

public review, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so.

Authority

We provide this notice under section 10(c) of the ESA (16 U.S.C. 1531 *et seq.*) and its implementing regulations (50 CFR 17.22), and under NEPA (42 U.S.C. 4371 *et seq.*) and its implementing regulations (40 CFR 1506.6; 43 CFR part 46).

Dated: February 18, 2015.

Lynn Lewis,

Assistant Regional Director, Ecological Services, Midwest Region.

[FR Doc. 2015-06396 Filed 3-19-15; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-55-P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337-TA-888]

Certain Silicon Microphone Packages and Products Containing Same: Commission Determination To Grant the Joint Motion To Terminate the Investigation on the Basis of Settlement; Termination of Investigation

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined to grant the joint motion to terminate the above-referenced investigation based upon settlement.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Michael Liberman, Esq., Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205-3115. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server at <http://www.usitc.gov>. The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at <http://edis.usitc.gov>. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on (202) 205-1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Commission instituted this investigation

on July 26, 2013, based on a complaint filed by Knowles Electronics, LLC, of Itasca, Illinois. 78 *Fed. Reg.* 45272 (July 26, 2013). The notice of investigation named GoerTek, Inc. of Weifang, China and GoerTek Electronics, Inc. of Sunnyvale, California as respondents. The Commission's Office of Unfair Import Investigations is not a party to this investigation. The complaint alleged violations of section 337 in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of silicon microphone packages and products containing the same, by reason of infringement of certain claims of U.S. Patent Nos. 7,439,616 ("the '616 patent"); 8,018,049 ("the '049 patent"); and 8,121,331. Subsequently, the investigation was terminated as to claims 13 and 14 of the '616 patent and claim 24 of the '049 patent based on the withdrawal of complainant's allegations as to those claims. *See* Notice (May 16, 2014) (determining not to review Order No. 37 issued on April 17, 2014).

The evidentiary hearing in this investigation was held from May 6 through May 14, 2014. On August 29, 2014, the ALJ issued the final initial determination ("ID") finding a violation of section 337. Respondents and complainant (conditionally) petitioned for review of various portions of the final ID. The Commission determined to review the final ID in part, and issued a Notice dated November 6, 2014, 79 FR 67446-48 (Nov. 13, 2014), in which the Commission specified the issues under review and the questions pertaining to such issues. The Commission received timely opening and reply briefs regarding the issues under review, as well as the issues of remedy, the public interest, and bonding, from both parties to the investigation.

On February 11, 2015, the parties filed a "Joint Motion To Extend the Target Date by 10 Days" representing that an extension was necessary because the parties were "making progress on an agreement which, if signed, would resolve their dispute and permit termination of this investigation pursuant to 19 CFR 210.21." Motion To Extend at 1. The Commission granted the joint motion extending the target date for completion of this investigation to March 16, 2015. *See* Commission Notice dated February 27, 2015.

On February 25, 2015, the parties filed a "Joint Motion To Terminate Investigation No. 337-TA-888 on the Basis of Settlement."

Having examined the joint motion, the settlement agreement, and the record of this investigation, the Commission has determined to grant the

joint motion to terminate the investigation. The Commission finds that this termination will not prejudice the public interest.

The Commission has therefore terminated this investigation. The authority for the Commission's determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and Part 210 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR part 210).

By order of the Commission.

Issued: March 16, 2015.

Lisa R. Barton,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2015-06381 Filed 3-19-15; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Notice of Lodging of Proposed Consent Decree Under the Clean Air Act

On March 16, 2015, the Department of Justice lodged a proposed Consent Decree with the United States District Court for the Southern District of Indiana in the lawsuit entitled *United States and the State of Indiana v. Exide Technologies*, Civil Action No. 15-cv-433 (S.D. Ind.).

A Complaint that was filed along with the proposed Consent Decree alleges that Exide Technologies ("Exide") has violated the Clean Air Act and its implementing regulations, certain terms and conditions of Exide's Clean Air Act Title V operating permit, and corresponding requirements under Indiana state law at its secondary lead smelting facility in Muncie, Indiana. The proposed Consent Decree would resolve the claims alleged in the Complaint in exchange for Exide's commitment to make specific improvements to its air pollution control and monitoring systems at its Muncie facility, including installing a new furnace exhaust gas afterburner, and to pay civil penalties to the United States and the State. The penalties would be paid as allowed claims in Exide's pending Chapter 11 bankruptcy proceeding, captioned *In re Exide Technologies*, No. 13-11482-KJC (Bankr. D. Del.). The Consent Decree would grant the United States and the State equivalent allowed penalty claims in the bankruptcy totaling \$820,000, including a \$246,000 allowed administrative expense claim and a \$164,000 allowed general unsecured claim each for the United States and the State.

The publication of this notice opens a period for public comment on the proposed Consent Decree. Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General, Environment and Natural Resources Division, and should refer to *United States and the State of Indiana v. Exide Technologies*, D.J. Ref. No. 90–5–2–1–11003. All comments must be submitted no later than thirty (30) days after the publication date of this notice. Comments may be submitted either by email or by mail:

To submit comments:	Send them to:
By email	pubcomment-ees.enrd@usdoj.gov .
By mail	Assistant Attorney General U.S. DOJ—ENRD P.O. Box 7611 Washington, D.C. 20044–7611.

During the public comment period, the Consent Decree may be examined and downloaded at this Justice Department Web site: http://www.usdoj.gov/enrd/Consent_Decrees.html. We will provide a paper copy of the Consent Decree upon written request and payment of reproduction costs (at 25 cents per page). Please mail your request and a check or money order payable to the United States Treasury to: Consent Decree Library, U.S. DOJ—ENRD, P.O. Box 7611, Washington, DC 20044–7611.

The cost for a paper copy of the Consent Decree is \$8.25.

Randall M. Stone,

*Acting Assistant Section Chief,
Environmental Enforcement Section,
Environment and Natural Resources Division.*

[FR Doc. 2015–06369 Filed 3–19–15; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410–15–P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Drug Enforcement Administration

[Docket No. DEA–410]

Controlled Substances: 2015 Proposed Aggregate Production Quotas for Three Temporarily Controlled Synthetic Cannabinoids

AGENCY: Drug Enforcement Administration, Department of Justice.

ACTION: Notice with request for comments.

SUMMARY: Three synthetic cannabinoids: N-(1-amino-3-methyl-1-oxobutan-2-yl)-1-(cyclohexylmethyl)-1H-indazole-3-carboxamide (AB-CHMINACA), N-(1-amino-3-methyl-1-oxobutan-2-yl)-1-pentyl-1H-indazole-3-carboxamide (AB-

PINACA), and [1-(5-fluoropentyl)-1H-indazol-3-yl](naphthalen-1-yl)methanone (THJ-2201) were temporarily placed in schedule I of the Controlled Substances Act by a final order published by the Drug Enforcement Administration on January 30, 2015 (80 FR 5042). This means that any person that wishes to manufacture AB-CHMINACA, AB-PINACA, or THJ-2201 after January 30, 2015, must be registered with the Drug Enforcement Administration and have obtained a manufacturing quota pursuant to 21 CFR part 1303.

The Drug Enforcement Administration cannot issue individual manufacturing quotas for AB-CHMINACA, AB-PINACA, or THJ-2201 until it establishes aggregate production quotas. Therefore, this notice proposes the 2015 aggregate production quotas for AB-CHMINACA, AB-PINACA, and THJ-2201.

DATES: Interested persons may file written comments on this notice in accordance with 21 CFR 1303.11(c). Electronic comments must be submitted, and written comments must be postmarked, on or before April 20, 2015. Commenters should be aware that the electronic Federal Docket Management System will not accept comments after 11:59 p.m. Eastern Time on the last day of the comment period.

Based on comments received in response to this Notice, the Administrator may hold a public hearing on one or more issues raised. In the event the Administrator decides in her sole discretion to hold such a hearing, the Administrator will publish a notice of any such hearing in the **Federal Register**. After consideration of any comments and after a hearing, if one is held, the Administrator will publish in the **Federal Register** a final order establishing the 2015 aggregate production quotas for AB-CHMINACA, AB-PINACA, and THJ-2201.

ADDRESSES: To ensure proper handling of comments, please reference “Docket No. DEA–410” on all correspondence, including any attachments. The Drug Enforcement Administration encourages that all comments be submitted electronically through the Federal eRulemaking Portal which provides the ability to type short comments directly into the comment field on the Web page or attach a file for lengthier comments. Please go to <http://www.regulations.gov> and follow the online instructions at that site for submitting comments. Upon completion of your submission you will receive a Comment Tracking Number for your comment. Please be aware that submitted comments are not

instantaneously available for public view on Regulations.gov. If you have received a Comment Tracking Number, your comment has been successfully submitted and there is no need to resubmit the same comment. Paper comments that duplicate an electronic submission are not necessary and are discouraged. Should you wish to mail a paper comment *in lieu of* an electronic comment, it should be sent via regular or express mail to: Drug Enforcement Administration, Attention: DEA Federal Register Representative/ODXL, 8701 Morrisette Drive, Springfield, Virginia 22152.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Imelda L. Paredes, Office of Diversion Control, Drug Enforcement Administration; Mailing Address: 8701 Morrisette Drive, Springfield, Virginia 22152; Telephone: (202) 598–6812.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Posting of Public Comments

Please note that all comments received in response to this docket are considered part of the public record and will be made available for public inspection online at <http://www.regulations.gov>. Such information includes personal identifying information (such as your name, address, etc.) voluntarily submitted by the commenter.

The Freedom of Information Act applies to all comments received. If you want to submit personal identifying information (such as your name, address, etc.) as part of your comment, but do not want it to be posted online or made available in the public docket, you must include the phrase “PERSONAL IDENTIFYING INFORMATION” in the first paragraph of your comment. You must also place all the personal identifying information you do not want publicly available in the first paragraph of your comment and identify what information you want redacted.

If you want to submit confidential business information as part of your comment, but do not want it to be made publicly available, you must include the phrase “CONFIDENTIAL BUSINESS INFORMATION” in the first paragraph of your comment. You must also prominently identify confidential business information to be redacted within the comment. If a comment has so much confidential business information that it cannot be effectively redacted, all or part of that comment may not be posted online or made available in the public docket. Comments containing personal identifying information or confidential