instructions and forms regarding contacting OPM and etc.

**DATES:** Comments are encouraged and will be accepted until April 12, 2021.

ADDRESSES: You may submit comments, identified by docket number and/or Regulatory Information Number (RIN) and title, by the following method:

—Federal Rulemaking Portal: http:// www.regulations.gov. Follow the instructions for submitting comments.

All submissions received must include the agency name and docket number or RIN for this document. The general policy for comments and other submissions from members of the public is to make these submissions available for public viewing at <a href="http://www.regulations.gov">http://www.regulations.gov</a> as they are received without change, including any personal identifiers or contact information.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: A

copy of this ICR with applicable supporting documentation, may be obtained by contacting the Retirement Services Publications Team, Office of Personnel Management, 1900 E Street NW, Room 3316–L, Washington, DC 20415, Attention: Cyrus S. Benson, or sent via electronic mail to Cyrus.Benson@opm.gov or faxed to (202) 606–0910 or via telephone at (202) 606–4808.

## SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: As

required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104–13, 44 U.S.C. chapter 35) as amended by the Clinger-Cohen Act (Pub. L. 104–106), OPM is soliciting comments for this collection (OMB No. 3206–0121). The Office of Management and Budget is particularly interested in comments that:

- 1. Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility:
- 2. Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
- 3. Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected: and
- 4. Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submissions of responses.

Standard Form 2800 is needed to collect information so that OPM can pay

death benefits to the survivors of Federal employees and annuitants. Standard Form 2800A is needed for deaths in service so that survivors can make the needed elections regarding military service.

#### **Analysis**

Agency: Retirement Operations, Retirement Services, Office of Personnel Management.

Title: Application for Death Benefits under the Civil Service Retirement System (SF 2800); and Documentation and Elections in Support of Application for Death Benefits When Deceased Was an Employee at the Time of Death (SF 2800A).

 $OMB\ Number: 3206-0156.$ 

Frequency: On occasion.

Affected Public: Individuals or Households.

*Number of Respondents:* SF 2800 = 40,000; SF 2800A = 400.

Estimated Time per Respondent: SF 2800 = 45 minutes; SF 2800A = 45 minutes.

*Total Burden Hours:* 30,300 (SF 2800 = 30,000; SF 2800A = 300).

Office of Personnel Management.

#### Alexys Stanley,

Regulatory Affairs Analyst.

[FR Doc. 2021-02706 Filed 2-9-21; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6325-38-P

#### **POSTAL SERVICE**

# Product Change—Priority Mail and First-Class Package Service Negotiated Service Agreement

**AGENCY:** Postal Service<sup>TM</sup>.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The Postal Service gives notice of filing a request with the Postal Regulatory Commission to add a domestic shipping services contract to the list of Negotiated Service Agreements in the Mail Classification Schedule's Competitive Products List.

**DATES:** Date of required notice: February 10, 2021.

# **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Sean Robinson, 202–268–8405.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The United States Postal Service® hereby gives notice that, pursuant to 39 U.S.C. 3642 and 3632(b)(3), on February 3, 2021, it filed with the Postal Regulatory Commission a *USPS Request to Add* 

Priority Mail & First-Class Package Service Contract 190 to Competitive Product List. Documents are available at www.prc.gov, Docket Nos. MC2021–67, CP2021–70.

#### Sean Robinson,

 $Attorney, Corporate\ and\ Postal\ Business\ Law. \\ [FR\ Doc.\ 2021–02747\ Filed\ 2–9–21;\ 8:45\ am]$ 

BILLING CODE 7710-12-P

# SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34-91065; File No. SR-NYSEAMER-2021-07]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; NYSE American LLC; Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of a Proposed Rule Change To Amend the NYSE American Options Fee Schedule

February 4, 2021.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1)¹ of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the "Act")² and Rule 19b–4 thereunder,³ notice is hereby given that, on February 1, 2021, NYSE American LLC ("NYSE American" or the "Exchange") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "Commission") the proposed rule change as described in Items I, II, and III below, which Items have been prepared by the self-regulatory organization. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

### I. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The Exchange proposes to amend the NYSE American Options Fee Schedule ("Fee Schedule") to introduce a new credit applicable to Customer Electronic executions. The Exchange proposes to implement the fee change effective February 1, 2021. The proposed rule change is available on the Exchange's website at <a href="https://www.nyse.com">www.nyse.com</a>, at the principal office of the Exchange, and at the Commission's Public Reference Room.

### II. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the self-regulatory organization included statements concerning the purpose of, and basis for, the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of those statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78a.

<sup>3 17</sup> CFR 240.19b-4.

The Exchange has prepared summaries, set forth in sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant parts of such statements.

A. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and the Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

#### 1. Purpose

The purpose of this filing is to modify the Fee Schedule to add a new credit for Customer Electronic Simple and Complex executions based on an ATP Holder's achievement of certain volume thresholds. Specifically, an ATP Holder that executes the requisite volume in Complex CUBE Auctions, Customer Electronic executions, and Professional (as defined in Section I.H. of the Fee Schedule) Electronic executions will earn a \$0.10 per contract credit on Customer Electronic executions, excluding CUBE Auctions, QCC Transactions, and orders routed to another exchange. The Exchange proposes to introduce this pricing on February 1, 2021.

Section I.H. of the Fee Schedule currently provides incentives for ATP Holders that increase their Electronic volume in the Professional Customer, Broker Dealer, Non-NYSE American Options Market Maker, and Firm ranges (collectively, the "Professional" range).

The Exchange proposes to modify Section I.H. to provide ATP Holders with a new credit of \$0.10 per contract on Customer Electronic Simple and Complex executions (excluding CUBE Auctions, QCC Transactions, and orders routed to another exchange), provided that each of three monthly volume qualifications are met: (a) 15,000 Contracts ADV from Initiating CUBE Orders in Complex CUBE Auctions; (b) Customer Electronic executions of 0.05% of TCADV, excluding CUBE Auctions, OCC Transactions, and volume from orders routed to another exchange; and (c) Professional Electronic executions of 0.03% of TCADV.4 In calculating an OFP's Electronic volume for purposes of this credit, the Exchange will include the activity of either (i) Affiliates of the OFP, such as when an OFP has an Affiliated NYSE American Options Market Making firm, or (ii) an Appointed MM of such OFP.

The Exchange believes the proposed credit will continue to incent ATP Holders to direct order flow to the Exchange and also encourage ATP Holders to engage in a variety of transactions on the Exchange, thereby

promoting market depth, facilitating tighter spreads, and enhancing price discovery to the benefit of all market participants.

### 2. Statutory Basis

The Exchange believes that the proposed rule change is consistent with Section 6(b) of the Act,<sup>5</sup> in general, and furthers the objectives of Sections 6(b)(4) and (5) of the Act,<sup>6</sup> in particular, because it provides for the equitable allocation of reasonable dues, fees, and other charges among its members, issuers and other persons using its facilities and does not unfairly discriminate between customers, issuers, brokers or dealers.

# The Proposed Rule Change Is Reasonable

The Exchange operates in a highly competitive market. The Commission has repeatedly expressed its preference for competition over regulatory intervention in determining prices, products, and services in the securities markets. In Regulation NMS, the Commission highlighted the importance of market forces in determining prices and SRO revenues and, also, recognized that current regulation of the market system "has been remarkably successful in promoting market competition in its broader forms that are most important to investors and listed companies." 7

There are currently 16 registered options exchanges competing for order flow. Based on publicly-available information, and excluding index-based options, no single exchange has more than 16% of the market share of executed volume of multiply-listed equity and ETF options trades.8 Therefore, currently no exchange possesses significant pricing power in the execution of multiply-listed equity and ETF options order flow. More specifically, in November 2020, the Exchange had less than 10% market share of executed volume of multiplylisted equity and ETF options trades.9

The Exchange believes that the evershifting market share among the exchanges from month to month demonstrates that market participants can shift order flow, or discontinue or reduce use of certain categories of products, in response to fee changes. Accordingly, competitive forces constrain options exchange transaction fees. Stated otherwise, changes to exchange transaction fees and rebates can have a direct effect on the ability of an exchange to compete for order flow.

The proposed rule change is designed to continue to incent ATP Holders to direct liquidity to the Exchange in a variety of forms and from a variety of sources, thereby promoting market depth, price discovery, and price improvement and enhancing order execution opportunities for market participants. In particular, the Exchange believes it is reasonable to provide ATP Holders with a credit for achieving certain volume goals in different types of executions, consistent with credits offered through a similarly-structured program on a competing options exchange.10

The Exchange believes that the proposed credit is reasonably designed to encourage ATP Holders to execute a variety of orders on the Exchange and that having multiple volume criteria to qualify for the proposed credit should encourage greater use of the Exchange by all ATP Holders, which may lead to greater opportunities to trade—and for price improvement—for all participants.

Further, the Exchange believes the proposed new credit would continue to attract more volume and liquidity to the Exchange generally and would therefore benefit all market participants through increased opportunities to trade at potentially improved prices, as well as by enhancing price discovery.

Finally, to the extent the proposed fees and credits encourage greater volume and liquidity, the Exchange believes the proposed change would continue to improve the Exchange's overall competitiveness and strengthen its market quality for all market participants. In the backdrop of the competitive environment in which the Exchange operates, the proposed rule change is a reasonable attempt by the Exchange to maintain its market share relative to its competitors.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> See proposed Fee Schedule, Section I.H.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78f(b).

<sup>6 15</sup> U.S.C. 78f(b)(4) and (5).

 <sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 51808
 (June 9, 2005), 70 FR 37496, 37499 (June 29, 2005)
 (S7-10-04) ("Reg NMS Adopting Release").

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> The OCC publishes options and futures volume in a variety of formats, including daily and monthly volume by exchange, available here: https:// www.theocc.com/Market-Data/Market-Data-Reports/Volume-and-Open-Interest/Monthly-Weekly-Volume-Statistics.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup>Based on a compilation of OCC data for monthly volume of equity-based options and monthly volume of ETF-based options, *see id.*, the Exchange's market share in multiply-listed equity and ETF options increased from 8.06% for the month of November 2019 to 9.09% for the month of November 2020.

<sup>10</sup> See, e.g., Cboe Exchange Inc. Fee Schedule, Volume Incentive Program, available at: https:// cdn.cboe.com/resources/membership/Cboe\_ FeeSchedule.pdf (providing comparable per contract credits for Customer orders based on volume from a variety of executions, including auction volume, volume from various account types, and volume from both simple and complex executions).

The Proposed Rule Change Is an Equitable Allocation of Fees and Credits

The Exchange believes the proposed rule change is an equitable allocation of its fees and credits. The proposal is based on the amount and type of business transacted on the Exchange and ATP Holders can opt to avail themselves of the incentive or not. Moreover, the proposal is designed to encourage ATP Holders and their affiliated or appointed parties to aggregate their executions at the Exchange as a primary execution venue. To the extent that the proposed change continues to attract more executions to the Exchange, this increased order flow would continue to make the Exchange a more competitive venue for order execution. Thus, the Exchange believes the proposed rule change would improve market quality for all market participants on the Exchange and, as a consequence, continue to attract more order flow to the Exchange thereby improving market-wide quality and price discovery.

The Proposed Rule Change Is Not Unfairly Discriminatory

The Exchange believes that the proposal is not unfairly discriminatory because the proposed modifications would be available to all similarly-situated market participants on an equal and non-discriminatory basis. The Exchange's fees and credits are designed to continue to encourage greater use of the Exchange, which may lead to greater opportunities to trade—and for price improvement—for all participants.

The proposal is based on the amount and type of business transacted on the Exchange and ATP Holders are not obligated to try to achieve the incentive. Rather, the proposal is designed to continue to encourage participants to utilize the Exchange as a primary trading venue (if they have not done so previously) or increase Electronic volume sent to the Exchange. To the extent that the proposed change continues to attract more executionsand executions of varying types—to the Exchange, this increased order flow would continue to make the Exchange a more competitive venue for order execution. Thus, the Exchange believes the proposed rule change would continue to improve market quality for all market participants on the Exchange and, as a consequence, attract more order flow to the Exchange, thereby improving market-wide quality and price discovery. The resulting volume and liquidity would continue to provide more trading opportunities and tighter spreads to all market participants and

thus would promote just and equitable principles of trade, remove impediments to and perfect the mechanism of a free and open market and a national market system and, in general, to protect investors and the public interest.

Finally, the Exchange believes that it is subject to significant competitive forces, as described below in the Exchange's statement regarding the burden on competition.

B. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Burden on Competition

In accordance with Section 6(b)(8) of the Act, the Exchange does not believe that the proposed rule change would impose any burden on competition that is not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. Instead, as discussed above, the Exchange believes that the proposed changes would continue to encourage the submission of additional liquidity to a public exchange, thereby promoting market depth, price discovery and transparency and enhancing order execution opportunities for all market participants. As a result, the Exchange believes that the proposed changes further the Commission's goal in adopting Regulation NMS of fostering integrated competition among orders, which promotes "more efficient pricing of individual stocks for all types of orders, large and small." 11

Intramarket Competition. The proposed change is designed to continue to attract increased and diverse order flow to the Exchange by offering competitive credits based on increased volumes on the Exchange, which may increase the volumes of contracts traded on the Exchange. Specifically, the Exchange believes that the proposed rule change, by offering an additional credit applicable to Customer Electronic executions, will incent ATP Holders to direct order flow to the Exchange and participate in a variety of types of executions on the Exchange to meet the proposed thresholds to qualify for the credit. To the extent that this purpose is achieved, all of the Exchange's market participants should benefit from the continued market liquidity. Enhanced market quality and increased transaction volume that results from the increase in order flow directed to the Exchange will benefit all market participants and improve competition on the Exchange.

Intermarket Competition. The Exchange operates in a highly competitive market in which market

participants can readily favor one of the 16 competing option exchanges if they deem fee levels at a particular venue to be excessive. In such an environment, the Exchange must continually adjust its fees and credits to remain competitive with other exchanges and to attract order flow to the Exchange. Based on publicly-available information, and excluding index-based options, no single exchange currently has more than 16% of the market share of executed volume of multiply-listed equity and ETF options trades. 12 Therefore, no exchange currently possesses significant pricing power in the execution of multiply-listed equity and ETF options order flow. More specifically, in November 2020, the Exchange had less than 10% market share of executed volume of multiply-listed equity and ETF options trades. 13

The Exchange believes that the proposed rule change reflects this competitive environment because it modifies the Exchange's fees and credits in a manner designed to encourage ATP Holders to direct trading interest to the Exchange, to provide liquidity and to attract order flow. Specifically, the Exchange believes that the proposed rule change will encourage ATP Holders to direct increased Electronic volume to the Exchange, thereby increasing the number of executions (and executions of varying types) on the Exchange and continuing to make the Exchange a more competitive venue for order execution. To the extent that this purpose is achieved, all the Exchange's market participants should benefit from the improved market quality and increased opportunities for price improvement.
The Exchange believes that the

proposed changes could promote competition between the Exchange and other execution venues by encouraging additional orders to be sent to the Exchange for execution.

C. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received From Members, Participants, or Others

No written comments were solicited or received with respect to the proposed rule change.

### III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action

The foregoing rule change is effective upon filing pursuant to Section

 $<sup>^{11}\,</sup>See$  Reg NMS Adopting Release, supra note 7, at 37499.

 $<sup>^{12}\,</sup>See\,supra$  note 8.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> Based on OCC data, *supra* note 9, the Exchange's market share in multiply-listed equity and ETF options increased from 8.06% for the month of November 2019 to 9.09% for the month of November 2020.

19(b)(3)(A) <sup>14</sup> of the Act and subparagraph (f)(2) of Rule 19b–4 <sup>15</sup> thereunder, because it establishes a due, fee, or other charge imposed by the Exchange.

At any time within 60 days of the filing of such proposed rule change, the Commission summarily may temporarily suspend such rule change if it appears to the Commission that such action is necessary or appropriate in the public interest, for the protection of investors, or otherwise in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. If the Commission takes such action, the Commission shall institute proceedings under Section 19(b)(2)(B) <sup>16</sup> of the Act to determine whether the proposed rule change should be approved or disapproved.

#### IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views, and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act. Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

#### Electronic Comments

- Use the Commission's internet comment form (http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml); or
- Send an email to *rule-comments@* sec.gov. Please include File Number SR–NYSEAMER–2021–07 on the subject line.

#### Paper Comments

• Send paper comments in triplicate to Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street NE, Washington, DC 20549–1090.

All submissions should refer to File Number SR–NYSEAMER–2021–07. This file number should be included on the subject line if email is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission's internet website (http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml). Copies of the submission, all subsequent

amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for website viewing and printing in the Commission's Public Reference Room, 100 F Street NE, Washington, DC 20549 on official business days between the hours of 10:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m. Copies of the filing also will be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of the Exchange. All comments received will be posted without change. Persons submitting comments are cautioned that we do not redact or edit personal identifying information from comment submissions. You should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly. All submissions should refer to File Number SR-NYSEAMER-2021-07, and should be submitted on or before March 3, 2021.

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority. 17

#### J. Matthew DeLesDernier,

Assistant Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2021–02714 Filed 2–9–21; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 8011–01–P

# SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[SEC File No. 270–297, OMB Control No. 3235–0336]

### Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

Revision: Form N-14

Notice is hereby given that, under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501 et seq.) ("Paperwork Reduction Act"), the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "Commission") has submitted to the Office of Management and Budget ("OMB") a request for extension of the

previously approved collection of information discussed below.

Form N-14 (17 CFR 239.23) is the form for registration under the Securities Act of 1933 (15 U.S.C. 77a et seq.) ("Securities Act") of securities issued by management investment companies registered under the Investment Company Act of 1940 (15 U.S.C. 80a-1 et seq.) ("Investment Company Act") and business development companies as defined by Section 2(a)(48) of the Investment Company Act in: (1) A transaction of the type specified in rule 145(a) under the Securities Act (17 CFR 230.145(a)); (2) a merger in which a vote or consent of the security holders of the company being acquired is not required pursuant to applicable state law; (3) an exchange offer for securities of the issuer or another person; (4) a public reoffering or resale of any securities acquired in an offering registered on Form N-14; or (5) two or more of the transactions listed in (1) through (4) registered on one registration statement. The principal purpose of Form N-14 is to make material information regarding securities to be issued in connection with business combination transactions available to investors. The information required to be filed with the Commission permits verification of compliance with securities law requirements and assures the public availability and dissemination of such information. Without the registration statement requirement, material information may not necessarily be available to investors.

Estimates of the average burden hours are made solely for the purposes of the Paperwork Reduction Act and are not derived from a comprehensive or even representative survey or study of the costs of Commission rules and forms. The collection of information under Form N–14 is mandatory. The information provided under Form N–14 will not be kept confidential. An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number.

BILLING CODE 8011-01-P

<sup>14 15</sup> U.S.C. 78s(b)(3)(A).

<sup>15 17</sup> CFR 240.19b–4(f)(2).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(2)(B).

<sup>17 17</sup> CFR 200.30-3(a)(12).