Dated: May 7, 2002.

Brenda S. Dolan,

Department of Commerce, Freedom of Information/Privacy Act Officer.

[FR Doc. 02–11774 Filed 5–9–02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–07–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Foreign-Trade Zones Board

[Docket 22–2002]

Foreign-Trade Zone 39—Dallas/Fort Worth, TX; Expansion of Subzone 39E, Fossil Partners, L.P. (Watches and Consumer Goods)

An application has been submitted to the Foreign-Trade Zones Board (the Board) by the Dallas/Fort Worth International Airport Board, grantee of FTZ 39, requesting on behalf of the watch and accessories warehousing/distribution facility of Fossil Partners, L.P. (Fossil) to expand Subzone 39E, located in Richardson, Texas. The applicant requests the addition of a new site in Dallas, Texas. The application was submitted pursuant to the Foreign-Trade Zones Act, as amended (19 U.S.C. 81a–81u), and the regulations of the Board (15 CFR part 400). It was formally filed on May 1, 2002.

Subzone 39E was approved on December 3, 1997 (Board Order No. 937, 12/10/97). Authority was granted for the warehousing/distribution of watches and accessories at the Fossil facility. The original authority covered a facility located at 2280 N. Greenville Avenue (300,000 sq. ft., 20.41 acres) in Richardson, Texas. On January 28, 2002, authority was granted for a minor boundary modification (A(27f)–7–02), which allowed the company to temporarily relocate its subzone designation (300,000 sq. ft.) to a facility located at 10615 Sanden Drive (517,000 sq. ft., 47.5 acres), in Dallas, Texas.

Fossil requests an expansion of subzone status that would cover its entire Dallas (Sanden Drive) site (517,000 sq. ft.; 47.5 acres). The company also requests a reinstatement of the 300,000 sq. ft. at the Richardson, Texas facility, that was deleted in the January 2002 action that is described above. The nature of the original warehousing/distribution operation at the subzone remains unchanged in terms of products and activities. The level of activity would increase commensurate with the increase in the size of the facility (300,000 sq. ft. to 517,000 sq. ft.).

In accordance with the Board's regulations, a member of the FTZ Staff has been designated examiner to investigate the application and report to the Board.

Public comment on the application is invited from interested parties. Submissions (original and 3 copies) shall be addressed to the Board's Executive Secretary at one of the addresses:

1. *Submissions via Express/Package Delivery Services:* Foreign-Trade Zones Board, U.S. Department of Commerce, Franklin Court Building—Suite 4100W, 1099 14th Street NW, Washington, DC 20005; or,

2. *Submissions via the U.S. Postal Service:* Foreign-Trade Zones Board, U.S. Department of Commerce, FCB—Suite 4100W, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230.

The closing period for their receipt is July 9, 2002. Rebuttal comments in response to material submitted during the foregoing period may be submitted during the subsequent 15-day period (to July 24, 2002).

A copy of the application and accompanying exhibits will be available for public inspection at the Office of the Foreign-Trade Zones Board at the first address listed above and the U.S. Department of Commerce, Export Assistance Center, 711 Houston Street, Fort Worth, Texas 76102.

Dated: May 1, 2002.

Dennis Puccinelli,

Executive Secretary.

[FR Doc. 02–11772 Filed 5–9–02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A–588–835]

Oil Country Tubular Goods (OCTG) From Japan: Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: In response to a request from petitioner, the Department of Commerce (the Department) initiated an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on oil country tubular goods (OCTG) from Japan. *See Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews and Requests for Revocation in Part*, 66 FR 49924 (October 1, 2001). This review covers four manufacturers/exporters of OCTG for the period from August 1, 2000 through July 31, 2001. Because the petitioner has withdrawn its request for review, the Department is rescinding its review of OCTG from Japan, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1).

EFFECTIVE DATE: May 10, 2002.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Doug Campau or Maureen Flannery, AD/CVD Enforcement Group III, Office 7, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington D.C. 20230; telephone (202) 482–1395 or (202) 482–3020, respectively.

Applicable Statute and Regulations

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations are references to the provisions of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act). In addition, unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Department's regulations are to the provisions codified at 19 CFR Part 351 (2001).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

The Department published in the Federal Register the antidumping duty order on OCTG from Japan on August 11, 1995. *See Antidumping Duty Order: Oil Country Tubular Goods From Japan*, 60 FR 41058 (August 11, 1995). The Department received a timely request from petitioner, United States Steel LLC, to conduct an administrative review pursuant to section 351.213(b) of the Department's regulations. On September 24, 2001, the Department initiated an administrative review covering four manufacturers/exporters of OCTG: Kawasaki Steel Corporation, Nippon Steel Corporation, NKK Steel Corporation/NKK Tubes, and Sumitomo Metal Industries, Ltd. *See Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews*, 66 FR 49924 (October 1, 2001). On April 5, 2002, petitioner withdrew its request for administrative review with respect to all four respondents named in the initiation.

Rescission of Antidumping Administrative Review

Pursuant to our regulations, the Department will rescind an administrative review ''if a party that requested the review withdraws the request within 90 days of the date of publication of notice of initiation of the requested review.'' See 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1). This section further provides that the Secretary may extend this time limit if the Secretary decides that it is reasonable to do so. *See* 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1). In this case, the interested party's withdrawal of its requests for review was not within the 90–day time

limit. However, because there were no objections from other interested parties and no other parties had requested a review, the Department is rescinding the administrative review of OCTG from Japan for the period August 1, 2000, through July 31, 2001. *See Memorandum for the File through Barbara Tillman, Director, Office of AD/CVD Enforcement VII, from Doug Campau, Analyst: Oil Country Tubular Goods From Japan: Intent to Rescind Administrative Review for the Period of 8/1/00 to 7/31/01*, dated April 22, 2002.[1] The Department will issue appropriate assessment instructions to the U.S. Customs Service (Customs).

This notice serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3). Timely written notification of the return or destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

This determination and notice are issued and published in accordance with 19 CFR 351.213(d)(4) and sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: May 3, 2002

Joseph A. Spetrini,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, Group III.

[FR Doc. 02–11769 Filed 5–9–02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–S

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A–337–804]

Certain Preserved Mushrooms From Chile: Final Results of Antidumping Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of Final Results of Antidumping Administrative Review.

SUMMARY: On January 4, 2002, the Department of Commerce published the preliminary results of the second administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain preserved mushrooms from Chile (67 FR 562). The review covers three exporters. The period of review is December 1, 1999, through November 30, 2000.

We received comments on our preliminary results. After consideration of these comments, we have not made any changes in the margin calculations. Therefore, the final results are the same as the preliminary results. The final weighted-average dumping margins for the reviewed firms are listed below in the section entitled ''Final Results of Review.''

EFFECTIVE DATE: May 10, 2002.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: David J. Goldberger or Sophie E. Castro, Office 2, AD/CVD Enforcement Group I, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20230; telephone: (202) 482–4136 or (202) 482–0588, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

The Applicable Statute

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), are references to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the Act by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act (URAA). In addition, unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the U.S. Department of Commerce's (the Department's) regulations are to 19 CFR part 351 (2000).

Background

On January 4, 2002, the Department of Commerce published the preliminary results of the second administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain preserved mushrooms from Chile (67 FR 562). This review covers the following companies: Nature's Farm Products (Chile) S.A. (NFC), Ravine Foods Inc. (Ravine), and Compañia Envasadora del Atlantico (CEA). We invited parties to comment on the preliminary results of review. We received a case brief from CEA on February 1, 2002. The petitioner[1] submitted a rebuttal brief on February 11, 2002. CEA's request for a hearing was subsequently withdrawn. We have conducted this administrative review in accordance with section 751 of the Act.

Scope of the Order

The products covered by this order are certain preserved mushrooms, whether imported whole, sliced, diced, or as stems and pieces. The preserved mushrooms covered under this order are the species *Agaricus bisporus and Agaricus bitorquis*. ''Preserved mushrooms'' refer to mushrooms that have been prepared or preserved by cleaning, blanching, and sometimes slicing or cutting. These mushrooms are then packed and heated in containers including but not limited to cans or glass jars in a suitable liquid medium, including but not limited to water, brine, butter or butter sauce. Preserved mushrooms may be imported whole, sliced, diced, or as stems and pieces. Included within the scope of this order are ''brined'' mushrooms, which are presalted and packed in a heavy salt solution to provisionally preserve them for further processing.

Excluded from the scope of this order are the following: (1) All other species of mushroom, including straw mushrooms; (2) all fresh and chilled mushrooms, including ''refrigerated'' or ''quick blanched mushrooms''; (3) dried mushrooms; (4) frozen mushrooms; and (5) ''marinated,'' ''acidified'' or ''pickled'' mushrooms, which are prepared or preserved by means of vinegar or acetic acid, but may contain oil or other additives.

The merchandise subject to this order is currently classifiable under subheadings 2003.10.0027, 2003.10.0031, 2003.10.0037, 2003.10.0043, 2003.10.0047, 2003.10.0053, and 0711.90.4000 of the *Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States* (HTSUS). Although the HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description of the scope of this order is dispositive.

Analysis of Comments Received

We have made no changes to our preliminary results. All issues raised in the case and rebuttal briefs by parties to this antidumping duty administrative review are addressed in the ''Issues and Decision Memorandum'' (''Decision Memo'') from Richard W. Moreland, Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, to Faryar Shirzad, Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, dated May 6, 2002, which is hereby adopted by this notice. A list of the issues which parties have raised and to which we have responded, all of which are in the Decision Memo, is attached to this notice as an

[1] On April 23, 2002, we faxed this memorandum to all interested parties, and informed them of our intent to rescind this review in the very near future. See Memorandum for the File from Christian Hughes, Analyst: Oil Country Tubular Goods From Japan: Notification to Interested Parties of Intent to Rescind, dated April 23, 2002.

[1] The petitioner is the Coalition for Fair Preserved Mushroom Trade which includes the American Mushroom Institute and the following domestic companies: L.K. Bowman, Inc., Nottingham, PA; Modern Mushroom Farms, Inc., Toughkenamon, PA; Monterey Mushrooms, Inc., Watsonville, CA; Mount Laurel Canning Corp., Temple, PA; Mushrooms Canning Company, Kennett Square, PA; Southwood Farms, Hockessin, DE; Sunny Dell Foods, Inc., Oxford, PA; United Canning Corp., North Lima, OH.