

Dated: July 6, 2006.

Greg Armstrong,

Acting Chief, Superfund Enforcement & Information Management Branch, Waste Management Division.

[FR Doc. E6-11605 Filed 7-20-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL-8201-1]

Notice of Tentative Approval and Solicitation of Request for a Public Hearing for Public Water System Supervision Program Revision for the State of West Virginia

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice of tentative approval and solicitation of requests for a public hearing.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given in accordance with the provision of section 1413 of the Safe Drinking Water Act as amended, and the rules governing National Primary Drinking Water Regulations Implementation that the State of West Virginia has revised its approved Public Water System Supervision Program and revised its regulations for issuing variances and exemptions. EPA has determined that these revisions are no less stringent than the corresponding Federal regulations. Therefore, EPA has decided to tentatively approve these program revisions. All interested parties are invited to submit written comments on this determination and may request a public hearing.

DATES: Comments or a request for a public hearing must be submitted by August 21, 2006. This determination shall become effective on August 21, 2006 if no timely and appropriate request for a hearing is received and the Regional Administrator does not elect to hold a hearing on his own motion, and if no comments are received which cause EPA to modify its tentative approval.

ADDRESSES: Comments or a request for a public hearing must be submitted to the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Region III, 1650 Arch Street, Philadelphia, PA 19103-2029. Comments may also be submitted electronically to Ghassan Khaled at khaleed.ghassan@epa.gov. All documents relating to this determination are available for inspection between the hours of 8 a.m. and 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday, at the following offices:

- Drinking Water Branch (3WP21), Water Protection Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Region III, 1650 Arch Street, Philadelphia, PA 19103-2029.
- Office of Environmental Health Services, West Virginia Department of Health and Human Resources, 1 Davis Square, Suite 200, Charleston, WV 25301.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Ghassan Khaled, Drinking Water Branch (3WP21) at the Philadelphia address given above; telephone (215) 814-5780 or fax (215) 814-2318.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: All interested parties are invited to submit written comments on this determination and may request a public hearing. All comments will be considered and, if necessary, EPA will issue a response. Frivolous or insubstantial requests for a hearing may be denied by the Regional Administrator. However, if a substantial request for a public hearing is made by August 21, 2006, a public hearing will be held.

A request for public hearing shall include the following: (1) The name, address, and telephone number of the individual, organization, or other entity requesting a hearing; (2) a brief statement of the requesting person's interest in the Regional Administrator's determination and of information that the requesting person intends to submit at such a hearing; and (3) the signature of the individual making the request; or if the request is made on behalf of an organization or other entity, the signature of a responsible official of the organization or other entity.

Dated: July 12, 2006.

W.T. Wisniewski,

Acting Regional Administrator, EPA, Region III.

[FR Doc. E6-11604 Filed 7-20-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

FEDERAL RESERVE SYSTEM

Notice of Proposals to Engage in Permissible Nonbanking Activities or to Acquire Companies that are Engaged in Permissible Nonbanking Activities

The companies listed in this notice have given notice under section 4 of the Bank Holding Company Act (12 U.S.C. 1843) (BHC Act) and Regulation Y (12 CFR part 225) to engage *de novo*, or to acquire or control voting securities or assets of a company, including the companies listed below, that engages either directly or through a subsidiary or other company, in a nonbanking activity

that is listed in § 225.28 of Regulation Y (12 CFR 225.28) or that the Board has determined by Order to be closely related to banking and permissible for bank holding companies. Unless otherwise noted, these activities will be conducted throughout the United States.

Each notice is available for inspection at the Federal Reserve Bank indicated. The notice also will be available for inspection at the offices of the Board of Governors. Interested persons may express their views in writing on the question whether the proposal complies with the standards of section 4 of the BHC Act. Additional information on all bank holding companies may be obtained from the National Information Center Web site at <http://www.ffiec.gov/nic/>.

Unless otherwise noted, comments regarding the applications must be received at the Reserve Bank indicated or the offices of the Board of Governors not later than August 7, 2006.

A. Federal Reserve Bank of Chicago (Patrick M. Wilder, Assistant Vice President) 230 South LaSalle Street, Chicago, Illinois 60690-1414:

1. *Marshall & Ilsley Corporation*, Milwaukee, Wisconsin; to acquire, through its wholly-owned subsidiary, Metavante Corporation, Milwaukee, Wisconsin 100 percent of the voting shares of VICOR, Inc., Richmond, California, and thereby engage in data processing activities, management consulting and counseling activities, pursuant to section 225.28(b)(9)(i)(A) and 225.28(b)(14)(i) of Regulation Y.

Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, July 18, 2006.

Robert deV. Frierson,

Deputy Secretary of the Board.

[FR Doc. E6-11619 Filed 7-20-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6210-01-S

FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION

[File No. 061 0114]

Linde AG and The BOC Group PLC; Analysis of Agreement Containing Consent Order To Aid Public Comment

AGENCY: Federal Trade Commission.

ACTION: Proposed Consent Agreement.

SUMMARY: The consent agreement in this matter settles alleged violations of Federal law prohibiting unfair or deceptive acts or practices or unfair methods of competition. The attached Analysis to Aid Public Comment describes both the allegations in the draft complaint and the terms of the consent order—embodied in the consent

agreement—that would settle these allegations.

DATES: Comments must be received on or before August 16, 2006.

ADDRESSES: Interested parties are invited to submit written comments. Comments should refer to “Linde AG and BOC, File No. 061 0114,” to facilitate the organization of comments. A comment filed in paper form should include this reference both in the text and on the envelope, and should be mailed or delivered to the following address: Federal Trade Commission/Office of the Secretary, Room 135–H, 600 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20580. Comments containing confidential material must be filed in paper form, must be clearly labeled “Confidential,” and must comply with Commission Rule 4.9(c). 16 CFR 4.9(c) (2005).¹ The FTC is requesting that any comment filed in paper form be sent by courier or overnight service, if possible, because U.S. postal mail in the Washington area and at the Commission is subject to delay due to heightened security precautions. Comments that do not contain any nonpublic information may instead be filed in electronic form as part of or as an attachment to e-mail messages directed to the following e-mail box: consentagreement@ftc.gov.

The FTC Act and other laws the Commission administers permit the collection of public comments to consider and use in this proceeding as appropriate. All timely and responsive public comments, whether filed in paper or electronic form, will be considered by the Commission, and will be available to the public on the FTC Web site, to the extent practicable, at <http://www.ftc.gov>. As a matter of discretion, the FTC makes every effort to remove home contact information for individuals from the public comments it receives before placing those comments on the FTC Web site. More information, including routine uses permitted by the Privacy Act, may be found in the FTC’s privacy policy, at <http://www.ftc.gov/ftc/privacy.htm>.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Sean G. Dillon, Bureau of Competition, 600 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20580, (202) 326–3575.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Pursuant to section 6(f) of the Federal Trade

Commission Act, 38 Stat. 721, 15 U.S.C. 46(f), and § 2.34 of the Commission Rules of Practice, 16 CFR 2.34, notice is hereby given that the above-captioned consent agreement containing a consent order to cease and desist, having been filed with and accepted, subject to final approval, by the Commission, has been placed on the public record for a period of thirty (30) days. The following Analysis to Aid Public Comment describes the terms of the consent agreement, and the allegations in the complaint. An electronic copy of the full text of the consent agreement package can be obtained from the FTC Home Page (for July 18, 2006), on the World Wide Web, at <http://www.ftc.gov/os/2006/07/index.htm>. A paper copy can be obtained from the FTC Public Reference Room, Room 130–H, 600 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20580, either in person or by calling (202) 326–2222.

Public comments are invited, and may be filed with the Commission in either paper or electronic form. All comments should be filed as prescribed in the **ADDRESSES** section above, and must be received on or before the date specified in the **DATES** section.

Analysis of Agreement Containing Consent Order To Aid Public Comment

I. Introduction

The Federal Trade Commission (“Commission”) has accepted from Linde AG (“Linde”), subject to final approval, an Agreement Containing Consent Orders (“Consent Agreement”), which is designed to remedy the anticompetitive effects resulting from Linde’s acquisition of the entire share capital of The BOC Group plc (“BOC”).

Under the terms of the Consent Agreement, Linde is required to divest air separation units (“ASUs”) and related assets currently owned and operated by Linde in the following eight locations in which the proposed acquisition would lessen competition: (1) Canton, Ohio; (2) Dayton, Ohio; (3) Madison, Wisconsin; (4) Waukesha, Wisconsin; (5) Carrollton, Georgia; (6) Jefferson, Georgia; (7) Rockhill, South Carolina; and (8) Bozrah, Connecticut. The Consent Agreement also requires Linde to divest bulk refined helium assets, including helium source contracts, ancillary distribution assets, and customer contracts, to Taiyo Nippon Sanso Corporation (“Nippon Sanso”).

The proposed Consent Agreement has been placed on the public record for 30 days to solicit comments from interested persons. Comments received during this period will become part of the public

record. After 30 days, the Commission will again review the proposed Consent Agreement, and will decide whether it should withdraw from the proposed Consent Agreement or make it final.

Pursuant to a tender offer and agreement dated March 6, 2006, Linde announced its intention to acquire the entire share capital of BOC for an aggregate purchase price of approximately \$14.4 billion. Consummation of this transaction is subject to acceptance of the offer by a sufficient number of the shareholders of BOC. The Commission’s complaint alleges the facts described below and that the proposed acquisition, if consummated, would violate Section 7 of the Clayton Act, as amended, 15 U.S.C. 18, and Section 5 of the FTC Act, as amended, 15 U.S.C. 45, by lessening competition in the market for bulk refined helium worldwide, and certain regional markets in the United States for liquid oxygen and liquid nitrogen.

II. The Parties

Linde is a global supplier of industrial and medical gases and related equipment. Linde LLC is the parent corporation of the United States subsidiary that manufactures and sells a variety of industrial gases, including oxygen, nitrogen, argon, helium, and many other industrial and specialty gases for use in a variety of industries, including the medical, welding, and metal production fields. Linde is the fifth-largest industrial gas supplier in the United States with 11 liquid atmospheric gas producing plants in the United States, most of which are concentrated in the Midwest, Northeast, and Southeast.

BOC is the world’s second-largest industrial gas supplier, and the fourth-largest supplier in the United States. BOC operates 23 liquid atmospheric gas producing plants in the United States, many of which are concentrated in the Midwest, Northeast, and Southeast regions, as well as the West and Gulf Coast regions.

III. Liquid Oxygen and Liquid Nitrogen

Both Linde and BOC own and operate ASUs in the United States that produce liquid atmospheric gases, including liquid oxygen and liquid nitrogen. Each gas has specific properties that make it uniquely suited for the applications in which it is used. For most of these applications, there is no substitute for the use of oxygen or nitrogen. Customers would not switch to another gas or product even if the price of liquid oxygen or liquid nitrogen increased by five to ten percent.

¹ The comment must be accompanied by an explicit request for confidential treatment, including the factual and legal basis for the request, and must identify the specific portions of the comment to be withheld from the public record. The request will be granted or denied by the Commission’s General Counsel, consistent with applicable law and the public interest. See Commission Rule 4.9(c), 16 CFR 4.9(c).

There are three distinct methods of distributing oxygen and nitrogen: in cylinders, in liquid form, and through on-site ASUs or pipelines. Customers choose a distribution method based on the volume of gas required. Customers who use liquid oxygen or liquid nitrogen require volumes of these gases that are too large to purchase economically in cylinders, but too small to justify the expense of an on-site ASU or pipeline. Thus, even if the price of liquid oxygen or liquid nitrogen increased by five to ten percent, customers would not switch to another method of distribution.

Due to high transportation costs, liquid oxygen and liquid nitrogen may only be purchased economically from a supplier with an ASU located within 150 to 250 miles of the customer. Therefore, it is appropriate to analyze the competitive effects of the proposed acquisition in local geographic markets for liquid oxygen and liquid nitrogen. The relevant geographic markets in which to analyze the effects of the proposed acquisition are the Northeast, the Chicago-Milwaukee Metropolitan Area, the Eastern Midwest, and the Southeast.

The markets for liquid oxygen and liquid nitrogen are highly concentrated. In each of the relevant geographic markets, Linde and BOC are two of only five companies supplying liquid oxygen and liquid nitrogen to customers. As a result of the proposed acquisition, a significant competitor would be eliminated, and a small number of viable competitors would remain. In addition, certain market conditions, including the relative homogeneity of the firms and products involved and availability of detailed market information, are conducive to the firms reaching terms of coordination and detecting and punishing deviations from those terms. Therefore, the proposed acquisition would enhance the likelihood of collusion or coordinated action between or among the remaining firms in each market. Furthermore, by eliminating direct competition between these two suppliers in these areas, the proposed acquisition likely would allow Linde to exercise market power unilaterally, thereby increasing the likelihood that purchasers of liquid oxygen or liquid nitrogen would be forced to pay higher prices in these areas. The proposed acquisition provides Linde a larger base of sales on which to enjoy the benefit of a unilateral price increase and also eliminates a competitor to which customers otherwise could have diverted their sales in markets where alternative sources of supply likely are already

limited. In addition, in certain geographic markets, Linde and BOC are the two closest competitors to a significant number of customers.

Significant impediments to new entry exist in the markets for liquid oxygen and liquid nitrogen. In order to be cost competitive in these markets, an ASU must produce at least 250 to 300 tons per day of liquid product. The cost to construct a plant sufficiently large to be cost effective can be 30 to 40 million dollars, most of which are sunk costs and cannot be recovered. Although an ASU can theoretically be constructed within two years, it is not economically justifiable to build an ASU before contracting to sell a substantial portion of the plant's capacity, either to an on-site customer or to liquid customers. On-site customers normally sign long-term contracts. Because such opportunities to contract with these customers are rare, it is uncertain whether such an opportunity would arise in the near future in any of the areas affected by the acquisition. It is even more difficult and time-consuming for a potential new entrant to try to contract with enough liquid gas customers to justify building a new ASU. These customers are generally locked into contracts with existing suppliers that typically last between five and seven years. Even if the new entrant were able to contract with enough customers to justify constructing a new ASU in any of the affected markets, the new entrant may still need to rely on suppliers already in the market to obtain liquid gases to service the new entrant's customers while the ASU was constructed. Given the difficulties of entry, it is unlikely that new entry could be accomplished in a timely manner in the liquid oxygen and liquid nitrogen markets to defeat a likely price increase caused by the acquisition.

IV. Bulk Refined Helium

Both Linde and BOC are suppliers of bulk refined helium. Bulk refined helium has specific properties that make it uniquely suited for the applications in which it is used. For most of these applications, there is no substitute for bulk refined helium. Customers likely would not switch to another gas or product even if the price of bulk refined helium increased by five to ten percent.

Refined helium is available to customers in two distinct distribution methods: Cylinder form or bulk form. Customers choose a distribution method based on the volume of gas required. Bulk form is generally used by customers that require large volumes of refined helium. In bulk form, refined helium may be packaged into containers

known as "dewars" and then distributed in liquid form to customers. Refined helium may also be converted into gaseous form and distributed in high-pressure "tube trailers" in bulk quantities to customers. Bulk refined helium customers obtain helium in bulk form (liquid dewars or gaseous tube trailers) because it is the most cost-effective method of purchasing the volume of refined helium they require. Therefore, customers would not switch to purchasing refined helium via another method of distribution even if the prices of bulk refined helium distributed by one method increased by five to ten percent.

Refined helium is a rare and expensive gas. Because of its high value, refined helium can be, and is, transported economically on a worldwide basis. Because helium is transported globally, foreign helium capacity and demand impact the demand and pricing for domestically-produced helium. Therefore, it is appropriate to analyze the competitive effects of the proposed acquisition using a worldwide market for bulk refined helium.

The market for bulk refined helium is highly concentrated. Linde and BOC are two of only five companies in the world with access to refined bulk helium; BOC is the second-largest supplier, and a combined Linde/BOC would become the largest. While Linde is currently the smallest of the five, it has substantial new reserves coming on line in the near future, and already is an aggressive participant in the market for refined bulk helium. In addition, certain market conditions, including the relative homogeneity of the firms and products involved and availability of detailed market information, are conducive to the firms reaching terms of coordination and detecting and punishing deviations from those terms. The Commission's complaint charges that the proposed acquisition would enhance the likelihood of collusion or coordinated action among the remaining firms in the market.

There are substantial barriers to entry in the bulk refined helium market. The most significant impediment to entry is securing a source of refined helium. There are no sources of refined helium available that are not committed to market incumbents in long term contracts. A new entrant would need to locate a new source of crude helium and build a refinery. In addition, tens of millions of dollars would be needed to acquire the necessary infrastructure and ancillary distribution assets, including transfill facilities, cryogenic storage trailers, high-pressure tube trailers and

liquid dewars, capable of transporting helium from the refinery to customers. While the costs of entering are high, opportunities to recoup these costs are comparatively limited. As with other industrial gases, helium is sold pursuant to long-term contracts, so only a fraction of the market is available at a given time. Given the difficulties of entering the market, it is unlikely that new entry sufficient to counteract the competitive impact of the proposed acquisition would occur in a timely manner in the market for bulk refined helium.

V. The Consent Agreement

A. Liquid Oxygen and Liquid Nitrogen

The proposed Consent Agreement remedies the acquisition's likely anticompetitive effects in the markets for liquid oxygen and liquid nitrogen. Pursuant to the Consent Agreement, Linde will divest all of its merchant liquid oxygen and nitrogen producing business in the identified geographic markets. Thus, Linde will divest the eight ASUs listed in Section I to a single purchaser that will operate the ASUs as a going concern. The Consent Agreement provides that Linde must find a buyer for the ASUs, at no minimum price, that is acceptable to the Commission, no later than six months from the date the Consent Agreement becomes final. If the Commission determines that Linde has not provided an acceptable buyer for the ASUs within this time period, or that the manner of the divestiture is not acceptable, the Commission may appoint a trustee to divest the assets. The trustee would have the exclusive power and authority to accomplish the divestiture.

The acquirer of the divested assets must receive the prior approval of the Commission. The Commission's goal in evaluating possible purchasers of divested assets is to maintain the competitive environment that existed prior to the acquisition. A proposed acquirer of divested assets must not itself present competitive problems. Numerous entities are interested in purchasing the divested ASUs, including industrial gas suppliers that currently have a regional presence in the industry, but do not compete in the areas affected by the acquisition, as well as entities in related fields that are interested in entering the production and sale of industrial gases. The Commission is therefore satisfied that sufficient potential buyers for the divested liquid oxygen and liquid nitrogen assets exist.

The Consent Agreement also contains an Agreement to Hold Separate and Maintain Assets. This will serve to

protect the viability, marketability, and competitiveness of the divestiture asset package until the assets are divested to a buyer approved by the Commission. The Agreement to Hold Separate and Maintain Assets became effective on the date the Commission accepted the Consent Agreement for placement on the public record and will remain in effect until Linde successfully divests the divestiture asset package according to the terms of the Decision and Order.

The Commission has appointed Richard Klein to oversee the management of the divestiture asset package until the divestiture is complete, and for a brief transition period after the sale. Mr. Klein has approximately 23 years experience as the Chief Executive Officer of a global specialty chemicals manufacturer, and is well-respected in the industry. In order to ensure that the Commission remains informed about the status of the proposed divestitures, the proposed Consent Agreement requires the parties to file periodic reports with the Commission until the divestiture is accomplished.

B. Bulk Refined Helium

The Consent Agreement resolves the proposed acquisition's likely anticompetitive effects in the bulk refined helium market by requiring Linde to divest bulk refined helium assets, including helium source contracts, ancillary distribution assets, and customer contracts, to Nippon Sanso no later than ten days after the acquisition. A buyer upfront remedy was required in this market because the helium assets to be divested do not constitute a stand-alone business and require key third-party consents for their transfer under the Order.

Nippon Sanso is particularly well-positioned to compete successfully with the divested helium assets. Nippon Sanso is the largest industrial and specialty gas company in Japan, and is the sixth-largest industrial gas company in the world. Matheson Tri-Gas, Nippon Sanso's U.S. subsidiary, is the sixth-largest industrial gas supplier in the United States. Although it lacks helium sourcing contracts, Nippon Sanso is one of the world's largest helium distributors, selling helium to end-users in the United States and Japan. (Nippon Sanso, however, does not have current access to bulk refined helium.) Having access to the helium sourcing contracts and other ancillary helium assets will provide Nippon Sanso the ability to grow its helium business in the U.S., European, and Asian markets. Nippon Sanso should be successful in restoring the competition that likely would be

lost if the proposed Linde/BOC transaction were to proceed unremedied.

If the Commission determines that Nippon Sanso is not an acceptable purchaser, or the manner of the divestiture is not acceptable, the parties must unwind the sale to Nippon Sanso and divest the bulk refined helium assets within six months of the date the Order becomes final to another Commission-approved acquirer. If the parties fail to divest within six months, the Commission may appoint a trustee to divest the bulk refined helium assets.

The Consent Agreement also contains an Order to Maintain Assets. This will serve to ensure that the helium assets are protected and divested in substantially the same condition existing at the time the Consent Agreement was signed. The Order to Maintain Assets became effective on the date the Commission accepted the Consent Agreement for placement on the public record and will remain in effect until Linde successfully divests the helium assets according to the terms of the Decision and Order.

The Commission has also appointed Mr. Klein to oversee the transition in ownership of the divested helium assets to Nippon Sanso and to ensure Linde's and BOC's compliance with all of the provisions of the proposed Consent Agreement. In order to ensure that the Commission remains informed about the status of the proposed divestitures, the proposed Consent Agreement requires Mr. Klein to file reports with the Commission periodically until the divestiture is accomplished.

The purpose of this analysis is to facilitate public comment on the Consent Agreement, and it is not intended to constitute an official interpretation of the proposed Decision and Order or the Agreement to Hold Separate, or to modify their terms in any way.

By direction of the Commission.

Donald S. Clark,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. E6-11624 Filed 7-20-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6750-01-P