

procedures as necessary. The fund also must file copies of advertisements and other sales literature with the Commission as if it were an open-end investment company subject to section 24 of the Investment Company Act (15 U.S.C. 80a-24) and the rules that implement section 24.<sup>2</sup>

The requirement that the fund send a notification to shareholders of each offer is intended to ensure that a fund provides material information to shareholders about the terms of each offer, which may differ from previous offers on such matters as the maximum amount of shares to be repurchased (the maximum repurchase amount may range from 5% to 25% of outstanding shares). The requirement that copies be sent to the Commission is intended to enable the Commission to monitor the fund's compliance with the notification requirement. The requirement that the shareholder notification be attached to Form N-23c-3 is intended to ensure that the fund provides basic information necessary for the Commission to process the notification and to monitor the fund's use of repurchase offers. The requirement that the fund describe its current policy on repurchase offers and the results of recent offers in the annual shareholder report is intended to provide shareholders current information about the fund's repurchase policies and its recent experience. The requirement that the board approve and review written procedures designed to maintain portfolio liquidity is intended to ensure that the fund has enough cash or liquid securities to meet its repurchase obligations, and that written procedures are available for review by shareholders and examination by the Commission. The requirement that the fund file advertisements and sales literature as if it were an open-end investment company is intended to facilitate the review of these materials by the Commission or FINRA to prevent incomplete, inaccurate, or misleading disclosure about the special characteristics of a closed-end fund that makes periodic repurchase offers.

Compliance with the collection of information requirements of the rule and form is mandatory only for those funds that rely on the rule in order to repurchase shares of the fund. The information provided to the

Commission on Form N-23c-3 will not be kept confidential. An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid control number.

The Commission staff estimates that 31 funds make use of rule 23c-3 annually, including one fund that is relying upon rule 23c-3 for the first time. The Commission staff estimates that on average a fund spends 89 hours annually in complying with the requirements of the rule and Form N-23c-3, with funds relying upon rule 23c-3 for the first time incurring an additional one-time burden of 28 hours. The Commission therefore estimates the total annual burden of the rule's and form's paperwork requirements to be 2787 hours.

Please direct general comments regarding the above information to the following persons: (i) Desk Officer for the Securities and Exchange Commission, Office of Management and Budget, Room 10102, New Executive Office Building, Washington, DC 20503 or send an e-mail to Shagufta Ahmed at [Shagufta\\_Ahmed@omb.eop.gov](mailto:Shagufta_Ahmed@omb.eop.gov); and (ii) Charles Boucher, Director/CIO, Securities and Exchange Commission, C/O Shirley Martinson, 6432 General Green Way, Alexandria, VA 22312; or send an e-mail to: [PRA\\_Mailbox@sec.gov](mailto:PRA_Mailbox@sec.gov). Comments must be submitted to OMB within 30 days of this notice.

Dated: January 25, 2010.

**Florence E. Harmon,**

*Deputy Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 2010-1847 Filed 1-28-10; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8011-01-P

## SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34-61398; File No. SR-Phlx-2009-116]

### Self-Regulatory Organizations; Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of Proposed Rule Change, as Modified by Amendment No. 1 Thereto, by NASDAQ OMX PHLX, Inc. Relating to Transaction Fees and Rebates for Options Overlying Standard and Poor's Depository Receipts ("SPDRs")

January 22, 2010.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act"),<sup>1</sup> and Rule 19b-4 thereunder,<sup>2</sup> notice is hereby given that on December 31, 2009, NASDAQ OMX PHLX, Inc.

("Phlx" or "Exchange") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC" or "Commission") the proposed rule change as described in Items I, II, and III, below, which Items have been prepared by the Exchange. On January 5, 2010, the Exchange filed Amendment No. 1 thereto. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change, as amended, from interested persons.

#### I. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The Exchange proposes to amend the Exchange's Fee Schedule by adopting, for a two-month pilot period, per contract transaction fees for options overlying Standard and Poor's Depository Receipts/SPDRs ("SPY").<sup>3</sup> The fees would apply to: (i) Transaction sides that remove liquidity from the Exchange's disseminated market, and (ii) Firm and broker-dealer quotes and orders that are included in the Exchange's disseminated market.

Additionally, the Exchange proposes to offer a transaction rebate to certain liquidity providers, as described more fully below.

While changes to the Exchange's fee schedule pursuant to this proposal are effective upon filing, the Exchange has designated this proposal to be operative for trades settling on or after January 4, 2010. The proposed changes to the fee schedule will be effective on a pilot basis, scheduled to expire March 2, 2010.

The text of the proposed rule change is available on the Exchange's Web site at <http://nasdaqomxphlx.cchwallstreet.com/NASDAQOMXPHLX/Filings/>, at the principal office of the Exchange, at the Commission's Public Reference Room, and on the Commission's Web site at <http://www.sec.gov>.

#### II. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the Exchange included statements concerning the purpose of and basis for the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The Exchange has prepared summaries, set forth in sections A, B, and C below, of

<sup>3</sup> SPY options are based on the SPDR exchange-traded fund ("ETF"), which is designed to track the performance of the S&P 500 Index.

<sup>2</sup> Rule 24b-3 under the Investment Company Act (17 CFR 270.24b-3), however, would generally exempt the fund from that requirement when the materials are filed instead with the Financial Industry Regulatory Authority ("FINRA"). These materials are virtually always submitted to FINRA, instead of the Commission, under FINRA procedures which apply to the underwriter of every fund.

<sup>1</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

<sup>2</sup> 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

the most significant aspects of such statements.

*A. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change*

1. Purpose

The purpose of the proposed rule change is to increase liquidity and to attract order flow in SPY options on the Exchange. The purpose of this Amendment No. 1 is to correct a typographical error by stating that the Exchange proposes to assess a transaction charge of \$0.35 per contract to Firms and \$0.45 per contract to broker-dealers for adding liquidity.

Transaction Charges for Removing Liquidity

The Exchange proposes to assess a per-contract transaction charge in SPY options on six different categories of market participants that submit orders and/or quotes that remove, or "take," liquidity from the Exchange. The per-contract transaction charge would depend on the category of market participant submitting an order or quote to the Exchange that removes liquidity.

The proposed amendments to the Exchange's Fee Schedule would break down market participants by the following six categories: (i) Specialists, Streaming Quote Traders ("SQTs"),<sup>4</sup> and Remote Streaming Quote Traders ("RSQTs"),<sup>5</sup> (ii) customers that submit orders that are not Directed Orders<sup>6</sup> ("Non-Directed Customers"); (iii) customers that submit Directed Orders ("Directed Customers");<sup>7</sup> (iv) specialists, SQTs and RSQTs that receive Directed Orders ("Directed Participants" or

<sup>4</sup> An SQT is an Exchange Registered Options Trader ("ROT") who has received permission from the Exchange to generate and submit option quotations electronically through an electronic interface with AUTOM via an Exchange approved proprietary electronic quoting device in eligible options to which such SQT is assigned. See Exchange Rule 1014(b)(ii)(A).

<sup>5</sup> An RSQT is an ROT that is a member or member organization with no physical trading floor presence who has received permission from the Exchange to generate and submit option quotations electronically through AUTOM in eligible options to which such RSQT has been assigned. An RSQT may only submit such quotations electronically from off the floor of the Exchange. See Exchange Rule 1014(b)(ii)(B).

<sup>6</sup> "Directed Order" means any customer order (other than a stop or stop-limit order as defined in Rule 1066) to buy or sell which has been directed to a particular specialist, RSQT, or SQT by an Order Flow Provider, as defined below. To qualify as a Directed Order, an order must be delivered to the Exchange via AUTOM.

<sup>7</sup> For the purposes of this fee, a Directed Customer is an order from a customer directed to a Directed Participant for execution. A Directed Participant is a Specialist, SQT, or RSQT that executes an order directed to it for execution.

"Directed Specialists, RSQTs, or SQTs" <sup>8</sup>); (v) Firms; and (vi) broker-dealers.

The per-contract transaction charges to be assessed on participants who submit proprietary quotes and/or orders that remove liquidity in SPY options from the Exchange in SPY options are, by category:

Category	Charge
Specialist, SQT, RSQT.	\$0.40 per contract.
Non-Directed Customer.	\$0.40 per contract.
Directed Customer ....	\$0.25 per contract.
Directed Participants	\$0.30 per contract.
Firms .....	\$0.45 per contract.
Broker-Dealers .....	\$0.45 per contract.

Transaction Charges for Adding Liquidity

The Exchange proposes to assess a transaction charge of \$0.35 per contract to Firms and \$0.45 per contract to broker-dealers.

Rebates

In order to promote and encourage liquidity in SPY options, the Exchange proposes to amend its fee schedule to include a per-contract rebates relating to transaction charges for orders or quotations that add liquidity in SPY options. The amount of the rebate would depend on the category of participant whose order or quote was executed as part of the PHLX Best Bid and Offer. Specifically, the per-contract rebates are, by category:

Category	Rebate
Specialist, SQT, RSQT.	\$0.20 per contract.
Non-Directed Customer.	\$0.05 per contract.
Directed Customer ....	\$0.20 per contract.
Directed Participants	\$0.25 per contract.
Firms .....	N/A
Broker-Dealers .....	N/A

Applicability of Other Fees

- The \$900,000 monthly cap that is currently applicable to ROTs and specialists transacting equity options will not be applicable to the fees described herein.<sup>9</sup>
- The \$85,000 Firm Related Equity Option Cap will not be applicable to the fees described herein.<sup>10</sup>

<sup>8</sup> See Exchange Rule 1080(l), " \* \* \* The term 'Directed Specialist, RSQT, or SQT' means a specialist, RSQT, or SQT that receives a Directed Order." A Directed Participant has a higher quoting requirement as compared with a specialist, SQT or RSQT who is not acting as a Directed Participant. See Exchange Rule 1014.

<sup>9</sup> See proposed rule change SR-Phlx-2009-104.

<sup>10</sup> See proposed rule change SR-Phlx-2009-104.

• The Exchange pays a per-contract Market Access Provider ("MAP") Subsidy to any Exchange member organization that qualifies as an Eligible MAP. The MAP Subsidy will not apply to electronic transactions in SPY.<sup>11 12</sup>

• Payment for Order Flow fees<sup>13</sup> will not be collected on transactions in SPY.

• All electronic auctions will be free to Non-Directed Customers, Directed Customers, Directed Participants, Specialists, SQTs and RSQTs.<sup>14</sup> Electronic auctions include, without limitation, the Complex Order Live Auction ("COLA"),<sup>15</sup> and Quote and Market Exhaust auctions.<sup>16</sup> Firms and broker-dealers will be assessed the appropriate charge for removing liquidity.

• The fees described herein will not apply to contracts executed during the Exchange's opening process.<sup>17</sup> Firms and broker-dealers will be assessed the appropriate charge for removing liquidity.

• The Exchange pays an Options Floor Broker Subsidy to member organizations with Exchange registered floor brokers for eligible contracts that are entered into the Exchange's Options Floor Broker Management System. The Options Floor Broker Subsidy will be applicable to the transactions described herein.<sup>18</sup>

• The Exchange assesses a Cancellation Fee of \$2.10 per order on member organizations for each

<sup>11</sup> An "Eligible MAP" is defined in the Exchange's Fee Schedule in the Market Access Provider Subsidy.

<sup>12</sup> See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 59537 (March 9, 2009), 74 FR 11151 (March 16, 2009) (SR-Phlx-2009-19).

<sup>13</sup> See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 59841 (April 29, 2009), 74 FR 21035 (May 6, 2009) (SR-Phlx-2009-38).

<sup>14</sup> With respect to electronic auctions, it is systemically difficult to determine which participant(s) would qualify for a rebate, therefore the Exchange has determined not to apply the rebate to transactions resulting from electronic auctions.

<sup>15</sup> COLA is the automated Complex Order Live Auction process. A COLA may take place upon identification of the existence of a COLA-eligible order either: (1) Following a COOP, or (2) during normal trading if the Phlx XL system receives a Complex Order that improves the cPBO. See Exchange Rule 1080.

<sup>16</sup> Market Exhaust occurs when there are no Phlx XL II participant (specialist, SQT or RSQT) quotations in the Exchange's disseminated market for a particular series and an initiating order in the series is received. In such a circumstance, the Phlx XL II system, using Market Exhaust, will initiate a Market Exhaust auction for the initiating order. Under Market Exhaust, any order volume that is routed to away markets will be marked as an Intermarket Sweep Order or "ISO." See Exchange Rule 1082.

<sup>17</sup> See Exchange Rule 1017.

<sup>18</sup> See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 60578 (August 27, 2009), 74 FR 45666 (September 3, 2009) (SR-Phlx-2009-72).

cancelled electronically delivered customer order in excess of the number of customer orders executed on the Exchange by that member organization in a given month.<sup>19</sup> The Cancellation Fee will continue to apply.

- Transaction fees for Linkage “P” and “P/A” Orders would be applicable to the transaction listed herein.<sup>20</sup>

- Regular Equity Option transaction fees will apply to Complex Orders that are electronically executed against a contra-side order with the same Complex Order Strategy.

- Single contra-side orders that are executed against the individual components of Complex Orders will be charged under the proposed Fee Schedule. The individual components of such a Complex Order will not be charged.

- SPY transactions executed via open outcry will be subject to the standard equity options fee schedule. However, if one side of the transaction is executed using the Options Floor Broker Management System<sup>21</sup> and any other side of the trade was the result of an electronically submitted order or a quote, then the fees proposed herein will apply to the FBMS contracts and contracts that are executed electronically all sides of the transaction.

The proposed changes to the fee schedule will be effective for transactions settling on or after January 4, 2010, and will be effective for a pilot period scheduled to expire March 2, 2010.

<sup>19</sup> See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 60188 (June 29, 2009), 74 FR 32986 (July 9, 2009) (SR-Phlx-2009-48).

<sup>20</sup> See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 60210 (July 1, 2009), 74 FR 32989 (July 9, 2009) (SR-Phlx-2009-53). This pilot is scheduled to expire on July 31, 2010. The Exchange understands that certain exchanges continue to utilize Linkage to send P/A Orders.

<sup>21</sup> The Options Floor Broker Management System (“FBMS”) is a component of the Exchange’s system designed to enable Floor Brokers and/or their employees to enter, route and report transactions stemming from options orders received on the Exchange. The Options Floor Broker Management System also is designed to establish an electronic audit trail for options orders represented and executed by Floor Brokers on the Exchange, such that the audit trail provides an accurate, time-sequenced record of electronic and other orders, quotations and transactions on the Exchange, beginning with the receipt of an order by the Exchange, and further documenting the life of the order through the process of execution, partial execution, or cancellation of that order. AUTOM is the Exchange’s electronic order delivery and reporting system, which provides for the automatic entry and routing of Exchange-listed equity options, index options and U.S. dollar-settled foreign currency options orders to the Exchange trading floor. See Exchange Rule 1080, Commentary .06.

## 2. Statutory Basis

The Exchange believes that its proposal to amend its schedule of fees is consistent with Section 6(b) of the Act<sup>22</sup> in general, and furthers the objectives of Section 6(b)(4) of the Act<sup>23</sup> in particular, in that it is an equitable allocation of reasonable fees and other charges among Exchange members. The impact of the amendments upon the net fees paid by a particular market participant will depend on a number of variables, including its monthly volumes, the order types it uses, and the prices of its quotes and orders (*i.e.*, its propensity to add or remove liquidity).

Specifically, the Exchange believes that its proposal to charge a different fee and to pay a different rebate for Non-Directed Customers relative to Directed Customers is an equitable allocation of reasonable fees and other charges among Exchange members, and is consistent with the current fee schedule and industry fee assessments of member firms that allow for different rates to be charged for different order types originated by dissimilarly classified market participants.<sup>24</sup>

The Exchange notes that the vast majority of order flow that is routed to the Exchange from away markets disseminating inferior prices is customer order flow that is not directed to a particular specialist, SQT or RSQT. The Exchange believes that this Non-Directed Customer order flow represents orders that were previously routed to the Exchange as Principal Acting as Agent Orders (“P/A Orders”) via the Intermarket Option Linkage (“Linkage”) under the Plan for the Purpose of Creating and Operating an Intermarket Option Linkage (the “Plan”). The participating U.S. options exchanges determined to withdraw from the Plan and, on June 17, 2008, the Exchange filed an executed copy of the Options Order Protection and Locked/Crossed Market Plan (“New Plan”), joining all other approved options markets in adopting the New Plan. The concept of P/A orders routed through a central Linkage “hub” does not exist under the New Plan. P/A Orders were routed to remove liquidity from the Exchange

<sup>22</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78f(b).

<sup>23</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(4).

<sup>24</sup> NYSE Amex currently charges different rates to different market participants in assessing its firm facilitation fee. See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 60378 (July 23, 2009), 74 FR 38245 (July 31, 2009) (SR-NYSEAmex 2009-38).

<sup>25</sup> A P/A order is an order for the principal account of a specialist (or equivalent entity on another participant exchange that is authorized to represent public customer orders), reflecting the terms of a related unexecuted Public Customer order for which the specialist is acting as agent

under the Plan; orders routed from away markets to remove liquidity are now routed directly to the Exchange, in large part as Non-Directed Customer orders.

The Exchange assessed transaction fees applicable to the execution of P/A Orders, but did not assess transaction fees on customer orders sent to the Exchange outside the Linkage. The Exchange also charged different per-contract transaction fees for P/A Orders and Principal Orders (“P Orders”) <sup>26</sup> sent to remove liquidity from the Exchange. The Exchange charged \$0.45 per option contract for P Orders sent to the Exchange and \$.30 per contract for P/A Orders.<sup>27</sup> The Exchange believes that Non-Directed Customers now “stand in the shoes” of what were previously P/A Orders, and the proposed transaction charges applicable to Non-Directed Customers are not unfairly discriminatory relative to the proposed fees for Directed Customers, based upon the precedent of charging for P/A Orders but not for customer orders sent outside the Linkage.

Order flow providers that control customer order flow and route customer orders to exchanges are responsible to obtain the best pricing available for their customers. An order flow provider has the ability to enter into arrangements whereby they may receive consideration for directing the customer order to a specific market maker (specialists, SQTs and/or RSQTs). Under the proposal, a Directed Customer would be charged a lower per-contract transaction fee, and would receive a higher rebate, based on such an arrangement.

The Exchange operates in a highly competitive market in which market participants can readily direct order flow to competing venues if they deem fee levels at a particular venue to be excessive. The Exchange believes that the fees it charges for options overlying SPYs remain competitive with fees charged by other venues and therefore continue to be reasonable and equitably allocated to those members that opt to direct orders to the Exchange rather than competing venues.

## B. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement on Burden on Competition

The Exchange does not believe that the proposed rule change will impose any burden on competition not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act.

<sup>26</sup> A Principal Order is an order for the principal account of an Eligible Market Maker and is not a P/A Order.

<sup>27</sup> See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 60210 (July 1, 2009), 74 FR 32989 (July 9, 2009) (SR-Phlx-2009-53).

*C. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received From Members, Participants, or Others*

No written comments were either solicited or received.

**III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action**

The foregoing rule change has become effective pursuant to Section 19(b)(3)(A)(ii) of the Act<sup>28</sup> and paragraph (f)(2) of Rule 19b-4<sup>29</sup> thereunder. At any time within 60 days of the filing of the proposed rule change, the Commission may summarily abrogate such rule change if it appears to the Commission that such action is necessary or appropriate in the public interest, for the protection of investors, or otherwise in furtherance of the purposes of the Act.

**IV. Solicitation of Comments**

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views, and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act. Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

*Electronic Comments*

- Use the Commission's Internet comment form (<http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>); or
- Send an e-mail to [rule-comments@sec.gov](mailto:rule-comments@sec.gov). Please include File Number SR-Phlx-2009-116 on the subject line.

*Paper Comments*

- Send paper comments in triplicate to Elizabeth M. Murphy, Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street, NE., Washington, DC 20549-1090.

All submissions should refer to File Number SR-Phlx-2009-116. This file number should be included on the subject line if e-mail is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission's Internet Web site (<http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>). Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than

those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for inspection and copying in the Commission's Public Reference Room, 100 F Street, NE., Washington, DC 20549, on official business days between the hours of 10 a.m. and 3 p.m. Copies of the filing also will be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of the Exchange. All comments received will be posted without change; the Commission does not edit personal identifying information from submissions. You should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly. All submissions should refer to File Number SR-Phlx-2009-116 and should be submitted on or before February 19, 2010.

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority.<sup>30</sup>

**Florence E. Harmon,**

*Deputy Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 2010-1843 Filed 1-28-10; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 8011-01-P**

**SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION**

**[Release No. 34-61393; File No. SR-NYSEArca-2010-03]**

**Self-Regulatory Organizations; Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of Proposed Rule Change by NYSE Arca, Inc. Amending Rule 6.87**

January 21, 2010.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1)<sup>1</sup> of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the "Act")<sup>2</sup> and Rule 19b-4 thereunder,<sup>3</sup> notice is hereby given that, on January 8, 2010, NYSE Arca, Inc. ("NYSE Arca" or the "Exchange") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "Commission") the proposed rule change as described in Items I, II, and III below, which Items have been prepared by the self-regulatory organization. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

**I. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change**

The Exchange proposes to amend Exchange Rule 6.87—Obvious Errors and Catastrophic Errors. The text of the proposed rule change is attached as

Exhibit 5 to the 19b-4 form. A copy of this filing is available on the Exchange's Web site at <http://www.nyse.com>, at the Exchange's principal office and at the Commission's Public Reference Room.

**II. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change**

In its filing with the Commission, the self-regulatory organization included statements concerning the purpose of, and basis for, the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of those statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The Exchange has prepared summaries, set forth in sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant parts of such statements.

*A. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and the Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change*

1. Purpose

The Exchange is proposing certain changes to Rule 6.87—Obvious Errors and Catastrophic Errors. Under the current rule, an obvious error occurs when the execution price of an electronic transaction is above or below the Theoretical Price for the series by a specified amount. The "Theoretical Price" of an option series is currently defined in rule 6.87(a)(2) as the last bid price with respect to an erroneous sell transaction and the last offer price with respect to an erroneous buy transaction, just prior to the trade, that comprise the National Best Bid/Offer ("NBBO") as disseminated by the Options Price Reporting Authority ("OPRA") If there are no quotes for comparison, the Theoretical Price is determined by a designated Trading Official.<sup>4</sup>

The Exchange is now proposing to permit Trading Officials to establish the Theoretical Price when the NBBO for the affected series, just prior to the erroneous transaction, is at least two times the permitted bid/ask differential pursuant to the guidelines contained in Rule 6.37A(b). This provision is similar to Rule 1092(b)(ii) of Nasdaq OMX Phlx ("PHLX") and Rule 6.25(a)(1)(iv) of The Chicago Board Options Exchange ("CBOE").

2. Statutory Basis

This proposed rule change is designed to allow an Exchange officer to review a transaction in order to provide the

<sup>30</sup> 17 CFR 200.30-3(a)(12).

<sup>1</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

<sup>2</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78a.

<sup>3</sup> 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

<sup>28</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(3)(A)(ii).

<sup>29</sup> 17 CFR 240.19b-4(f)(2).

<sup>4</sup> Trading Officials are employees or officers of the Exchange and are not affiliated with OTP Holders or OTP Firms.