and terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

This changed circumstances review, partial revocation of the antidumping duty order and notice are in accordance with sections 751(b), 751(d)(1), and 782(h)(2) of the Act and 19 C.F.R. §351.216(e) and §351.222(g).

Dated: October 27, 2003.

James J. Jochum,

Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 03–27690 Filed 11–3–03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration [A-570–836]

Glycine from the People's Republic of China: Notice of Extension of Time Limit for Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty New Shipper Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

EFFECTIVE DATE: November 4, 2003. FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Matthew Renkey or Scot Fullerton, Office of AD/CVD Enforcement VII, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–2312 or (202) 482–1386, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

In accordance with section 351.214(b)(2) of the Department's regulations, on March 26, 2003, the Department received a timely and properly filed request from Hebei New Donghua Amino Acid Co., Ltd. (New Donghua), for a new shipper review of its exports of glycine to the United States. On April 30, 2003, the Department initiated a new shipper review of the antidumping duty order on glycine from the People's Republic of China for the period of review of March 1, 2002 through February 28, 2003 (68 FR 23962, May 6, 2003).

Extension of Time Limit for Preliminary Results

Section 351.214(i)(1) of the Department's regulations requires the Department to issue preliminary results of a new shipper review within 180 days of the date of initiation. However, if the Secretary concludes that a new shipper review is extraordinarily complicated, the Secretary may extend the 180-day period to 300 days under section 351.214(i)(2) of the Department's regulations. Because of the complex nature of New Donghua's ownership structure and the resultant need to gather additional information and conduct further analysis into this area, we find this review to be extraordinarily complicated.

Accordingly, the Department is extending the time limit for the completion of the preliminary results to 300 days after the date of initiation, in accordance with section 751(a)(2)(B)(iv) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), and section 351.214(I)(2) of the Department's regulations. Therefore, the due date for the preliminary results is now no later than February 24, 2004. The final results will in turn be due 90 days after the date of issuance of the preliminary results, unless extended.

This notice is issued and published pursuant to sections 751(a)(1) and 777 (I) (1) of the Act.

Dated: October 17, 2003.

Joseph A. Spetrini,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, Group III.

[FR Doc. 03–27696 Filed 11–3–03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration [A-533–820]

Certain Hot-Rolled Carbon Steel Flat Products from India: Extension of Time Limit for Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

EFFECTIVE DATE: November 4, 2003. **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Timothy Finn or Kevin Williams, AD/CVD Enforcement, Office 4, Group II, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone (202) 482–0065 or (202) 482–2371, respectively.

Time Limits

Statutory Time Limits

Section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), requires the Department of Commerce (the Department) to make a preliminary determination within 245 days after the last day of the anniversary month of an order or finding for which a review is

requested and a final determination within 120 days after the date on which the preliminary determination is published. However, if it is not practicable to complete the review within these time periods, section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act allows the Department to extend the 245-day time limit for the preliminary determination to a maximum of 365 days and the time limit for the final determination to 180 days (or 300 days if the Department does not extend the time limit for the preliminary determination) from the date of publication of the preliminary determination.

Background

On January 22, 2003, the Department published a notice of initiation of an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain hotrolled carbon steel flat products from India, covering the period May 3, 2001 through November 30, 2002. See Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews and Request for Revocation in Part, 68 FR 3009. On August 27, 2003 the Department published a notice of an extension of the time limit for the preliminary results of the review until November 3, 2003. See Certain Hot-Rolled Carbon Steel Flat Products from India; Extension of Time Limit for Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review, 68 FR 51557.

Extension of Time Limit for Preliminary Results of Review

We determine that it is not practicable to complete the preliminary results of this review by the current due date of November 3, 2003. Therefore, the Department is further extending the time limit for completion of the preliminary results by 42 days until no later than December 15, 2003. See Decision Memorandum from Thomas F. Futtner to Holly A. Kuga, dated concurrently with this notice, which is on file in the Central Records Unit, Room B-099 of the Department's main building. We intend to issue the final results no later than 120 days after the publication of the preliminary results

This extension is in accordance with section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act.

Dated: October 27, 2003.

Holly A. Kuga,

Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, Group II.

[FR Doc. 03–27697 Filed 11–3–03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration [A-122–838]

Certain Softwood Lumber Products from Canada: Notice of Partial Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (the Department) is conducting an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain softwood lumber products from Canada for the period May 22, 2002, through April 30, 2003. We are now rescinding this review with respect to eight companies for which the requests for an administrative review have been withdrawn.

EFFECTIVE DATE: November 4, 2003.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Amber Musser or Constance Handley, at (202) 482–1777 or (202) 482–0631, respectively; AD/CVD Enforcement, Office 5, Group II, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street & Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On May 1, 2003, the Department published a notice of opportunity to request the first administrative review of this order. See Antidumping or Countervailing Duty Order, Finding, or Suspended Investigation; Opportunity to Request Administrative Review, 68 FR 23281

(May 1, 2003). On May 30, 2003, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.213(b), the Coalition for Fair Lumber Imports Executive Committee (the petitioner) requested a review of 192 producers/ exporters of certain softwood lumber products. Also, between the dates of May 7, 2003, and June 2, 2003, 338 Canadian producers requested a review on their own behalf or had a review of their company requested by a U.S. importer. Taking into consideration the overlap in the three aforementioned categories, the total number of companies for which reviews were requested was 422.

On July 1, 2003, the Department published a notice of initiation of this antidumping duty administrative review, covering the period May 22, 2002, through April 30, 2003. See Initiation of Antidumping Administrative Review, 68 FR 39059

(July 1, 2003). The initiation, and subsequent correction, covered 422 companies.¹ On September 11, 2003, the Department published a notice of rescission for 48 companies for which review requests had been withdrawn on July 18 and August 4, 2003. See Certain Softwood Lumber Products from Canada: Notice of Partial Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review, 68 FR 53546 (September 11, 2003) (First Rescission Notice). Based on this rescission of the 48 companies, the total number of companies under review was reduced to 374.

On September 29, 2003, nine lumber companies withdrew their requests for their own reviews. However, the petitioner had also requested the review of one of these nine companies, Préparabois Inc. The petitioner has not withdrawn its request for the review of Préparabois Inc. Accordingly, the Department has not rescinded the review with respect to this company.

Partial Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

The additional 8 companies, for whom the review will be rescinded, are as follows:

Ainsworth Lumber Co. Ltd.

Bathurst Lumber

Blackville Lumber

Bois de l'Est F.B. Inc.

Boscus Canada Inc.

Groupe de Scieries G.D.S. Inc.

Produits Forestiers Lamco Inc.

Taylor Lumber Company Ltd.

Pursuant to 19 CFR 315.213(d)(1), we are rescinding the administrative review with respect to each of the above listed companies. The Department will issue appropriate assessment instructions to U.S. Customs and Border Protection within 15 days of publication of this notice.

This notice is issued and published in accordance with section 751 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, and 19 CFR 351.213(d)(4).

Dated: October 28, 2003.

Holly A. Kuga,

Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 03–27688 Filed 11–3–03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

Colorado State University; Notice of Decision on Application for Duty-Free Entry of Scientific Instrument

This decision is made pursuant to Section 6(c) of the Educational, Scientific, and Cultural Materials Importation Act of 1966 (Pub. L. 89–651, 80 Stat. 897; 15 CFR part 301). Related records can be viewed between 8:30 a.m. and 5 p.m. in Suite 4100W, Franklin Court Building, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1099 14th Street, NW., Washington, DC.

Docket Number: 03–046. Applicant: Colorado State University, Fort Collins, CO 80523. Instrument: Piezoelectric Scanning Stage, Model NIS–30. Manufacturer: Nanonics Imaging Ltd, Israel. Intended Use: See notice at 68 FR 56622, October 1, 2003.

Comments: None received. Decision: Approved. No instrument of equivalent scientific value to the foreign instrument, for such purposes as it is intended to be used, is being manufactured in the United States. Reasons: This is a compatible accessory for an existing instrument purchased for the use of the applicant.

The accessory is pertinent to the intended uses and we know of no domestic accessory which can be readily adapted to the previously imported instrument.

Gerald A. Zerdy,

Program Manager, Statutory Import Programs Staff.

[FR Doc. 03–27691 Filed 11–3–03; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

University of Chicago; Notice of Decision on Application for Duty-Free Entry of Scientific Instrument

This decision is made pursuant to section 6(c) of the Educational, Scientific, and Cultural Materials Importation Act of 1966 (Pub. L. 89–651, 80 Stat. 897; 15 CFR part 301). Related records can be viewed between 8:30 AM and 5 PM in Suite 4100W, Franklin Court Building, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1099 14th Street, NW, Washington, DC.

Docket Number: 03–043. Applicant: University of Chicago, Chicago, IL 60637–1470. Instrument: Microscope Accessories. Manufacturer: Luigs & Neumann GmbH, Germany. Intended

¹Buchanan Lumber, a distinct entity from Buchanan Lumber Sales Inc., was inadvertently omitted from the original initiation notice. See Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews, Requests for Revocation in Part and Deferral of Administrative Reviews, 68 FR 44524 (July 29, 2003).