

suspension-of-liquidation instruction will remain in effect until further notice.

Final Determination Margins

We determine that the following percentage weighted-average margins exist:

Manufacturer/exporter	Margin (percent)
Usinas Siderurgicas de Minas Gerais and Companhia Siderurgica Paulista.	33.88
All Others	33.88

In accordance with section 735(c)(5)(A), we have based the "all others" rate on the dumping margin found for the sole producer/exporter investigated in this proceeding, USIMINAS/COSIPA.

ITC Notification

In accordance with section 735(d) of the Act, we have notified the International Trade Commission (ITC) of our determination. As our final determination is affirmative, the ITC will determine, within 45 days, whether these imports are causing material injury, or threat of material injury, to an industry in the United States. If the ITC determines that material injury or threat of injury does not exist, the proceeding will be terminated and all securities posted will be refunded or canceled. If the ITC determines that such injury does exist, the Department will issue an antidumping duty order directing customs officials to assess antidumping duties on all imports of the subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the effective date of the suspension of liquidation.

This notice serves as the only reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3). Timely written notification of return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

We are issuing and publishing this determination and notice in accordance with sections 735(d) and 777(i) of the Act.

Dated: September 23, 2002.

Faryar Shirzad,

Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

Appendix—Issues in the Decision Memorandum

1. Use of Facts Available
2. Treatment of PIS and COFINS Taxes in Normal Value
3. Treatment of PIS and COFINS Taxes in the Cost of Production
4. Arm's-Length Test
5. Calculation of the Overall Dumping Margin
6. Upward Billing Adjustments
7. Downward Billing Adjustments
8. ICMS and IPI taxes
9. Discounts
10. Home Market Inland Freight Expenses for COSIPA
11. Foreign Inland Freight Expenses for COSIPA
12. Home Market Inland Freight Expenses and Foreign Inland Freight Expenses for USIMINAS
13. Foreign Brokerage and Handling Expenses
14. Credit Expenses for USIMINAS
15. Credit Expenses for COSIPA
16. Warranties vs. Rebates for USIMINAS
17. Warranty Expenses for COSIPA
18. Technical Service Expenses
19. Use of Facts Available to Determine USIMINAS's Cost of Production
20. Inclusion of Non-POI Costs in the Cost of Production
21. Reported Scrap Credit Values
22. Depreciation of Temporarily Idled Assets
23. Amortization of Goodwill
24. Exclusion of Financial Gains and Losses on Receivables from Financial Expenses

[FR Doc. 02-24800 Filed 10-2-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-791-814]

Notice of Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value and Negative Final Determination of Critical Circumstances: Certain Cold-Rolled Carbon Steel Flat Products From South Africa

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of final determination of sales at less than fair value and negative final determination of critical circumstances.

SUMMARY: We determine that certain cold-rolled carbon steel flat products from South Africa are being, or are likely to be, sold in the United States at less than fair value, as provided in section 735(a) of the Tariff Act of 1930,

as amended. In addition, we determine that critical circumstances do not exist for imports of cold-rolled carbon steel flat products from South Africa.

We gave interested parties an opportunity to comment on the preliminary determination. Based on our analysis of the comments received, we have made certain changes for the final determination.

EFFECTIVE DATE: October 3, 2002.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Minoo Hatten, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-1690.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

The Applicable Statute

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act"), are references to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the Act by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act. In addition, unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Department of Commerce ("Department") regulations are to the regulations codified at 19 CFR part 351 (April 2002).

Background

On May 9, 2002, the Department published its preliminary determination in the above-captioned antidumping duty investigation. See *Notice of Preliminary Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value, Postponement of Final Determination and Negative Preliminary Determination of Critical Circumstances: Certain Cold-Rolled Carbon Steel Flat Products From South Africa*, 67 FR 31243 (May 9, 2002) (*Preliminary Determination*). See also *Notice of Initiation of Antidumping Duty Investigations: Certain Cold-Rolled Carbon Steel Flat Products From Argentina, Australia, Belgium, Brazil, France, Germany, India, Japan, Korea, the Netherlands, New Zealand, the People's Republic of China, the Russian Federation, South Africa, Spain, Sweden, Taiwan, Thailand, Turkey and Venezuela*, 66 FR 54198 (October 26, 2001) (*Initiation Notice*).

Since the *Preliminary Determination*, the following events have occurred. On May 13, 2002, and May 27, 2002, the Department conducted a U.S. sales verification and home-market sales verification, respectively, using standard verification procedures. Our verification results are outlined in the public versions of the verification reports (see U.S. sales verification report

from analysts to file, dated May 23, 2002, and home-market verification report, dated June 24, 2002).

We gave interested parties an opportunity to comment on the *Preliminary Determination*. On July 19, 2002, the petitioners¹ submitted their case brief. Iscor Limited (Ischor) and its affiliate, MacSteel International USA Corp (MIUSA) (collectively Ischor), respondent in this investigation, also submitted its case brief on July 19, 2002. The petitioners and Ischor submitted their rebuttal briefs on July 24, 2002. No parties requested a hearing.

With respect to scope, in the preliminary less-than-fair-value (LTFV) determinations in this and the companion cold-rolled steel investigations, the Department preliminarily excluded certain porcelain enameling steel from the scope of these investigations. See *Scope Appendix to the Notice of Preliminary Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value: Certain Cold-Rolled Carbon Steel Flat Products from Argentina*, 67 FR 31181 (May 9, 2002) (*Scope Appendix—Argentina Preliminary LTFV Determination*). On June 13, 2002, we issued a preliminary decision on the remaining 75 scope-exclusion requests filed in a number of the on-going cold-rolled steel investigations (see the June 13, 2002, memorandum regarding “Preliminary Scope Rulings in the Antidumping Investigations on Certain Cold-Rolled Carbon Steel Flat Products from Argentina, Australia, Belgium, Brazil, France, Germany, India, Japan, Korea, the Netherlands, New Zealand, the People’s Republic of China, the Russian Federation, South Africa, Spain, Sweden, Taiwan, Thailand, Turkey, and Venezuela, and in the Countervailing Duty Investigations of Certain Cold-Rolled Carbon Steel Flat Products from Argentina, Brazil, France, and Korea” (*Preliminary Scope Rulings*), which is on file in the Department’s Central Records Unit (CRU), room B-099 of the main Department building). We gave parties until June 20, 2002, to comment on the preliminary scope ruling, and until June 27, 2002, to submit rebuttal comments. We received comments and/or rebuttal comments from the petitioners and respondents from various countries subject to these investigations of cold-rolled steel. In

addition, on June 13, 2002, North American Metals Company (an interested party in the Japan proceeding) filed a request that the Department issue a “correction” for an already-excluded product. On July 8, 2002, the petitioners objected to this request.

At the request of multiple respondents, the Department held a public hearing with respect to the *Preliminary Scope Rulings* on July 1, 2002. The Department’s final decisions on the scope-exclusion requests are addressed in the *Scope of Investigation* section below.

Scope of Investigation

For purposes of this investigation, the products covered are certain cold-rolled (cold-reduced) flat-rolled carbon-quality steel products. A full description of the scope of this investigation is contained in the *Scope Appendix* attached to the *Notice of Correction to Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value: Certain Cold-Rolled Carbon Steel Flat Products from Australia*, 67 FR 52934 (Aug. 14, 2002). For a complete discussion of the comments received on the *Preliminary Scope Rulings*, see the memorandum regarding “Issues and Decision Memorandum for the Final Scope Rulings in the Antidumping Duty Investigations on Certain Cold-Rolled Carbon Steel Flat Products from Argentina, Australia, Belgium, Brazil, France, Germany, India, Japan, Korea, the Netherlands, New Zealand, the People’s Republic of China, the Russian Federation, South Africa, Spain, Sweden, Taiwan, Thailand, Turkey, and Venezuela, and in the Countervailing Duty Investigations of Certain Cold-Rolled Carbon Steel Flat Products from Argentina, Brazil, France, and Korea,” dated July 10, 2002, which is on file in CRU.

Critical Circumstances

In letters filed on December 7, 2001, and January 14, 2002, the petitioners alleged that there is a reasonable basis to believe or suspect that critical circumstances exist with respect to imports of cold-rolled steel from South Africa and other countries. On May 9, 2002, the Department published in the **Federal Register** its preliminary determination that critical circumstances do not exist for imports of cold-rolled steel from South Africa. See *Preliminary Determination* and critical-circumstances memorandum from Richard W. Moreland to Faryar Shirzad, dated April 26, 2002 (*Preliminary Negative Determinations of Critical Circumstances—South Africa*).

A public version of this memorandum is on file in CRU.

We received no comments from the petitioners or the respondent regarding our preliminary finding that critical circumstances do not exist for imports of cold-rolled steel from South Africa. We have not changed our determination and continue to find that critical circumstances do not exist for imports of cold-rolled steel from South Africa.

Analysis of Comments Received

All issues raised in the case and rebuttal briefs by parties to this investigation are addressed in the “Issues and Decision Memorandum” (Decision Memo) from Richard W. Moreland, Deputy Assistant Secretary, to Faryar Shirzad, Assistant Secretary, dated September 23, 2002, which is hereby adopted by this notice. A list of the issues which parties have raised and to which we have responded, all of which are in the Decision Memo, is attached to this notice as an Appendix. This Decision Memo, which is a public document, is on file in the CRU and is accessible on the Web at <http://ia.ita.doc.gov/frn>. The paper copy and electronic version of the Decision Memo are identical in content.

Changes Since the Preliminary Determination

Our calculations followed the methodologies described in the *Preliminary Determination*, except as noted below, and in the September 23, 2002, Decision Memo and final determination analysis memorandum.

For certain models for which Ischor did not report constructed-value (CV) data, Ischor identified models which closely matched the models for which it could not furnish the CV data and supplied CV data for the surrogate models. Because we are unable to determine the impact of the difference in the physical characteristics between the similar model chosen and the models with missing costs, we have not included the models with missing CV data in the margin calculation. For a more detailed analysis see our response to comment 5 of the Decision Memo and the final determination analysis memorandum from analyst to file dated September 23, 2002.

We have also applied partial adverse facts available because we find that Ischor did not act to the best of its ability to provide accurate weight-conversion factors. As partial adverse facts available, we have used the lowest weight-conversion factor that we verified as accurate and applied it to all sales that have a reported weight-conversion factor greater than this

¹ The petitioners in the concurrent antidumping duty investigations are Bethlehem Steel Corporation, LTV Steel Company, National Steel Corporation, Nucor Corporation, Steel Dynamics, Inc., United States Steel LLC, WCI Steel, Inc., and Weirton Steel Corporation. Weirton Steel Corporation is not a petitioner in the Netherlands case. Effective January 1, 2002, the party previously known as “United States Steel LLC” changed its name to “United States Steel Corporation.”

number. For a more detailed analysis see our response to comment 9 of the Decision Memo and the final determination analysis memorandum from analyst to file dated September 23, 2002.

Suspension of Liquidation

In accordance with section 735(c)(1)(B) of the Act, we are directing the Customs Service (Customs) to continue to suspend liquidation of all imports of subject merchandise that are entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after May 9, 2002, the date of publication of the *Preliminary Determination* in the **Federal Register**. Customs shall continue to require a cash deposit or the posting of a bond equal to the weighted-average amount by which the normal value exceeds the constructed export price, as indicated in the chart below. These suspension-of-liquidation instructions will remain in effect until further notice. The weighted-average dumping margins are as follows:

Exporter/manufacturer	Weighted-average margin percentage
Iscor	41.90
All Others	** 41.90

**As Iscor was the only respondent in this investigation, we have used Iscor's margin as the all-others rate.

International Trade Commission Notification

In accordance with section 735(d) of the Act, we have notified the International Trade Commission (ITC) of our determination. As our final determination is affirmative, the ITC will determine within 45 days whether these imports are causing material injury, or threat of material injury, to an industry in the United States. If the ITC determines that material injury, or threat of injury does not exist, the proceeding will be terminated and all securities posted will be refunded or cancelled. If the ITC determines that such injury does exist, the Department will issue an antidumping duty order.

Notification Regarding Administrative Protective Order (APO)

This notice serves as a reminder to parties subject to APO of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305. Timely notification of return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations

and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

This determination is published pursuant to sections 735(d) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: September 23, 2002.

Faryar Shirzad,

Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

Appendix—Comments and Responses

1. CEP Offset
2. Total Adverse Facts Available
3. Product Characteristics
4. Multiple Costs
5. Missing Costs
6. Inaccurate U.S. Sales Quantities
7. Missing Home-Market Sales
8. Inclusion of Non-Subject Merchandise in the Home-Market Sales File
9. Inaccurate Weight-Conversion Factors and Partial Adverse Facts Available

[FR Doc. 02-24801 Filed 10-2-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-357-816]

Notice of Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value and Negative Final Determination of Critical Circumstances: Certain Cold-Rolled Carbon Steel Flat Products From Argentina

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of final determination of sales at less than fair value and negative final determination of critical circumstances.

SUMMARY: We determine that certain cold-rolled carbon steel flat products from Argentina are being, or are likely to be, sold in the United States at less than fair value, as provided in section 731 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended. In addition, we determine that critical circumstances do not exist for import of cold-rolled carbon steel flat products from Argentina.

We gave interested parties an opportunity to comment on the preliminary determination. Based on our analysis of the comments received, we have made certain changes for the final determination.

EFFECTIVE DATE: October 3, 2002.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Dave Dirstine, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW,

Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-4033.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

The Applicable Statute

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), are references to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the Act by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act. In addition, unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Department of Commerce (Department's) regulations are to the regulations codified at 19 CFR part 351 (April 2001).

Background

On May 9, 2002, the Department published its preliminary determination in the above-captioned antidumping duty investigation. *See Notice of Preliminary Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value, Postponement of Final Determination and Negative Preliminary Determination of Critical Circumstances: Certain Cold-Rolled Carbon Steel Flat Products From Argentina*, 67 FR 31181 (May 9, 2002) (*Preliminary Determination*). See also *Notice of Initiation of Antidumping Duty Investigations: Certain Cold-Rolled Carbon Steel Flat Products From Argentina, Australia, Belgium, Brazil, France, Germany, India, Japan, Korea, the Netherlands, New Zealand, the People's Republic of China, the Russian Federation, South Africa, Spain, Sweden, Taiwan, Thailand, Turkey and Venezuela*, 66 FR 54198 (October 26, 2001) (*Initiation Notice*).

Since the *Preliminary Determination*, the following events have occurred. On June 18, 2002, and July 29, 2002, the Department conducted a home-market sales verification and a U.S. sales verification, respectively, using standard verification procedures. Our verification results are outlined in the public versions of the verification reports (see home-market verification report dated July 26, 2002, and U.S. sales verification report from analysts to file, dated August 13, 2002).

We gave interested parties an opportunity to comment on the *Preliminary Determination*. On August 26, 2002, the petitioners¹ submitted their case brief. Siderar S.A.I.C.

¹ The petitioners in the concurrent antidumping duty investigations are Bethlehem Steel Corporation, LTV Steel Company, National Steel Corporation, Nucor Corporation, Steel Dynamics, Inc., United States Steel LLC, WCI Steel, Inc., and Weirton Steel Corporation. Weirton Steel Corporation is not a petitioner in the Netherlands case. Effective January 1, 2002, the party previously known as "United States Steel LLC" changed its name to "United States Steel Corporation."