Paragraph (d)(2) requires employers to certify in writing that they have performed the hazard assessment. The certification must include the date and the person certifying that the hazard assessment was conducted, and the identification of the workplace evaluated (area or location).

The hazard assessment assures that potential workplace hazards necessitating PPE use have been identified and the PPE selected is appropriate for those hazards and the affected workers. The required certification of the hazard assessment verifies that the required hazard assessment was conducted.

Training and Verification (§ 1910.132(f))

Section 1910.132(f) requires that employers provide training for each worker who is required to wear PPE. Paragraph (f)(3) requires that employers also provide retraining when there is reason to believe that any previously trained worker does not have the understanding and skill to use PPE properly. Circumstances where such retraining is required include changes in the workplace that render prior training obsolete, changes in the types of PPE used, and inadequacies in the worker's knowledge or use of PPE that indicate the worker had not retained the requisite understanding and skill.

Paragraph (f)(4) requires that employers certify that workers have received and understood the PPE training required in § 1910.132(f). The training certification must include the name of the worker(s) trained, the date(s) of training, and the subject of the certification (i.e., a statement identifying the document as a certification of training in the use of PPE).

The training certification verifies that workers have received the necessary training and know how to properly use PPE. OSHA compliance officers may require employers to disclose the certification records during an Agency inspection.

The standards on PPE protection for the eyes and face (29 CFR 1910.133), head (29 CFR 1910.135, feet (29 CFR 1910.136)), and hands (29 CFR 1910.138) do not contain any separate information collection requirements.

II. Special Issues for Comment

OSHA has a particular interest in comments on the following issues:

- Whether the proposed information collection requirements are necessary for the proper performance of the Agency's functions, including whether the information is useful;
- The accuracy of OSHA's estimate of the burden (time and costs) of the

information collection requirements, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;

- The quality, utility, and clarity of the information collected; and
- Ways to minimize the burden on employers who must comply; for example, by using automated or other technological information collection and transmission techniques.

III. Proposed Actions

OSHA is requesting that OMB extend its approval of the information collection requirements contained in the Personal Protective Equipment Standard for General Industry (29 CFR part 1910, subpart I). OSHA is proposing to decrease the burden hours in the currently approved information collection request from 3,953,759 to 3,552,171 (a total decrease of 401,588 hours). This decrease is due to the reduction in the percentage of establishments with 20 or more workers affected by the Standard.

The Agency will summarize the comments submitted in response to this notice and will include this summary in the request to OMB.

Type of Review: Extension of a currently approved collection.

Title: Personal Protective Equipment (PPE) for General Industry (29 CFR part 1910, subpart I).

OMB Number: 1218-0205.

Affected Public: Business or other forprofits; Federal Government; State, Local, or Tribal Government.

Number of Respondents: 3,500,000. Frequency of Response: On occasion.

Average Time per Response: Varies from one minute (.02 hour) to maintain a training certification record to 29 hours to perform a hazard assessment.

Estimated Total Burden Hours: 3,552,171.

Estimated Cost (Operation and Maintenance): \$0.

IV. Public Participation—Submission of **Comments on This Notice and Internet Access to Comments and Submissions**

You may submit comments in response to this document as follows: (1) Electronically at http:// www.regulations.gov, which is the Federal eRulemaking Portal; (2) by facsimile (FAX); or (3) by hard copy. All comments, attachments, and other material must identify the Agency name and the OSHA docket number for the ICR (Docket No. OSHA-2009-0028). You may supplement electronic submissions by uploading document files electronically. If you wish to mail additional materials in reference to an electronic or facsimile submission, you must submit them to the OSHA Docket

Office (see the section of this notice titled ADDRESSES). The additional materials must clearly identify your electronic comments by your name, date, and the docket number so the Agency can attach them to your comments.

Because of security procedures, the use of regular mail may cause a significant delay in the receipt of comments. For information about security procedures concerning the delivery of materials by hand, express delivery, messenger, or courier service, please contact the OSHA Docket Office at (202) 693-2350 (TTY (877) 889-5627).

Comments and submissions are posted without change at http:// www.regulations.gov. Therefore, OSHA cautions commenters about submitting personal information such as social security numbers and date of birth. Although all submissions are listed in the http://www.regulations.gov index, some information (e.g., copyrighted material) is not publicly available to read or download through this Web site. All submissions, including copyrighted material, are available for inspection and copying at the OSHA Docket Office. Information on using the http:// www.regulations.gov Web site to submit comments and access the docket is available at the Web site's "User Tips" link. Contact the OSHA Docket Office for information about materials not available through the Web site, and for assistance in using the Internet to locate docket submissions.

V. Authority and Signature

Jordan Barab, Acting Assistant Secretary of Labor for Occupational Safety and Health, directed the preparation of this notice. The authority for this notice is the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3506 et seq.) and Secretary of Labor's Order No. 5-2007 (72 FR 31160).

Signed at Washington, DC, this 18th day of November 2009.

Jordan Barab,

Acting Assistant Secretary of Labor for Occupational Safety and Health. [FR Doc. E9-28031 Filed 11-20-09; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4510-26-P

LIBRARY OF CONGRESS

Copyright Royalty Board

Notice of Intent To Audit

AGENCY: Copyright Royalty Board,

Library of Congress. **ACTION:** Public notice. **SUMMARY:** The Copyright Royalty Judges are announcing receipt of a notice of intent to audit the 2006, 2007 and 2008 statements of account submitted by AOL LLC concerning the royalty payments made under two statutory licenses.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Richard Strasser, Senior Attorney, or Gina Giuffreda, Attorney Advisor, by telephone at (202) 707–7658 or e-mail at *crb@loc.gov*.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: In 1995, Congress enacted the Digital

Performance Right in Sound Recordings Act of 1995 ("DPRA"), Public Law 104–39, which created an exclusive right for copyright owners of sound recordings, subject to certain limitations, to perform publicly sound recordings by means of certain digital audio transmissions. Among the limitations on the performance right was the creation of a compulsory license for nonexempt noninteractive digital subscription transmissions. 17 U.S.C. 114(f).

Section 114 was later amended with the passage of the Digital Millennium Copyright Act of 1998 ("DMCA"), Public Law 105–304, to cover additional digital audio transmissions, including eligible nonsubscription transmissions.¹ In addition to expanding the section 114 license, the DMCA also created a statutory license to allow a service to make any necessary ephemeral reproductions to facilitate the digital transmission of the sound recording. 17 U.S.C. 112(e).

Licensees may operate under these licenses provided they pay the royalty fees and comply with the terms set by the Copyright Royalty Judges ("Judges"). On May 1, 2007, the Judges issued their final determination setting rates and terms for the section 112 and 114 licenses for the period 2006-2010. 72 FR 24084. As part of the terms set for these licenses, the Judges designated SoundExchange, Inc. as the organization charged with collecting the royalty payments and statements of account and distributing the royalties to the copyright owners and performers entitled to receive such royalties under the section 112 and 114 licenses. 37 CFR 380.4(b)(1). As the designated Collective, SoundExchange may conduct a single audit of a licensee for

any calendar year for the purpose of verifying their royalty payments. SoundExchange must first file with the Judges a notice of intent to audit a licensee and serve the notice on the licensee to be audited. 37 CFR 380.6(b), (c).

On November 5, 2009, pursuant to 37 CFR 380.6(c), SoundExchange filed with the Judges a notice of intent to audit AOL LLC for the years 2006, 2007, and 2008. Section 380.6(c) requires the Judges to publish a notice in the **Federal Register** within 30 days of receipt of the notice announcing the Collective's intent to conduct an audit.

In accordance with 37 CFR 380.6(c), the Copyright Royalty Judges are publishing today's notice to fulfill this requirement with respect to SoundExchange's notice of intent to audit AOL LLC filed November 5, 2009.

Dated: November 17, 2009.

James Scott Sledge,

Chief, U.S. Copyright Royalty Judge. [FR Doc. E9–27980 Filed 11–20–09; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 1410–72–P

NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ADMINISTRATION

[Notice: (09-100)]

Notice of Information Collection

AGENCY: National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA).

ACTION: Notice of information collection.

SUMMARY: The National Aeronautics and Space Administration, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent burden, invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on proposed and/or continuing information collections, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104–13, 44 U.S.C. 3506(c)(2)(A)).

DATES: All comments should be submitted within 60 calendar days from the date of this publication.

ADDRESSES: All comments should be addressed to Mrs. Lori Parker, National Aeronautics and Space Administration, Washington, DC 20546–0001.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Requests for additional information or copies of the information collection instrument(s) and instructions should be directed to Mrs. Lori Parker, NASA PRA Officer, NASA Headquarters, 300 E Street, SW., JE000, Washington, DC 20546, (202) 358–1351, Lori.Parker-1@nasa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Abstract

The analysis of the Effective Messaging Research survey will position NASA to effectively communicate Agency messages.

II. Method of Collection

All survey responses will be collected by telephone and tabulated electronically.

III. Data

Title: Effective Messaging Research.

OMB Number: 2700–0113.

Type of review: Extension of currently approved collection.

Affected Public: Individuals and households, Business or other for-profit, not-for-profit institutions, Federal Government, and State, Local or Tribal Government.

Number of Respondents: 2,700. Responses per Respondent: 1. Annual Responses: 2,700. Hours per Request: 0.33 hours. Annual Burden Hours: 900.

IV. Request for Comments

Comments are invited on: (1) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of NASA, including whether the information collected has practical utility; (2) the accuracy of NASA's estimate of the burden (including hours and cost) of the proposed collection of information; (3) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (4) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including automated collection techniques or the use of other forms of information technology.

Comments submitted in response to this notice will be summarized and included in the request for OMB approval of this information collection. They will also become a matter of public record.

Lori Parker,

NASA PRA Clearance Officer. [FR Doc. E9–27970 Filed 11–20–09; 8:45 am]

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket Nos. 50-445 and 50-446; NRC-2009-0510]

Luminant Generation Company, LLC; Comanche Peak Steam Electric Station, Units 1 and 2; Environmental Assessment and Finding of No Significant Impact

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) is considering

¹ An "eligible nonsubscription transmission" is a noninteractive digital audio transmission which, as the name implies, does not require a subscription for receiving the transmission. The transmission must also be made as a part of a service that provides audio programming consisting in whole or in part of performances of sound recordings the primary purpose of which is to provide audio or entertainment programming, but not to sell, advertise, or promote particular goods or services other than sound recordings, live concerts, or other music-related events. 17 U.S.C. 114(j)(6).