

that recommends modifying to a dual screen configuration at 100 hours TIS.

(e) *Can I comply with this AD in any other way?* You may use an alternative method of compliance or adjust the compliance time if:

(1) Your alternative method of compliance provides an equivalent level of safety; and

(2) The Manager, Los Angeles Aircraft Certification Office (ACO), approves your alternative. Submit your request through an FAA Principal Maintenance Inspector, who may add comments and then send it to the Manager, Los Angeles ACO.

**Note 3:** This AD applies to each airplane identified in paragraph (a) of this AD, regardless of whether it has been modified, altered, or repaired in the area subject to the requirements of this AD. For airplanes that have been modified, altered, or repaired so that the performance of the requirements of this AD is affected, the owner/operator must request approval for an alternative method of compliance in accordance with paragraph (e) of this AD. The request should include an assessment of the effect of the modification, alteration, or repair on the unsafe condition addressed by this AD; and, if you have not eliminated the unsafe condition, specific actions you propose to address it.

(f) *Where can I get information about any already-approved alternative methods of compliance?* Contact Roger Pesuit, Aerospace Engineer, FAA, Los Angeles Aircraft Certification Office, 3960 Paramount Boulevard; telephone: (562) 627-5251; facsimile: (562) 627-5210.

(g) *What if I need to fly the airplane to another location to comply with this AD?* The FAA can issue a special flight permit under §§ 21.197 and 21.199 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 21.197 and 21.199) to operate your airplane to a location where you can accomplish the requirements of this AD.

(h) *How do I get copies of the documents referenced in this AD?* You may get copies of the documents referenced in this AD from Brackett Aircraft Company, 7052 Government Way, Kingman, Arizona 86401; telephone: (928) 757-4009; facsimile: (928) 757-4433. You may view these documents at FAA, Central Region, Office of the Regional Counsel, 901 Locust, Room 506, Kansas City, Missouri 64106.

(i) *When does this amendment become effective?* This amendment becomes effective on February 18, 2003.

Issued in Kansas City, Missouri, on December 18, 2002.

**Michael Gallagher,**

Manager, Small Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.

[FR Doc. 02-32510 Filed 12-26-02; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4910-13-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

### Federal Aviation Administration

#### 14 CFR Part 95

#### Standard Instrument Approach Procedures

##### CFR Correction

In Title 14 of the Code of Federal Regulations, parts 60 to 139, revised as of January 1, 2002, on page 300, in § 95.17, paragraph (b)(5) is corrected by removing 39° and adding in its place 69°.

[FR Doc. 02-55526 Filed 12-26-02; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 1505-01-D**

## DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

### Federal Aviation Administration

#### 14 CFR Parts 121, 125, 135, and 145

[Docket No. FAA-2000-7952]

**RIN 2120-AH91**

#### Service Difficulty Reports

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration, DOT.

**ACTION:** Final rule; delay of effective date.

**SUMMARY:** The Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) is further delaying the effective date of a final rule that amends the reporting requirements for air carriers and certificated domestic and foreign repair station operators concerning failures, malfunctions, and defects of aircraft, aircraft engines, systems, and components. This action is prompted by the FAA's decision to issue a proposal to address industry concerns about the final rule. Delaying the effective date of the final rule will allow the agency time for further consideration of industry concerns and completion of the notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM) process.

**DATES:** The effective date of the rule amending 14 CFR parts 121, 125, 135, and 145 published at 66 FR 558912, November 23, 2001, is delayed from January 16, 2003 until January 16, 2004. **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Jose E. Figueroa, Flight Standards Service, Tampa Flight Standards District Office, 5601 Mariner Street, Suite 310, Tampa, Florida, 33609-3413, telephone 813-639-1540.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### Background

On September 15, 2000, the FAA requested comments on the information

collection requirements on the final rule entitled "Service Difficulty Reports" (65 FR 56191). That final rule, which had an effective date of January 16, 2001, amended the reporting requirements for air carriers and certificated domestic and foreign repair station operators concerning failures, malfunctions, and defects of aircraft, aircraft engines, systems, and components. The FAA received extensive written comments on the Service Difficulty Reporting (SDR) requirements and on the potential duplicate reporting of certain failures, malfunctions, and defects. On November 30, 2000, the FAA announced (65 FR 71247) that a public meeting on this rulemaking would be held on December 11, 2000. Participants at that meeting raised novel issues that the FAA was not aware of when preparing the final rule.

As a result of the concerns expressed at the meeting and those raised during the comment period for the final rule (published September 15, 2000), the FAA delayed the effective date of the final rule in three subsequent notices. The first notice (65 FR 80743) was published on December 22, 2000, the second notice (66 FR 21626) was published on April 30, 2001, and the third notice (66 FR 58912) was published on November 23, 2001. The purpose of these delays was to allow the agency time to consider industry's concerns and also to issue a notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM). The FAA will issue an NPRM to address the issues raised and to give the aviation industry and the general public the opportunity to comment on the agency's proposed revisions to the final rule. The FAA is looking at the collection and analysis of SDR data through other information management systems that may provide valuable safety information. For example, the Commercial Airplane Certification Process Study is a significant collaborative effort between the FAA and industry to improve the certification and operation of air carrier aircraft. Aviation safety data identification and collection are a major component of this effort. To allow time to proceed with this process, the FAA further extends the effective date of the final rule until January 16, 2004. The FAA cautions the industry that the existing rules will remain in effect until the new effective date.

Since the delay in the effective date of the final rule does not impose any new requirements or any additional burden on the regulated public, the FAA finds that good cause exists for immediate adoption of the new effective date without a 30-day notice.