Number of Respondents: 9.780.

Estimated Number of Annual Responses: 9,780.

Estimated Total Burden Hours: 1,956. Estimated Total Annualized capital/ startup costs: \$0.

Estimated Total Annual Costs (operating/maintaining systems or purchasing services): \$4,499.

Description: Section 408(a) of the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974 (ERISA) and section 4975(c)(2) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 (the Code) authorize the Secretary of Labor and the Secretary of the Treasury to grant a conditional or unconditional exemption of any fiduciary, disqualified person or class of fiduciaries, or orders of disqualified persons or transactions, from all or part of the restrictions imposed by sections 406 and 407(a) of ERISA and from the taxes imposed by sections 4975(a) and (b) of the Code, by reason of section 4975(c)(1) of the Code. Under section 102 of Reorganization Plan No. 4 of 1978 (Reorganization Plan No. 4), the Secretary of Labor was given the authority to grant such exemptions.

Prohibited Transaction Class Exemption 92-6 (PTE 92-6) was granted on February 5, 1992 and became effective on October 22, 1986. PTE 92-6 amends and replaces Prohibited Transaction Class Exemption 77-8 (PTE 77-8), and exempts from the prohibited transaction restrictions the sale of individual life insurance or annuity contracts held by an employee benefit plan to: (1) Plan participants insured under such contracts; (2) relatives of such participants who are the beneficiaries under the contract, (3) employers, any of whose employees are covered by the plan; (4) other employee benefit plans that have a party in interest relationship; (5) owneremployees (as defined in section

401(c)(3) of the Code), (6) shareholderemployees (as defined in section 1379 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1954 as in effect on the day before the enactment of the Subchapter S Revision Act of 1982), or (7) trusts established by plan participants insured under such contracts or relatives of such participants who are the beneficiaries under the contract, for the cash surrender value of the contracts, provided certain conditions set forth in the class exemption are met.

In order to ensure that the class exemption is not abused, that the rights of the participants and beneficiaries are protected, and that the exemption's conditions are being complied with, the Department often requires minimal information collection pertaining to the affected transactions.

The Department has included in the class exemption a basic disclosure requirement. Pension plans are required to inform the insured participant of a proposed sale of a life insurance or annuity policy to the employer, a relative, another plan, an owneremployee, or a shareholder-employee. If the participant elects not to purchase the contract, the relative, the employer, another plan, the owner-employees, or the shareholder-employees may purchase the contract from the plan upon the receipt by the plan of written consent of the participant. The disclosure requirement of the class exemption does not apply if the contract is sold to the plan participant. The disclosure requirement incorporated within this class exemption is intended to protect the rights of plan participants and beneficiaries by putting them on notice of the plan's intention to sell insurance or annuity contracts under which they are insured, and by giving them the right of first refusal to purchase such contracts. Without this disclosure requirement, the Department, which may only grant an exemption if it can find that participants and beneficiaries are protected, would be unable to effectively enforce the terms of the class exemption and ensure user compliance.

*Agency:* Employee Benefits Security Administration.

*Type of Review:* Extension without change of currently approved collection.

Title: Prohibited Transaction Class Exemption 91–55: Transactions Between Individual Retirement Accounts and Authorized Purchasers of American Eagle Coins.

OMB Number: 1210–0079. Type of Response: Recordkeeping and Third party disclosure.

Affected Public: Private Sector: Business or other for-profit.